

































	_	_		THE WORST BOARDS	OF DIF	RECT	ORS		_	_	
EW	OVERALL	SURVEY	ANALYSIS	Meral C	SHAREHOLDER	ECARD PER	ECARD	CORPORATE	GOVERNANCE Shareholder	GUIDELIN ECARD	IE AMALYSIS BONFD
1. DISNEY	10.3	1.8	8.5	Investors decry board for conflicts; many directors nan little if any stock	3.3	4.3	2.0	5.8	-0.4	2.8	2.2
2. AT&T	10.9	-16.6	27.5	Investors soom board for failing to control succession, not ousting CED	3.0	4.2	3.5	2.8	2.0	5.2	7.4
3. H.J. HEINZ	15.4	-1.1	16.5	Longtime CEO dominates insider-filled board: resists investor calls for change	2.8	3.7	2.0	4.7	4.4	6.0	1.4
4. ARCHER DANIEL MIDLAND	<sup>\$</sup> 16.8	-12.2	29.0	Board changes fail to satisfy investors, who say directors still lack independence	2.3	2.1	1.3	3.5	5.6	7.6	5.0
5. DOW JONES	21.1	1.6	19.5	Investors disenchanted with performance; weakest attendance record of any board	2.6	4.6	2.8	2.6	6.0	0,0	5.8
6. DILLARD'S	22.0	5.0	17.0	Board loaded with insiders; lacks an outsider with retail expertise or CEO	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.5	6.4	3.2	2.0
7. ROLLINS International	22.7	1.7	21.0	Board dominated by family members and insiders; lacks nominating panel	1.0	1.0	0,0	2.0	4.0	7.6	4.4
8. OCCIDENTAL Petroleum	24.0	-1.5	25.5	Investors outraged over \$95 million payout to CEO by cozy, aging board	1.3	2.0	1.1	2.0	2.8	6.0	5.8
9. OGDEN	27.2	4.2	23.0	Board has three consultants and a lawyer who do business with company	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	8,4	4.0
10. MAXXAM	28.3	4.3	24.5	Tiny board with little business experience dominated by CEO	1.5	2.0	1.0	3.5	3.6	2.0	6.0















<ul> <li>Tromey market birtectury into available. Jet the international and available availa</li></ul>	Case 1: Splintering of Stockholders Disney's top stockholders in 2003	
biomberg	sub-tatals for current page: 599,159H 28,340 * Honey morket directory info available. Select portfolio, then hit (PGD). two field and the select portfolio. The hit for the select portfolio. The select portfolio and the select portfolio. The select portfolio and the sel	



