

A PIECEWISE LINEAR SDE DRIVEN BY A LÉVY PROCESSES

JOSH REED,* *NYU*

BERT ZWART,** *CWI*

Abstract

We consider an SDE with piece-wise linear drift driven by a spectrally one-sided Lévy process. We show this SDE has some connections with queueing and storage models and apply this to obtain the invariant distribution.

1. Introduction

Let $X(\cdot)$ be a spectrally positive Lévy process, i.e. a process with stationary and independent increments for which we can write

$$E[e^{-sX(t)}] = e^{t\phi(s)}, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\phi(s) = ds + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 + \int_0^\infty ((e^{-sx}) - 1 + sx(x < 1))\nu(dx), \quad (2)$$

for a measure (the jump measure) ν such that

$$\int_0^\infty \min\{1, x^2\}\nu(dx) < \infty.$$

We are mainly interested in the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) driven by $X(\cdot)$:

$$dW(t) = -c_+W(t)^+ dt - c_-W(t)^- dt + dX(t). \quad (3)$$

Here $x^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$ and $x^- = \min\{x, 0\}$. It is assumed that c_-, c_+ are nonnegative constants such that $c_+ + c_- > 0$.

This SDE is an extension of the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, which is obtained when $c_+ = c_-$, and as such is relevant to recent developments in mathematical finance [6]. Our motivation to study this SDE is that it can arise as a limit of a sequence of many server queues, as explained in recent work of Pang & Whitt [16]. The Brownian case arises in both application areas as well, for related queueing literature, we refer to Halfin & Whitt [9] and Pang et al. [16] and references therein. The additional jumps can arise as a consequence

* Postal address: Leonard N. Stern School of Business, Kaufman Management Center, 44 West 4th Street, Suite 8-79 New York, NY 10012. Email: jreed@stern.nyu.edu

** Postal address: Science Park 123, 1098 XG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The author is also affiliated to VU University, Eurandom and Georgia Tech. Email: bertz@cwi.nl

of heavy-tailed interarrival times, or large scale service interruptions - we refer to Pang & Whitt [16] for details.

Contrary to the above references, this paper is almost exclusively on the SDE itself, rather than formalizing the appearance of the SDE as limit of a sequence of queueing models. A survey on results in the Brownian case is Browne & Whitt [4], who proposed the terminology piece-wise linear diffusion processes (leading to our title choice). Intuitively, it is clear that on a single half space, the process behaves as a storage/dam model with affine release rate. This model is well understood, see for example the chapter on this topic in [1]. Making this connection formal using purely probabilistic tools such as martingales is one of the key points of this paper. Once this connection is established, it is possible to use existing results for reflected spectrally negative Lévy processes, as well as Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, to obtain qualitative and quantitative results on the invariant distribution.

As mentioned, the work in this paper covers Lévy processes, storage models, many server queues, martingales. Thanks to Søren and his impact on applied probability, these topics are now well connected. Indeed, Søren's books, papers and lectures have been inspiring and made an important impact on our careers. It is therefore an honor and pleasure to contribute to this volume.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 focuses on structural results such as stability and the process restricted to the negative halfspace. Examples are considered in Section 3. Section 4 closes with comments on time-dependent results.

2. Structural results

We exclude the case that $X(\cdot)$ is a subordinator, so that $\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)/s > 0$. Note that $X(\cdot)$ is a strong Markov process with generator \mathcal{L} . The function $f_s(x) = e^{-sx}$ is in the domain of \mathcal{L} , and $\mathcal{L}(f_s)(x) = e^{-sx}\phi(s)$. Consequently, the generator \mathcal{A} of $W(\cdot)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(f)(x) = -c_+x(x > 0)f'(x) - c_-x(x < 0)f'(x) + \mathcal{L}(f)(x). \quad (4)$$

We assume that $c_-, c_+ \geq 0$ and $c_+ + c_- > 0$. To ensure stability, we also assume that $E[X(1)] > 0$ if $c_- = 0$ and that $E[X(1)] < 0$ if $c_+ = 0$.

