ADJUSTED SUM OF SQUARES ☆</th

What exactly is the "adjusted sum of squares"?

In the LOWBWT.mtp data, we will consider the RACE and SMOKE factors together. Use subscript *i* for race; we'll have i = 1, 2, ..., I. In this case, I = 3. We'll use subscript *j* for smoking; we'll have j = 1, 2, ..., J. Here we have J = 2.

Let n_{ij} be the number of mothers in group (i, j). Using Minitab's **<u>S</u>tat** \Rightarrow **<u>T</u>ables** \Rightarrow **<u>C</u>ross tab**, we can get the counts:

Rows: RACE Columns: SMOKE 0 1 All 1 44 52 96 2 16 10 26 3 55 12 67 All 115 74 189

Subscript k will count within (i, j) combinations. Our model might be

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$

The command $\underline{Stat} \Rightarrow \underline{A}NOVA \Rightarrow \underline{T}wo Way$ requires a balanced design, meaning all n_{ij} have to be the same. We can't use that.

We'll use $\underline{Stat} \Rightarrow \underline{A}NOVA \Rightarrow \underline{G}eneral Linear Model$. The syntax used to specify designs gets complicated. We get this panel:

General Line	ear Model 🛛 🗙					
C1 ID C2 LOW C3 AGE C4 LWT C5 RACE C6 SMOKE C7 PTL C8 HT C9 UI C10 FTV C10 FTV	Responses: c11 Model: C5 c6 Random factors:					
Select Help	Covariates Options Comparisons Graphs Results Storage Factor Plots OK Cancel					

This gets way more complicated, so let's just take this as a start.

General Linear Model: BWT versus RACE, SMOKE

Factor Type Levels Values RACE fixed 3 1, 2, 3 SMOKE 2 0, 1 fixed Analysis of Variance for BWT, using Adjusted SS for Tests Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F Ρ 2 5070608 8768299 1 7271097 7271097 RACE 4384149 9.26 0.000 SMOKE 7271097 15.36 0.000 185 87575348 87575348 473380 Error Total 188 99917053 S = 688.026 R-Sq = 12.35% R-Sq(adj) = 10.93% Unusual Observations for BWT Fit SE Fit Residual St Resid Obs BWT
 Obs
 BWT
 Fit
 SE
 Fit
 Residual

 130
 4990.00
 3335.15
 91.75
 1654.85

 131
 709.00
 2453.31
 122.77
 -1744.31
 2.43 R -2.58 R 132 1021.00 3335.15 91.75 -2314.15 -3.39 R 134 1330.00 2880.53 86.29 -1550.53 -2.27 R 135 1474.00 2880.53 86.29 -1406.53 -2.06 R R denotes an observation with a large standardized residual.

We do need some help with the notions of adjusted sums of squares.

As a comparison, let's do this as a regression. We'll need to make an indicator set out of RACE. This uses $\underline{Calc} \Rightarrow \underline{Make \ \underline{Indicator \ Variables}$. It would be convenient to rename them as RWhite, RBlack, ROther.

Here are critical pieces from that run:

Regression Analysis: BWT versus RBlack, ROther, SMOKE

The regression equation is BWT = 3335 - 451 RBlack - 455 ROther - 427 SMOKE Predictor Coef SE Coef Т Ρ Constant 3335.15 91.75 36.35 0.000 RBlack -451.1 153.1 -2.95 0.004 RBlack -451.1 -454.6 116.4 -3.90 0.000 ROther -427.2 109.0 -3.92 0.000 SMOKE S = 688.026 R-Sq = 12.4% R-Sq(adj) = 10.9% Analysis of Variance Source DF Regression 3 SS MS F Ρ 3 12341705 4113902 8.69 0.000 Residual Error 185 87575348 473380 188 99917053 Total Source DF Seq SS RBlack 1 1525713 ROther 1 3544895 [a] 3544895 [a] SMOKE 1 7271097 [b]

ADJUSTED SUM OF SQUARES

Now . . . for the very first time, let's look at Seq SS. These are the contributions to SS_{Regr} in the order in which the terms were names in the model. The first two lines sum to 5,070,608. [a] Now let's match up some pieces.

Analysis of Variance for BWT, using Adjusted SS for Tests Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P RACE 2 5070608 [a] 8768299 4384149 9.26 0.000 SMOKE 1 7271097 7271097 7271097 [b] 15.36 0.000 Error 185 87575348 87575348 473380 Total 188 99917053

It's possible now to see what the adjustment is all about. The adjusted sum of squares is the added contribution to SS_{Regr} (or SS_{Model} or SS_{Fit} or ... whatever it's called) after all other effects have been included.

If the independent variables had been named in the order SMOKE, RBlack, ROther, the Seq SS list would have been this:

```
        Source
        DF
        Seq SS

        SMOKE
        1
        3573406
        [c]

        RBlack
        1
        1552316

        ROther
        1
        7215983
```

The last two lines sum to 8,768,299 [d].

We can make this even more definite. The F test that uses the adjusted sum of squares is exactly the same as the partial F test.

That's easy enough to check for this example. If we run the regression of BWT on {RBlack, ROther} we would get this analysis of variance:

 Analysis of Variance
 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Regression
 2
 5070608
 [a]
 2535304
 4.97
 0.008

 Residual Error
 186
 94846445
 509927
 Total
 188
 99917053

If we run the regression of BWT on {SMOKE} we would get this:

Analysis	of Var	iance					
Source		DF	SS		MS	F	P
Regression		1	3573406	[c]	3573406	6.94	0.009
Residual	Error	187	96343646		515207		
Total		188	99917053				

Above we had the regression of BWT on {RBlack, ROther, SMOKE}, and we'll just copy down that analysis of variance, along with the sequential sums of squares.

Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 3 12341705 4113902 8.69 0.000 Residual Error 185 87575348 473380 Total 188 99917053 Source DF Seq SS RBlack 1 1525713 [a] ROther 1 3544895 [a] SMOKE 1 7271097 [b]

From $\underline{S}tat \Rightarrow \underline{A}NOVA \Rightarrow \underline{G}eneral Linear Model$, we had this:

Analysis	of	Variance f	lor	BWT, using	Adju	sted SS for	Tests	
Source	DF	Seq SS		Adj SS	3	Adj MS	F	P
RACE	2	5070608	[a]	8768299) [d]	4384149	9.26	0.000
SMOKE	1	7271097	[b]	7271097	/[b]	7271097	15.36	0.000
Error	185	87575348		87575348	3	473380		
Total	188	99917053						

If we had run $\underline{Stat} \Rightarrow \underline{A}NOVA \Rightarrow \underline{G}eneral Linear Model$ with the variables named in the order SMOKE, RACE we would have had this:

Analysis	of	Variance	for	BWT,	using	Ad	justed SS f	Eor Test	S
Source	DF	Seq SS		Ac	lj SS		Adj MS	F	P
SMOKE	1	3573406	[c]	727	71097	[b]	7271097	15.36	0.000
RACE	2	8768299	[d]	876	58299	[d]	4384149	9.26	0.000
Error	185	87575348		8757	75348		473380		
Total	188	99917053							

The correspondences should now be complete.

The <u>General Linear Model</u> method computes the sequential sums of squares, in the order in which the effects were named. It also computes the adjusted sums of squares, accounting for all the other effects. For the last-named effect, these must be the same.

This is equivalent to the use of the partial F test.

These adjusted sums of squares are sometimes called Type III Sums of Squares. The sequential sums of squares are Type I Sums of Squares.

This begs an interesting question what are Type II Sums of Squares? These will become relevant in models with interactions.