Case 3. C Tata Chemi (III.P) for explana As of Apr29 DELAVI	cal's top	stocl	kholde	ers in	n 2008	
(IELP) for explana As of Apr29 DELAYE	cal's top	stocl	cholde	ers 11	n 2008	
As of Apr29 DELAY		_				
<pre> for explana   As of Apr29 DELAYE</pre>						
As of Apr29 DELAYE						
As of Apr29 DELAYE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Fauit	HDC
	D Vol 502.362 Or	165 B	Hi 172 S	Lo 165	B	tynus
TTCH IN Equity 94)	Matrix 95) Se	arches ,	96) Actio	ns ,	Page 1/11 Hold	ings Search
Tata Chemicals Ltd					ISIN IN	VE092A01019
21) Sources	22) Types	23) Count	ries 24)	Metro Ar	eas 25) Adv	anced Filters
Holder Name	Portfolio Name	Source	Amt Held	% Out	Latest Chg	File Dt
1) TATA SONS LTD	n/a	to File	33,534,323	14.26	0	12/31/08
2) LIFE INSURANCE CORP						
3) TATA INVESTMENT CON	₹P n/a	Co File	16,000,001	6.80	-390,000	12/31/08
4) TATA TEA LTD						
5) NEW INDIA ASSURANCE	E Cin/a		6,060,895			
<ol> <li>6) HINDUSTAN LEVER LTD</li> </ol>						
7) GENERAL INSURANCE (		Co File	4,996,262			12/31/08
8) UNITED INDIA INSURA						
<ol> <li>NATIONAL INSURANCE</li> </ol>	C n/a	Co File	2,373,302	1.01		12/31/08
10) TEMPLETON ASSET MG	MT TEMPLETON INDIA EQ	ME-1NO	2,363,937	1.01		3/31/09
11) TEMPLETON ASSET MG	MT FRANKLIN INDIA FLEC	ME-IND	1,503,761	0.64	0	3/31/09
12) SBI FUNDS PUNAGEPTE	AL SEL PRONUM SECTOR	me-1ND	1,473,989	0.03	150,222	3/31/09
14 Y EWART INVESTMENTS	T n/a	Co File	1,401,201	0.02	-383,000	12/31/08
15) TEMPLETON MINAGEM	EN TEMOLETON EMERGINI	MELCAN	1 079 000	0.20	529 And	12/31/08
16) TEMPLETON ASSET MG	MT TEMPLETON INDIA G	MP-IND	1.054.645	0.45	554,645	3/31/09
17) BIRLA SUN LIFE ASSET	MBIRLA SUN LIFE SPEC	MEEIND	1,050,000	0.45	0	2/28/09
26) Latest Chg 27) Australia 61 2 3777 8600 Br Japan 31 3 3201 8000 S	Hist Held azil 5511 3048 4500 Euro ingapore 65 6212 1000	pe 44 20 733 U.S. 1 21	30 7500 Germany 12 310 2000 St	49 69 9204 Copyr1gh 4 636136 66	% Out on 1 1210 Hong Kong 8 1 2007 Blookberg 53-375-0 01-May 2	Page 53.12 52 2977 6000 Finance L P 5009 10 48 56





















































	2008
Board Members	Occupation
John E. Pepper, Jr. (Chairman)	Retired Chairman and CEO, Procter & Gamble Co.
Susan E. Arnold	President, Global Business Units, Procter & Gamble Co
John E. Bryson	Retired Chairman and CEO, Edison International
John S. Chen	Chairman,, CEO & President, Sybase, Inc.
Judith L. Estrin	CEO, JLabs, LLC.
Robert A. Iger	CEO, Disney
Steven P. Jobs	CEO, Apple
Fred Langhammer	Chairman, Global Affairs, The Estee Lauder Companies
Aylwin B. Lewis	President and CEO, Potbelly Sandwich Works
Monica Lozano	Publisher and CEO, La Opinion
Robert W. Matschullat	Retired Vice Chairman and CFO, The Seagram Co.
Orin C. Smith	Retired President and CEO, Starbucks Corporation

What about legislation?	
<ul> <li>Every corporate scandal creates impetus for a legislative response. The scandals at Enron and WorldCom laid the groundwork for Sarbanes-Oxley.</li> <li>You cannot legislate good corporate governance.</li> <li>The costs of meeting legal requirements exceed the benefits</li> <li>Laws always have unintended consequences</li> <li>In general, laws tend to be blunderbusses that penalize good companies more than they punish the bad companies.</li> </ul>	56
Aswath Damodaran S	56






































Ide	entifying the Ma	rginal Investor in	your firm	
	Percent of Stock held by	Percent of Stock held by	Marginal Investor	
	Institutions	Insiders		
	High	Low	Institutional Investor <sup>a</sup>	
	High	High	Institutional Investor, with	
			insider influence	
	Low	High (held by	Tough to tell; Could be	
		founder/manager of firm)	insiders but only if they	
			trade. If not, it could be	
			individual investors.	
	Low	High (held by wealthy	Wealthy individual	
		individual investor)	investor, fairly diversified	
	Low	Low	Small individual investor	
			with restricted	
			diversification	
				70
Aswath Damodaran				/6

		Analyzi	ing the invest	tor bases	
100		Disney	Deutsche Bank	Aracruz (non-voting)	Tata Chemicals
	Institutions	72%	76%	32%	47%
	Individuals	21%	23%	60%	24%
	Insiders	7%	1%	8%	29%*
ſ					
Aswath Dai	modaran				77