Proposition 1. *$W(\cdot)$ is a strong Markov process. There exists an a.s. finite random variable W such that $W(t) \xrightarrow{d} W$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The fact that W is strong Markov follows from Theorem 32 of Chapter V of [17]. In order to show that W is ergodic, we rely on results from [13, 14, 15]. Let $\mathcal{O}_m = (-m, m)$, $m \geq 1$, be a sequence of open sets and let T^m denote the first entrance time of W to the closed set \mathcal{O}_m^c . Let \mathcal{A}_m denote the extended generator of the truncated process $W^m(\cdot) = W(\cdot \wedge T^m)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_m)$ its domain. Note that by (2) and (3) and the optional sampling theorem $E_x[W^m(t)] - x$ is

equal to

$$E_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_m} (-c_+(W^m(s))^+ - c_-(W^m(s))^- + E[X(1)]) ds \right].$$

Moreover, by (3) and the fact that X is spectrally positive,

$$\int_0^t E_x [|-c_+(W^m(s))^+ - c_-(W^m(s))^- + E[X(1)] | ds] < \infty.$$

Hence, the identity function $e(x) = x$ is in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_m)$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_m e(x) = -c_+ x 1(x > 0) - c_- x 1(x < 0) + E[X(1)].$$

It is then clear that under each of the assumptions above there exists a closed interval I , positive numbers $c, d > 0$ and a linear function $f \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_m e(x) = -cf(x) + d 1\{x \in I\},$$

for $x \in \mathcal{O}_m$. Combining Theorems 5.1 of [15], Theorem 4.1 of [14] and Theorem 3.4 of [13], we see that it suffices to show that the skeleton chain W^1 obtained by sampling W at integer time points is φ -irreducible for some measure φ whose support has non-empty interior and that W^1 has the Feller property.

It is clear by Proposition 2 of [18] that W^1 has the Feller property. Now let x_\star be such that $c_- x_\star^- + c_+ x_\star^+ = E[X_1]$. It is also clear that under the above assumptions such a point must exist. If $x_\star < 0$, let φ be a probability measure with support equal to $(-\infty, x^\star)$, if $x_\star > 0$, let φ be a probability measure with support equal to (x^\star, ∞) , and, if $x_\star = 0$, let the support of φ be \mathbb{R} . It is straightforward to show that W^1 is φ -irreducible, which completes the proof.

The main goal of this work is to obtain information about the distribution of W . Our plan to obtain this information is as follows. Suppose $E[e^{-sW}] < \infty$ on some open interval D_ϕ . For $s \in D_\phi$, we see that the limiting random variable W satisfies

$$\phi(s)E[e^{-sW}] = -c_+ s E[W(W > 0)e^{-sW}] - c_- s E[W(W < 0)e^{-sW}]. \quad (5)$$

Let $p = P(W > 0)$.

Suppose that $E[e^{-sW} | W < 0] =: G_-(s)$ is known (from which we would be able to infer that D_ϕ is non-empty). Then we can write $E[e^{-sW}] = pG_+(s) + (1-p)G_-(s)$ and we obtain a tractable differential equation for G_+ :

$$\phi(s)(pG_+(s) + (1-p)G_-(s)) = c_+ p s G'_+(s) + c_- (1-p) s G'_-(s). \quad (6)$$

To find G_- , we will consider the process constrained in $(-\infty, 0]$. Call this process $W_{R-}(\cdot)$. Formally, define $J_-(t) = \int_0^t (W(s) < 0) ds$. We can set $W_{R-}(t) = W(J_-(t))$. Since $W(\cdot)$ only has positive jumps and the driving process $X(\cdot)$ has stationary and independent increments, the following holds.

Theorem 1. $W_{R-}(\cdot)$ has the same law as $W(\cdot)$ restricted on $(-\infty, 0]$ reflected at 0. In addition, $W_{R-}(t) \xrightarrow{d} W_{R-}$ for some random variable W_{R-} which has LST $G_-(s)$.

