

Chris Edmond (cpedmond@unimelb.edu.au)

### Notes on computing and simulating Markov chains

Consider a two-state Markov chain  $(x, P, \pi_0)$  with transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ q & 1-q \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $1 > p, q > 0$ . Then this Markov chain has a unique invariant distribution  $\bar{\pi}$  which we can solve for as follows

$$0 = (I - P')\bar{\pi}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & q \\ p & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\pi}_1 \\ \bar{\pi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p & -q \\ -p & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\pi}_1 \\ \bar{\pi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the calculations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p\bar{\pi}_1 - q\bar{\pi}_2 \\ 0 &= -p\bar{\pi}_1 + q\bar{\pi}_2 \end{aligned}$$

These two equations only tell us one piece of information, namely

$$\bar{\pi}_1 = \frac{q}{p}\bar{\pi}_2$$

But we also know that these elements must satisfy

$$\bar{\pi}_1 + \bar{\pi}_2 = 1$$

So we can solve these two equations in two unknowns to get

$$\bar{\pi}_1 = \frac{q}{p+q}, \quad \bar{\pi}_2 = \frac{p}{p+q}$$

Notice the following properties:

- As  $q \rightarrow 0$ , the state  $x_1$  becomes a **transient state** and the state  $x_2$  becomes an **absorbing state**: once the chain leaves  $x_1$ , it never returns. Since this happens with probability 1 if we run the chain long enough, the stationary distribution will be degenerate with  $\bar{\pi} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ .
- Similarly, as  $p \rightarrow 0$ , the state  $x_2$  becomes a transient state and the state  $x_1$  becomes an absorbing state and  $\bar{\pi} \rightarrow (1, 0)$ .
- If  $p = q$ , the chain is **symmetric** and the stationary distribution is just  $\bar{\pi} = (0.5, 0.5)$ . More generally, for any  $n$  state symmetric Markov chain, the uniform distribution with  $\bar{\pi}_i = \frac{1}{n}$  is a stationary distribution.

Because any dependence on transient states washes out in the long run, it is often easy to simplify the computation of a stationary distribution. For example, if  $n = 3$  and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The state  $x_1$  is transient (once you leave it, you never return), so the invariant distribution can be found by considering the sub-matrix in the lower right corner, namely

$$P_{\text{sub}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.9 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Letting  $p = 0.5$  and  $q = 0.9$  we see that  $P$  has stationary distribution with  $\bar{\pi}_1 = 0$  and non-zero elements

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}_2 &= \frac{q}{p+q} = \frac{0.9}{0.5+0.9} = 0.6429 \\ \bar{\pi}_3 &= \frac{p}{p+q} = \frac{0.5}{0.5+0.9} = 0.3571 \end{aligned}$$

Now test your intuition. Is it obvious that a Markov chain with transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has stationary distribution  $\bar{\pi} = (0, 0, 1)$ ?

### A. Computing stationary distributions in Matlab

If the transition matrix  $P$  is regular, with  $1 > p_{ij} > 0$  for each  $i, j$ , then the Markov chain has a unique stationary distribution and it can be computed with brute force. Just take  $P^T$  for  $T$  a large number. Then you will get back a matrix with identical rows that are equal to the chain's stationary distribution. For example, if

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$P^{1000} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.6429 & 0.3571 \\ 0 & 0.6429 & 0.3571 \\ 0 & 0.6429 & 0.3571 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the stationary distribution is  $\bar{\pi} = (0, 0.6429, 0.3571)$ , which is what we would get from the analytic approach taken above. This is not very elegant. A neater approach is to use Matlab to compute the eigenvalues and vectors of  $P$ .

**Step 1.** Compute matrix of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $P'$  (remember the transpose!). In Matlab,

$$[V, D] = \mathbf{eig}(P')$$

gives a matrix of eigenvectors  $V$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$  whose entries are the eigenvalues of  $P'$ .

**Step 2.** Now since  $P$  is a transition matrix, one of the eigenvalues is 1. Pick the column of  $V$  associated with the eigenvalue 1. With the matrix  $P$  given above, this will be the second column and

we will have

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V}(:, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -0.8742 \\ -0.4856 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Step 3.** Finally, normalize the eigenvector to sum to one, that is

$$\mathbf{pibar} = \mathbf{v} / \text{sum}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0.6429 \\ 0.3571 \end{pmatrix}$$

## B. Simulating Markov chains in Matlab

In the problem set, you will have to simulate an  $n$  state Markov chain  $(\mathbf{x}, P, \pi_0)$  for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T$  time periods. I use a bold  $\mathbf{x}$  to distinguish the vector of possible state values from sample realizations from the chain. Iterating on the Markov chain will produce a sample path  $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$  where for each  $t$ ,  $x_t \in \mathbf{x}$ . In the exposition below, I suppose that  $n = 2$  for simplicity so that the transition matrix can be written

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 1 - p_1 \\ p_2 & 1 - p_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Step 1.** Set values for each of  $(\mathbf{x}, P, \pi_0)$ .

**Step 2.** Determine the initial state,  $x_0$ . To do this, draw a random variable from a **uniform distribution** on  $[0,1]$ . Call that realization  $\varepsilon_0$ . In Matlab, this can be done with the `rand()` command. If the number  $\varepsilon_0 \leq \pi_{0,1}$ , set  $x_0 = \mathbf{x}(1)$ . Otherwise, if  $\varepsilon_0 > \pi_{0,1}$  set  $x_0 = \mathbf{x}(2)$ .

**Step 3.** Draw a vector of length  $T$  of independent random variables from a uniform distribution on  $[0,1]$ . Call a typical realization  $\varepsilon_t$ . Again, in Matlab this can be done with the command `rand(T,1)`. Now the current state is  $x_t = \mathbf{x}(i)$ , check if  $\varepsilon_t \leq p_{i,1}$ . If so, the state transits to  $x_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}(j)$  with  $j \neq i$ . Otherwise, if  $\varepsilon_t > p_{i,1}$ , the state remains at  $i$  and  $x_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}(i)$ . Iterating in this manner builds up an entire simulation.

The attached Matlab file `markov_example.m` is a function file that implements this procedure for an arbitrary chain  $(\mathbf{x}, P, \pi_0)$  and specified simulation length  $T$ .

### C. Example

Let the growth rate of log GDP be

$$x_{t+1} \equiv \log(y_{t+1}) - \log(y_t)$$

and suppose that  $\{X_t\}$  follows a 3 state Markov chain with

$$x = (\mu - \sigma, \mu, \mu + \sigma) = (-0.02, 0.02, 0.04)$$

and transition probabilities

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.03 & 0.90 & 0.07 \\ 0 & 0.20 & 0.80 \end{pmatrix}$$

The idea here is that the economy can either be shrinking,  $x = \mu - \sigma < 0$ , growing at its usual pace,  $x = \mu > 0$ , or growing even faster. If the economy is in recession, there is about a 50/50 chance of reverting back to the average growth rate. If the economy is growing at its average pace, there is a slight probability of it falling into recession and a slightly bigger probability of it growing even faster, etc. We simulate a Markov chain on  $x$  and then recover the level of output via the sum

$$\log(y_t) = \log(y_0) + \sum_{k=1}^t x_k, \quad t \geq 1$$

Attached is Matlab code which produces a simulation of this stochastic growth process assuming  $\pi_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ , simulation length  $T = 100$  and initial condition  $\log(y_0) = 0$ .

*Chris Edmond*

22 August 2004