Look	ing at Disney	's top sto	ockh	olders	in 🤉	2009 (	again)
LOOK		5 top 50			111 2		ugum)
	DIS US \$ 1 24.	2422 +.7422	D 2s			Equi	tyHDS
	DELAY 14:27 Vol 6,13	5,972 Op 23.81	Z Hi 24.	34 T Lo 23	.8 T Va	alTrd 148.0	)14m
	DIS US Equity 95)	Saved Searches •	96) De	efault Setting	IS I	Page 1/150 Hole	dings Search
	Walt Disney Co/The	101 7	12) Course	24	Makers Are	CU	SIP 25468710
	Name Filter	22) Types -	23) Countr	ies - 24)	Sort By	ML+Val	vanced mitters
	Holder Name	Portfolio Name	Source	Mkt Val	% Out	Mkt val Chg	File Dt
	1) JOBS STEVEN PAUL	n/a	Form 4	3.34BLN	7.46	0	5/5/06
	2) FIDELITY MANAGEMENT 8	FIDELITY MANAGEMEN		2.058LN	4.58		9/30/08
	3) STATE STREET CORP	STATE STREET CORPO		1.78LN	3.79	-18.6MLN	9/30/08
	4) BARCLAYS GLOBAL INVES	BARCLAYS GLOBAL IN		1.66ELN			9/30/08
	5) WANGUARD GROUP INC	WANGUARD GROUP IN					9/30/08
	<ol> <li>6) SOUTHEASTERN ASSET M</li> </ol>						9/30/08
	<ol> <li>STATE FARM MUTUAL AU</li> </ol>	STATE FARM MUTUAL		1.02BLN			9/30/08
	8) WELLINGTON MANAGEMEN						9/30/08
	9) CLEARBRIDGE ADVISORS	CLEARBRIDGE ADVISO		015.91MLN	1.82		9/30/08
	10) JP MORGAN CHASE & CO						9/30/08
	<ol> <li>MASSACHUSETTS FINANC</li> </ol>	I MASSACHUSETTS FINA	13F	682.16MLN	1.52	112.29MLN	9/30/08
	12) BANK OF NEW YORK MEL	BANK OF NEW YORK		681.68MLN	1.52		9/30/08
	13) NORTHERN TRUST CORP	NORTHERN TRUST CO	13F	610.26MLN	1.36	-4.81MLN	9/30/08
	14) AXA	AXA	13F	486.28MLN	1,08	47.05MLN	9/30/08
	15) BLACKROCK INVESTMENT	BLACKROCK INVESTME	13F	476.12MLN	1.06	-47-11MLN	9/30/08
	16) JENNISON ASSOCIATES L	JENNISON ASSOCIATE	13F	428.85MLN	0,96	-102.77MLN	9/30/08
	TVTT ROWE PRICE ASSOCIAT	T ROWE PHICE ASSOC		351.01PKN	0.78	-9.9401.0	9/30/08
	26) Latest Chg 27) H Australia 61 2 9777 8600 Brazz Japan 01 3 3201 0900 Sing	ist Heid 11 5511 3048 4500 Europ papore 65 6212 1000	w 44 20 733 U.S. 1 21	0 7500 Germany 2 310 2000	49 69 9204 Copyr 1gh	15 OUL ON 1210 Hong Kong 1 2009 Bloomberg	Page 41.12 852 2977 6000 Finance L P
	- 699				но	03-3/5-0 06-Jav	2009 14:42:43