Proof. We modify the proof of Theorem 6.3.1 of [11] to account for jumps and a state dependent drift. By (3) and the generalized Ito formula for convex functions (see Theorem 9.46 of [10]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W(t)^- &= W(0)^- + \int_0^t 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX(s) - c_- \int_0^t W(s)^- ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [W(s)^- - W(s-)^- - 1(W(s-) \leq 0) \Delta W(s)] - \frac{1}{2} L(t), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $L(t)$ denotes the local time of W at zero. In addition, note that

$$K(t) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [W(s)^- - W(s-)^- - 1(W(s-) \leq 0) \Delta W(s)] - \frac{1}{2} L(t),$$

is a non-increasing process as a function of t and that by Corollary 9.45 of [10]

$$\int_0^\infty 1\{W(s)^- < 0\} dK(s) = 0.$$

Now note that $J_-(J_-^{-1}(t)) = t < J_-(J_-^{-1}(t) + \delta)$ for $\delta > 0$ and so since X is spectrally positive, this implies that $W_{R-}(t) \leq 0$. Thus $W_{R-}(t) = W(J_-^{-1}(t))^-$. By (7) it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{R-}(t) &= W_{R-}(0) + \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX(s) - c_- \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} W(s)^- ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [W_{R-}(s)^- - W_{R-}(s-)^- - 1(W_{R-}(s-) \leq 0) \Delta W(J_-^{-1}(s))] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} L(J_-^{-1}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Next note that since $W_{R-}(t) = W(J_-^{-1}(t))^-$ (see above), it follows by a change of variables and the fact that $dJ_-(t) = 1\{W(t) < 0\} dt$ that

$$\begin{aligned} c_- \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} W(s)^- ds &= c_- \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} W_{R-}(J_-(s)) ds \\ &= c_- \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} W_{R-}(J_-(s)) 1\{W(s) < 0\} ds \\ &= c_- \int_0^t W_{R-}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that

$$B(t) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [W_{R-}(s)^- - W_{R-}(s-)^- - 1(W_{R-}(s-) \leq 0)\Delta W(J_-^{-1}(s))] - \frac{1}{2}L(J_-^{-1}(t))$$

is non-increasing as a function of t and, again by Corollary 9.45 of [10],

$$\int_0^\infty 1(W_{R-}(t))dB(s) = 0.$$

By Proposition 2 of [18] it remains to show that

$$\int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)dX(s), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a Lévy process with Laplace exponent ϕ .

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. By the Lévy-Ito decomposition for Lévy processes (see Theorem 2.1 of [12]) we may write

$$X(t) = X^{(1)}(t) + X_\varepsilon^{(2)}(t) + X_\varepsilon^{(3)}(t) - \left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty x\nu(dx) \right) t,$$

where $X^{(1)}(t) = \sigma B(t) - (d + \int_1^\infty x\nu(dx))t$ where B is a standard Brownian motion, $X_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ is a compound Poisson process with jump rate $\nu(\varepsilon, \infty)$ where the distribution of the jump sizes is $\nu(dx)/\nu(\varepsilon, \infty)$ for $x > \varepsilon$ and zero otherwise, and $X_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ is a square integrable martingale with maximum jump size ε and quadratic variation $\int_0^\varepsilon x^2\nu(dx)$ (this is in Applebaum I believe). In addition, $X^{(1)}$, $X_\varepsilon^{(2)}$, and $X_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ are independent of one another for a given ε . We may therefore write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)dX(s) \\ = & \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)dX^{(1)}(s) + \sum_{i=2}^3 \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)dX_\varepsilon^{(i)}(s) \\ & - \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)d \left(\left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty x\nu(dx) \right) s \right). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed term by term in analyzing the righthand side above. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)dX^{(1)}(s) \\ = & \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0)d(\sigma B(s)) - \left(d + \int_1^\infty \nu(dx) \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since $J_-^{-1}(t)$ is a stopping time for each $t \geq 0$, it follows by the optional sampling theorem that

$$\int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) d(\sigma B(s)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

is a continuous martingale with quadratic variation σt and so by Lévy's martingale characterization of Brownian motion (see Theorem 3.3.16 of [11]) it is a Brownian motion. Next consider

$$\int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(3)}(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the same manner as was shown above it is a martingale with quadratic variation $\int_0^\varepsilon x^2 \nu(dx) t$. However, since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\varepsilon x^2 \nu(dx) = 0$, it follows by the martingale invariance principle (see Theorem 7.1.4 of [7]) that

$$\int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(3)}(s) \Rightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Finally consider

$$\int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(2)}(s) - \int_0^{J_-^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) d\left(\left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty x \nu(dx)\right) s\right)$$

for $t \geq 0$. Let $N_\varepsilon : \mathcal{B}[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \mapsto \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ be the Poisson random measure associated with $X_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ (see Lemma 2.2 of [12]) and define the new random measure $\hat{N}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{B}[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \mapsto \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ by setting

$$\hat{N}_\varepsilon(dt \times dx) = 1(W(J_-^{-1}(t)-) \leq 0) N_\varepsilon(dJ_-^{-1}(t) \times dx). \quad (9)$$