_	And the top I		eutsche and Arac	Sruz
	_			
	Disney	Deutsche Bank	Aracruz Preferred	-Tata Chemicals
	Steven Jobs (7.43%)	Deutsche Post (8.05%)	BB DTVM (0.89%)	Tata Sons (14.26%)
	Fidelity (4.86%)	Allianz (6.81%)	Barclays(0.34%)	Life Insurance Co (11.71%)
	State Street (3.97%)	AXA (4.64%)	Banco Itau (0.32%)	Tata Investment (6.8%)
	Barclays (3.79%)	Credit Suisse (3.55%)	Banco Barclays (0.19%)	Tata Tea (6.54%)
	Vanguard Group (3.07%)	Deutsche Bank (3.52%)	Vanguard Group (0.18%)	New India Assur (2.58%)
	Southeastern Asset (2.40%)	Barclays (3.02%)	UBS Strategy (0.17%)	Hindustan Lever (2.14%)
	State Farm Mutual (2.27%)	Blackrock (2.35%)	Banco Itau (0.17%)	General Insurance (2.12%)
	AXA (2.13%)	UBS (1.65%)	Dimensional Fund (0.10%)	United India Insur (1.13%)
	Wellington Mgmt (1.87%)	Deka (1.52%)	Banco Bradesco (0.09%)	National Insurance (1.01%)
	Massachusetts Finl (1.57%)	Dekabank (1.44%)	Landesbank (0.08%)	Templeton Fund: (1.01%)

Taking	a closer look	x at Tata Cl	nemicals	
i uning			ienneuis	
Distribution of Sharehold	ling as on March 31, 200	)7		
Category	No. of Shares	Percentage	No. of Shareholders	Percentage
1 - 500	2,25,07,207	10.46	1,75,703	88.2
501 - 1000	96,48,263	4.49	12,926	6.4
1001 - 2000	87,86,211	4.09	6,155	3.0
2001 - 3000	46,01,699	2.14	1,855	0.9
3001 - 4000	27,70,825	1.29	786	0.3
4001 - 5000	24,11,227	1.12	528	0.2
5001 - 10000	57,32,258	2.66	809	0.4
Greater than 10000	15,86,44,961	73.75	442	0.2
Total	21,51,02,651	100.00	199204	100.0
Tata com	panies and trusts: 3	31.6%		
Institutio	ns & Funds: 34.68	%		
Foreign I	unds: 5.91%			



















































Solving for the implied premium	
If we know what investors paid for equities at the beginning of 2007 and we	e
can estimate the expected cash flows from equities, we can solve for the rat return that they expect to make (IRR):	e of
$1468.36 = \frac{61.98}{(1+r)} + \frac{65.08}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{68.33}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{71.75}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{75.34}{(1+r)^5} + \frac{75.35(1.0402)}{(r0402)(1+r)^5}$	
<ul> <li>Expected Return on Stocks = 8.39%</li> <li>Implied Equity Bick Promium – Expected Deturn on Stocks – T Band Data</li> </ul>	
= 8.39% - 4.02% = 4.37%	
Aswath Damodaran	106







	An Updated Equity Risk Premium:	
In 2010, the actual cash returned to stockholders was 53.96. That was up about 30% from 2009 levels.	January 1, 2011, the worst of the crisis seemed to be behi ression had receded and banks looked like they were strug e stable setting. Default spreads started to drop and risk v t and center in pricing. Analysts expect earnings to grow 13% in 2011, 8% in 2012, 6% in 2013 and 4% herafter, resulting in a compounded annual growth rate of 6.95% over the next 5 years. We will assume that dividends & buybacks will tgrow 6.95% a year for the next 5 years.	nd us. Fears of a ggling back to a vas no longer we will assume that he index will grow at me rate as the entire riskfree rate).
57 January 1, 2011 S&P 500 is at 1257.64 Adjusted Dividends & Buybacks for 2010 = 53.96	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Data Sources: Dividends and Buybacks last year: S&P Expected growth rate: News stories, Yahoo! Finance, Zacks
Aswath Damodaran		110



































