We claim that \hat{N}_ε is a Poisson random measure on $([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \Pi_\varepsilon)$, independent of the process in (8), and where $\Pi_\varepsilon = dt \times \nu(dx)$ for $x > \varepsilon$ and 0 otherwise. It suffices to show that for disjoint sets $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the processes

$$\int_{[0,t] \times \mathcal{A}_1} \hat{N}_\varepsilon(dt \times dx), \quad t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0,t] \times \mathcal{A}_2} \hat{N}_\varepsilon(dt \times dx), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

are independent Poisson processes with rates $\nu(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap (x, \infty))$ and $\nu(\mathcal{A}_2 \cap (x, \infty))$, respectively. First note that each of the processes in (10) are counting processes. Next, for $i = 1, 2$, we have by (9) that

$$\int_{[0,t] \times \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{N}_\varepsilon(ds \times dx) = \int_{[0, J_-^{-1}(t)]} 1(W(s-)) \int_{\mathcal{A}_i} N_\varepsilon(ds \times dx).$$

However,

$$\int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathcal{A}_i} N_\varepsilon(ds \times dx), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a Poisson process with rate $\nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty))$ and so

$$\int_{[0,t]} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_i} N_\varepsilon(ds \times dx) - \nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty)) ds \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a martingale. Thus,

$$\int_{[0, J^{-1}(t)]} 1(W(s-)) \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_i} N_\varepsilon(ds \times dx) - \nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty)) ds \right)$$

is a martingale as well. Thus, since

$$\int_{[0, J^{-1}(t)]} 1(W(s-) > 0) \nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty)) ds = \nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty)) t,$$

it follows by Watanabe's characterization of Poisson processes (see Theorem 1.8.2 of [2]) that each of the processes in (10) is a Poisson process with rate $\nu(\mathcal{A}_i \cap (x, \infty))$. Independence follows from the fact that since N_ε is a Poisson random measure we have that the set of jump times of the two processes in (10) are disjoint from one another and so, since we already know they are Poisson processes, they must be independent (see [3]). Independence from the Brownian term follows from Corollary 11.5.3 of [19]. Since

$$\int_0^{J^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(2)}(s) = \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}} x \hat{N}_\varepsilon(ds \times dx),$$

it now follows by Lemma 2.8 of [12] that

$$\int_0^{J^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(2)}(s), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

is a compound Poisson process with rate arrival rate $\nu(\varepsilon, \infty)$ and jump distribution $\nu(dx)/\nu(\varepsilon, \infty)$. Considering now the compensated process

$$\hat{X}_\varepsilon^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^{J^{-1}(t)} 1(W(s-) \leq 0) dX_\varepsilon^{(2)}(s) - t \int_\varepsilon^\infty x \nu(dx),$$

it follows by Theorem 2.10 of [12] that $\hat{X}_\varepsilon^{(2)} \Rightarrow \hat{X}^{(2)}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ where $\hat{X}^{(2)}$ is a martingale with the desired properties. This completes the proof.

It remains to show that $W_{R-}(t) \xrightarrow{d} W_{R-}$ for some random variable W_{R-} which has LST $G_-(s)$. First note that since W is ergodic by Proposition 1, it follows by the ergodic theorem that for each $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(W(s-)) ds = E[f(W^-)] \quad \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.}$$

Next, recall that (see above)

$$\int_0^{J(t)} f(W_{R-}(s)) ds = \int_0^t W(s)^- ds,$$

for $t \geq 0$, and so, since $J(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J(t)} \int_0^{J(t)} f(W_{R-}(s)) ds &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{J(t)} \cdot \frac{\int_0^t f(W(s)^-) ds}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p} E[f(W^-)] \quad \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Using basic storage process theory (see [1]), $W_{R-}(\cdot)$ is ergodic as well, so the result follows.

3. Examples

In this section we focus on several particular cases. To compute the invariant distribution, we make use of Theorem 1, and the general outline before that.

3.1. The case $c_+ > 0, c_- = 0$

If $c_- = 0$, $-W_{R-}$ is equal in distribution to the supremum of a spectrally negative Lévy process, which is exponentially distributed with parameter $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\phi(\gamma) = 0$. Thus, $G_-(s) = \gamma/(\gamma - s)$.

The differential equation for $G_+(s)$ becomes

$$\frac{\phi(s)}{c_+ s} G_+(s) - G'_+(s) = \frac{(1-p)\phi(s)}{c_+ p} \frac{\gamma}{s} \frac{1}{s-\gamma}. \quad (12)$$

Note that $\frac{\phi(s)\gamma}{s-\gamma}$ is well-defined for all values of s using l'Hospital's rule. This differential equation can be solved using standard methods. Since $G_+(0) = 1$, we get

$$G_+(s) = e^{\frac{1}{c_+} \int_0^s \frac{\phi(u)}{u} du} \left(1 - \frac{(1-p)}{p} \int_0^s \frac{1}{c_+ u - \gamma u} \frac{\phi(u)}{s} \frac{\gamma}{s-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{c_+} \int_0^u \frac{\phi(y)}{y} dy} du \right). \quad (13)$$

Since $\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)/s > 0$, and $G_+(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we necessarily have that

$$\frac{p}{(1-p)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{c_+ u - \gamma u} \frac{\phi(u)}{s} \frac{\gamma}{s-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{c_+} \int_0^u \frac{\phi(y)}{y} dy} du. \quad (14)$$

Example 1: If we assume a Brownian motion, we get $\phi(s) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 - \beta s$, with $\beta > 0$. If we further take $\sigma^2 = 2$, then $1-p$ has the interpretation of being the limiting waiting probability for the $M/M/k$ queue in the Halfin-Whitt regime where $\mu = 1$ and $\lambda = k - \sqrt{k} + o(\sqrt{k})$. Indeed, it can be shown straightforwardly

that our equation for p (which is the main quantity of interest from a practical standpoint) simplifies to

$$1 - p = \frac{1}{1 + \beta e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2} ds}, \quad (15)$$

using that $\gamma = (2\beta)/\sigma^2$.

Example 2: Assume now a stable process with negative drift, i.e. $\phi(s) = Cs^\alpha - \beta s$. This arises in a $G/M/k$ queue with heavy-tailed inter-arrival times (i.e. the interarrival times are regularly varying with index $-\alpha$, see again [16] for details). In this case, we have that $\gamma = (\beta/C)^{1/(\alpha-1)}$ and

$$1 - p = \left(1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{c_+} \frac{Cu^\alpha - \beta u}{u - \gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{c_+}((C/\alpha)u^\alpha - \beta u)} du\right)^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

3.2. The case $c_- > 0$

This case is substantially more difficult, unless $c_- = c_+$ or $c_+ = 0$. The case $c_- = c_+$ will be analyzed first and will act as building block in our analysis. After that, we treat the case $c_+ = 0$. Finally, we analyze $c_+ > 0, c_+ \neq c_-$.

Let V be corresponding to $c = c_+ = c_-$, then

$$v(s) = E[e^{-sV}] = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{c} \int_0^s \frac{\phi(u)}{u} du\right\}. \quad (17)$$

This is a well known result, see for example [5]. Define $V(x) = P(V \leq x)$ as the function with transform v .

Proposition 2. *If $c_- > 0$, then $P(W \leq x \mid W \leq 0) = \frac{V(x)}{V(0)}$, $x \leq 0$.*

Proof. This result follows by definition for $c_+ = c_-$ and Theorem 1 implies that the LHS is independent of c_+ .