Di	sney' s Op	erating	Leverag	e: 198'	7- 2008	
	Year	Net Sales	% Change in Sales	EBIT	% Change in EBIT	
	1987	\$2,877		\$756		
	1988	\$3,438	19.50%	\$848	12.17%	
	1989	\$4,594	33.62%	\$1,177	38.80%	
	1990	\$5,844	27.21%	\$1,368	16.23%	
	1991	\$6,182	5.78%	\$1,124	-17.84%	
	1992	\$7,504	21.38%	\$1,287	14.50%	
	1993	\$8,529	13.66%	\$1,560	21.21%	
	1994	\$10,055	17.89%	\$1,804	15.64%	
	1995	\$12,112	20.46%	\$2,262	25.39%	
	1996	\$18,739	54.71%	\$3,024	33.69%	
	1997	\$22,473	19.93%	\$3,945	30.46%	
	1998	\$22,976	2.24%	\$3,843	-2.59%	
	1999	\$23,435	2.00%	\$3,580	-6.84%	
	2000	\$25,418	8.46%	\$2,525	-29.47%	
	2001	\$25,172	-0.97%	\$2,832	12.16%	
	2002	\$25,329	0.62%	\$2,384	-15.82%	
	2003	\$27,061	6.84%	\$2,713	13.80%	
	2004	\$30,752	13.64%	\$4,048	49.21%	
	2005	\$31,944	3.88%	\$4,107	1.46%	
	2006	\$33,747	5.64%	\$5,355	30.39%	
	2007	\$35,510	5.22%	\$6,829	27.53%	
	2008	\$37,843	6.57%	\$7,404	8.42%	
	Average: 87-	08	13.73%		13.26%	
	Average: 96-	08	9.91%		11.72%	
Aswath Damodaran						14








	D	isney : Beta and	Leve	rage	
	Debt to Capital	Debt/Equity Ratio	Beta	Effect of Leverage	
	0.00%	0.00%	0.82	0.00	
	10.00%	11.11%	0.88	0.06	
	20.00%	25.00%	0.95	0.13	
	30.00%	42.86%	1.04	0.22	
	40.00%	66.67%	1.16	0.34	
	50.00%	100.00%	1.34	0.51	
	60.00%	150.00%	1.59	0.77	
	70.00%	233.33%	2.02	1.19	
	80.00%	400.00%	2.87	2.04	
	90.00%	900.00%	5.42	4.60	
Aswath Damod	daran				149













	Dis	ney's b	ousiness	breakd	own	$\frac{\text{Unlet}}{(1 - \text{Cas})}$	vered Be h/ Firm V
Business	Comparable firms	Number of firms	Median levered beta	Median D/ E	Unlevered beta	Median Cash/ Firm Value	Unlevered beta corrected f cash
Media Networks	Radio and TV broadcasting companies -US	19	0.83	38.71%	0.6735	4.54%	0.7056
Parks and Resorts	Theme park & Resort companies - Global	26	0.80	65.10%	0.5753	1.64%	0.5849
Studio Entertainment	Movie companies - US	19	1.57	53.89%	1.1864	8.93%	1.3027
Consumer Products	Toy companies- US	12	0.83	27.21%	0.7092	33.66%	1.0690