We now review some examples for which V can be computed. It is easy to see that, since $V \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dX(t)$, if $X(t)$ is the sum of N independent Lévy processes with Laplace exponent ϕ_i and associated V_i , then $V = V_1 * \dots * V_N$, with $*$ denoting convolution. This can be used to compute explicit examples. Some basic examples/building blocks are

1. Brownian motion: $\phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2$, leading to $v(s) = e^{\frac{1}{4c}\sigma^2 s^2}$ so that $V(x) = \Phi(\sigma x/\sqrt{2c})$.
2. (Right-skewed) α -stable process: $\phi(u) = \kappa u^\alpha$ leading to $v(s) = e^{\frac{\kappa}{(\alpha-1)c} s^\alpha}$, so that V is α -stable as well.
3. Compound poisson process with exponential jumps: $\phi(u) = -\lambda(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu+s})$, leading to $v(s) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+s}\right)^{\lambda/c}$, implying that the density of V is of Gamma-type, i.e. $\frac{d}{dx}V(x) = \frac{\mu^{\lambda/c}}{\Gamma(\lambda/c)} x^{\lambda/c-1} e^{-\mu x}$.

We are now ready to analyze the case $c_+ \neq c_-$. We first treat $c_+ = 0$. Recall $X(\cdot)$ has a negative drift in this case, and the transform $G(s) = E[e^{-sW}]$ satisfies

$$G(s) = c_-(1-p) \frac{s}{\phi(s)} G'_-(s). \quad (18)$$

We can write $G'_-(s) = \frac{1}{V(0)} \int_0^\infty x e^{sx} d\bar{V}(x)$, with $\bar{V}(x) = P(-V \leq x)$. Define $\bar{v}_0 = \int_0^\infty x d\bar{V}(x)$ and let V_0 be a random variable with density $x d\bar{V}(x)/\bar{v}_0$ so that

$$G(s) = \frac{v_0 c_-(1-p)}{V(0)\phi'(0)} \frac{s\phi'(0)}{\phi(s)} E[e^{sV_0}]. \quad (19)$$

We recognize $\frac{s\phi'(0)}{\phi(s)}$ to be the generalized Pollaczek Khintchine formula, i.e. the Laplace transform of the supremum M of $X(\cdot)$. This implies that $\frac{v_0 c_-(1-p)}{V(0)\phi'(0)} = 1$ (yielding the unknown value of p) and that $W \stackrel{d}{=} M - V_0$.

The case $c_+ > 0$ is less tractable. We proceed slightly differently as before. Note that

$$\phi(s)E[e^{-sW}] = -c_+ s E[We^{-sW}] + (c_+ - c_-)s E[W(W < 0)e^{-sW}], \quad (20)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\phi(s)}{c_+ s} G(s) = G'(s) + \frac{(c_- - c_+)}{c_+} (1-p) G'_-(s). \quad (21)$$

This is a standard differential equation. Consequently,

$$G(s) = e^{\frac{1}{c_+} \int_0^s \frac{\phi(u)}{u} du} \left(1 - \frac{(c_- - c_+)}{c_+} (1-p) \int_0^s G'_-(u) e^{-\frac{1}{c_+} \int_0^u \frac{\phi(y)}{y} dy} du \right). \quad (22)$$

Let us look at the case of a Brownian motion with drift β and infinitesimal variance σ^2 . This example arises when considering Markovian many server queues with abandonments, cf. [8], known as the Erlang A model. The case $c_- = c_+$ would correspond to the case where the service rate equals the abandonment rate, in which case we would get the $M/M/\infty$ queue. $\phi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2 - \beta s$. This is Example 1, i.e. the density of the limiting distribution is $(1/\sqrt{\pi\sigma^2/c_-})e^{-(x-\beta/c_-)^2\sigma^2/c_-}$. Hence,

$$G_-(s) = \frac{1}{V(0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma^2/c_-}} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} e^{-(x-\beta/c_-)^2\sigma^2/c_-} dx. \quad (23)$$

It is now possible to proceed as before and derive an expression for p . We omit the computational details and refer to [8].

4. Comments on time-dependent analysis

We finally briefly outline how one may obtain results for the time-dependent behavior, i.e. the law of $W(e_q)$ where e_q is exponential with rate q .