Short Name	Mkt Cap	Total Debt	D/E	Beta	Cash	Cash/Firm value	Enterprise Value	Revenues	EV/sa
RED ROCK PICTURE	\$621,902	\$100.000	16.08%	1.62	\$2.436	0.34%	\$719,466	\$600,000	1.20
TIX CORP	\$53,988,460	\$129,000	0.24%	1.59	\$9,192,000	16.99%	\$44,925,460	\$66,552,000	0.68
M MEDIA GROUP I	\$224	\$265	118.52%	0.90	\$10	2.05%	\$479	\$1,250	0.3
CAMELOT ENTERTAI	\$815,505	\$464,329	56.94%	0.85	\$126	0.01%	\$1,279,708	\$750,000	1.7
AMER VANTAGE COS	\$5,385,361	\$523,000	9.71%	1.25	\$5,353,000	90.60%	\$555,361	\$313,000	1.7
ALCOM INC	\$1,126,042	\$1,114,673	98.99%	1.63	\$34,224	1.53%	\$2,206,491	\$689,521	3.2
DDYSSEY PICTURES	\$6,963,004	\$1,419,200	20.38%	2.24	\$0	0.00%	\$8,382,204	\$4,279,035	1.9
EONIDAS FILMS I	\$2,342,000	\$1,873,000	79.97%	0.57	\$1,730,000	41.04%	\$2,485,000	\$1,077,000	2.3
BRILLIANT DIGITA	\$11,304,810	\$2,162,000	19.12%	1.36	\$433,000	3.22%	\$13,033,810	\$5,970,000	2.1
METRO GLOBAL MED	\$11,725	\$40,679	346.93%	2.93	\$4,514	8.61%	\$47,890	\$244,654	0.2
AMILY ROOM ENT	\$265,104	\$77,491	29.23%	0.90	\$31,655	9.24%	\$310,940	\$348,850	0.8
POINT.360	\$13,292,890	\$9,420,000	70.86%	1.30	\$7,047,000	31.03%	\$15,665,890	\$45,913,000	0.3
MAGE ENTERTAIN	\$22,511,390	\$32,394,002	143.90%	0.90	\$780,000	1.42%	\$54,125,392	\$130,086,000	0.4
JNAPIX ENTERTAIN	\$22,640	\$39,196	173.13%	1.86	\$0	0.00%	\$61,836	\$377,290	0.1
PEACH ARCH ENTER	\$2,631,945	\$605,205	22.99%	1.55	\$1,753,328	54.16%	\$1,483,821	\$7,113,049	0.2
DREAMWORKS ANI-A	\$2,367,548,000	\$70,059,000	2.96%	1.90	\$260,630,000	10.69%	\$2,176,977,000	\$755,660,976	2.8
KUSHNER-LOCKE CO	\$13,981	\$88,725	634.63%	2.99	\$72,900	70.98%	\$29,806	\$198,670	0.1
IONS GATE	\$628,954,800	\$319,717,984	50.83%	2.36	\$130,713,000	13.78%	\$817,959,784	\$1,514,749,024	0.5
Average			105.30%	1.59		19.76%			1.1
Aggregate	\$3,117,799,782	\$440,227,749	14.12%	1.59	\$417,777,193	11.74%	\$3,140,250,338	2534923319	1.2
Median			53.89%	1.57		8.93%			0.7

	Disney'	's bot	tom up bet	a	
Estimate the	bottom up un	levered	l beta for Dis	snev's operating	assets.
Business	Revenues in 2008	EV/Sales	Estimated Value	Firm Value Proportion	Unlevered be
Media Networks	\$16,116	2.13	\$34,327.78	58.92%	0.7056
Parks and Resorts	\$11,504	1.51	\$17,408.14	29.88%	0.5849
Studio Entertainment	\$7,348	0.78	\$5,754.86	9.88%	1.3027
Consumer Products	\$2,875	0.27	\$768.20	1.32%	1.0690
Disney	\$37,843		\$58,258.99	100.00%	0.7333
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	firms in each bus	iness is	relative to reven	ues.	
EV/Sale Step 3: Multiply the	$\frac{\text{Mkt Equity + Debt -}}{\text{Revenues}}$	$\frac{Cash}{1}$ by the	industry average	e multiple in step 2.	
EV/Sale Step 3: Multiply the	$\frac{Mkt Equity + Debt -}{Revenues}$	$\frac{Cash}{1}$ by the	industry averag	e multiple in step 2.	
EV/Sale Step 3: Multiply the Disney has a	$\frac{Mkt Equity + Debt -}{Revenues}$ e revenues in step t cash balance	$\frac{Cash}{Of $3,7}$	industry averag	e multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b	eta for
EV/Sale EV/Sale Step 3: Multiply the Disney has a all of Disney	$\frac{Mkt Equity + Debt -}{Revenues}$ revenues in step a cash balance 7's assets (and	$\frac{Cash}{1 \text{ by the}}$ of \$3,7	industry averag 795 million. 1 st the operati	e multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b ing assets), we w	eta for ould
EV/Sale EV/Sale Step 3: Multiply the Disney has a all of Disney	Ministin each ous es <u>Mkt Equity + Debt -</u> Revenues revenues in step a cash balance /' s assets (and	$\frac{Cash}{1 \text{ by the}}$ of \$3,7 1 not just	industry averag 795 million. 1 st the operati	e multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b ng assets), we w	eta for rould
<ul> <li>EV/Sale</li> <li>EV/Sale</li> <li>Step 3: Multiply the</li> <li>Disney has a all of Disney compute a w</li> </ul>	Ministic Equity + Debt - Revenues revenues in step a cash balance 's assets (and 'eighted avera	$\frac{Cash}{1 \text{ by the}}$ of \$3,7 it not just	industry averag 795 million. 1 st the operati	e multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b ng assets), we w	eta for ould
<ul> <li>Publicly traded EV/Sale</li> <li>Step 3: Multiply the</li> <li>Disney has a all of Disney compute a w Beta for Dis</li> </ul>	Ministin equivalences Ministin equivalences Revenues a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance balance a cash balance a cash balance balance a cash balance a cash balance balance a cash balance balance balance a cash balance	$\frac{\text{Cash}}{1 \text{ by the}}$ $\frac{1 \text{ by the}}{0 \text{ f } \$3,7}$ $\frac{1 \text{ not jus}}{\text{ge:}}$ $\frac{333}{(58,22)}$	industry averag 795 million. 1 st the operati $\frac{8,259}{59+3,795} + 0 \left(\frac{1}{(1000)}\right)$	the multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b ing assets), we w $\frac{3,795}{58,259 + 3,795} = 0.66$	eta for ould 885
<ul> <li>Publicly traded EV/Sale</li> <li>Step 3: Multiply the</li> <li>Disney has a all of Disney compute a w Beta for Dis</li> </ul>	Ministin equivibus set a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance a cash balance balance a cash balance a cash balance balance a cash balance balance a cash balance balance a cash balance balance balance a cash balance bal	$\frac{\text{Cash}}{1 \text{ by the}}$ $\frac{1 \text{ by the}}{0 \text{ f } 3,7}$ $\frac{1 \text{ not jus}}{333\left(\frac{5}{(58,22)}\right)}$	industry averag 795 million. 1 st the operati $\frac{8,259}{59+3,795} + 0 \left(\frac{1}{(100)}\right)$	the multiple in step 2. If we wanted a b ang assets), we w $\frac{3,795}{58,259 + 3,795} = 0.63$	eta for ould















Usi	ing compara	able fii	ms 1	to est	imate	beta fo	or Bookscape	;
	C		D	DE		C. LT	11-1	
	Company Name	Industry	Beta	D/E Patio	Unlevered	Cash/Firm Value	Unievered beta	
	Courier Corp	Publishing	0.98	12 33%	0.91	0.46%	0.92	
	Educational Devel.	Publishing	0.57	0.00%	0.57	15.38%	0.67	
	McGraw-Hill Ryerson Ltd.	Publishing	0.26	0.00%	0.26	46.97%	0.49	
	Meredith Corp.	Publishing	1.37	66.85%	0.98	3.11%	1.01	
	Presstek Inc.	Publishing	1.68	41.09%	1.35	10.83%	1.51	
	PRIMEDIA Inc	Publishing	1.65	340.84%	0.54	9.20%	0.60	
	Scholastic Corp.	Publishing	1.13	84.49%	0.75	13.36%	0.87	
	Torstar 'B'	Publishing	0.48	54.21%	0.36	4.93%	0.38	
	Wiley (John) & Sons	Publishing	1.03	52.73%	0.78	1.93%	0.80	
	Barnes & Noble	Retail (Special Lines)	1.34	0.00%	1.34	48.46%	2.60	
	Books-A-Million	Retail (Special Lines)	1.98	97.49%	1.25	7.90%	1.36	
	Borders Group	Retail (Special Lines)	2.44	240.87%	1.00	7.78%	1.08	
	Median		1.235	53.47%	0.94	8.55%	1.02	
wath Damodara	an							1