Lemma 1. *Let x_0 be a real valued constant. Consider the Markov process with generator \mathcal{A}_q given by*

$$\mathcal{A}_q f(x) = \mathcal{A}f(x) + q(f(x_0) - f(x)).$$

This Markov process is positive recurrent, and the unique invariant distribution is the same as that of W_{e_q} given that $W_0 = x_0$.

Set $W_{q,x_0}(s) = E_{x_0}[e^{-sW_{e_q}}]$ From the lemma, we obtain that, with $f_s(x) = e^{-sx}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (q - \phi(s))W_{x_0,q}(s) \\ &= qe^{-sx_0} + sc_+ E_{x_0}[W_q e^{-sW_q} (W_q > 0)] + sc_- E_{x_0}[W_q e^{-sW_q} (W_q < 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we focus on the case $c_- = 0$, $c_+ > 0$. In the steady-state analysis of this case, a key argument consisted of the fact that the negative part of the steady-state random variable must be exponentially distributed, since you can only enter the negative half-plane through 0. In the case considered here, this is still true if $x_0 \geq 0$. In particular, if $x_0 \geq 0$,

$$G_{x_0,q}^-(s) := E_{x_0}[e^{-sW_q} \mid W_q < 0] = \frac{\gamma_q}{\gamma_q - s}.$$

We can write, as before, $W_{x_0,q}(s) = P_q G_{x_0,q}^+(s) + (1 - P_q)G_{x_0,q}^-(s)$. As before, this leads to an ODE for $G_{x_0,q}(s)$ that can be solved explicitly. If $x_0 < 0$, the process behaves like a Lévy process up to the point of up-crossing of level 0, this is τ_0 . The idea is to split between the events $e_q < \tau_0$ and $e_q > \tau_0$ using the memoryless property.

$$W_{x_0,q}(s) = E[e^{-sX(e_q)}; e_q < \tau_0] + \int_0^\infty W_{y,q}(s) dP(X(\tau_0) \leq y; \tau_0 < e_q).$$

The behavior of a spectrally positive process starting from $x_0 < 0$ up to time τ_0 is pretty well understood; all functionals in this expression related to Lévy processes can be found in, for example, [12]. So essentially, we reduced it to a calculation issue, since we can solve in principle for $W_{y,q}(s)$ if $y \geq 0$. These computations seem tractable in the Brownian case.

References

- [1] S. Asmussen. *Applied Probability and Queues*. Springer, 2003.
- [2] F. Baccelli and P. Bréamud. *Elements of Queueing Theory*. Springer, Berlin, 2003.

- [3] J. Bertoin. *Lévy Processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [4] Sid Browne and Ward Whitt. Piecewise-linear diffusion processes. In *Advances in Queueing*, pages 463–480. CRC Press, 1995.
- [5] P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes, 2001.
- [6] R. Cont and P. Tankov. *Financial Modeling with Jump Processes*. Chapman Hall, Boca Raton, 2004.
- [7] S. Ethier and T. Kurtz. *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [8] O. Garnett, A. Mandelbaum, and M. Reiman. Designing a call center with impatient customers. *Manufacturing Service Oper. Management*, 4:208227, 2002.
- [9] S. Halfin and W. Whitt. Heavy traffic limits for queues with many exponential servers. *Operations Research*, 29:567–588, 1981.
- [10] S. He, J. Wang, and J. Yan. *Semimartingale theory and stochastic calculus*. CRC Press, Beijing, 1992.
- [11] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, 1998.
- [12] A. Kyprianou. *Introductory Lectures on Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications*. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [13] S. Meyn and R. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes I criteria for discrete-time chains. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 24:542–574, 1992.
- [14] S. Meyn and R. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes II: Continuous-time processes and sampled chains. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 25:487–517, 1993.
- [15] S. Meyn and R. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 25:518–548, 1993.
- [16] G. Pang and W. Whitt. Heavy-traffic limits for many-server queues with service interruptions. *Queueing Systems*, 61:167–202, 2009.
- [17] P. Protter. *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [18] J. E Reed and A. R. Ward. A diffusion approximation for a generalized jackson network with reneging. In *Proceedings of the 42nd Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing.*, 2004.
- [19] S. Shreve. *Stochastic calculus for finance II*. Springer, New York, 2004.