and the second se	Year	S&P 500	Bookscape	Year	S&P 500	Bookscape	
	1980	3.01%	3.55%	1995	18.74%	11.55%	
	1981	1.31%	4.05%	1996	7.77%	19.88%	
	1982	-8.95%	-14.33%	1997	8.52%	16.55%	
	1983	-3.84%	47.55%	1998	0.41%	7.10%	
	1984	26.69%	65.00%	1999	16.74%	14.40%	
	1985	-6.91%	5.05%	2000	8.61%	10.50%	
	1986	-7.93%	8.50%	2001	-30.79%	-8.15%	
	1987	11.10%	37.00%	2002	18.51%	4.05%	
	1988	50.42%	45.17%	2003	18.79%	12.56%	
	1989	0.83%	3.50%	2004	23.75%	14.50%	
	1990	-6.87%	-10.50%	2005	12.96%	8.35%	
	1991	-14.79%	-32.00%	2006	14.74%	16.74%	
	1992	8.13%	55.00%	2007	-5.91%	2.50%	
	1993	28.89%	31.00%	2008	-20.78%	-12.20%	
	1994	18.03%	21.06%				















		E	stimating	g Synthe	tic Ratings	3			
	The ra firm. I For th	ating for a In its simp Inte ne four non	firm can be lest form, w rest Covera a-financial s	estimated ve can use j ge Ratio = ervice com	using the finar ust the interes EBIT / Interes panies, we ob	ncial characteri t coverage ratio st Expenses tain the followi	stics of the o: ng:		
		Company	Oparating income	Interact Expanse	Interact coverage ratio	1			
	Disney S6.819 S821 8.31								
		Aracruz	R\$ 574	R\$ 155	3.70				
		Tata Chemicals	INR 6.263	INR 1.215	5.15	·			
		Bookscape	\$3,575	\$575	6.22				
Ľ									
Aswath Damoda	aran						176		

ciest coverage Ratios,	2009		
Interest Coverage Ratio: Small	Interest Coverage Ratio: Large	Rating	Typical
market cap(<\$5 billion)	market cap (>US \$ 5 billion)		Default
> 12.5	>8.5	AAA	1.25%
9.50-12.50	6.5-8.5	AA	1.75%
7.50-9.50	5.5-6.5	A+	2.25%
6.00-7.50	4.25- 5.5	A	2.50%
4.50-6.00	3-4.25	A-	3.00%
4.00-4.50	2.5-3.0	BBB	3.50%
3.50-4.00	2.25-2.5	BB+	4.25%
3.00-3.50	2.0-2.25	BB	5.00%
2.50-3.00	1.75-2.0	B+	6.00%
2.00-2.50	1.5-1.75	B	7.25%
1.50-2.00	1.25-1.5	B-	8.50%
1.25-1.50	0.8-1.25		10.00%
0.80-1.25	0.65-0.8	6	12.00%
0.50-0.80	0.2-0.65		15.00%
< 0.65	<0.2		20.00%
Disney, Market Cap > \$ 5	5 billion: 8.31	$\rightarrow$	AA
Aracruz: Market Cap< \$5	5 billion: 3.70	$\rightarrow$	BB+
Tata: Market Cap< \$ 5 bi	llion: 5.15	$\rightarrow$	A-
Bookscape: Market Cap	\$5 billion: 6.22	$\rightarrow$	А







	Updated Default Spreads	
_		_
Rating	Default Spread: Over 10-year riskfree rate in January 2011	
AAA	0.50%	
AA	0.65%	
A+	0.85%	
А	1.00%	
A-	1.10%	
BBB	1.60%	
BB	3.35%	
B+	3.75%	
В	5.00%	
B-	5.25%	
CCC	8.00%	
CC	10.00%	
С	12.00%	
D	15.00%	









		And oper	ating leases.	
	The pre-tax c	ost of debt at Di	sney is 6%.	
	Veen	Commitment	Dressent Volus	]
	1	\$202.00	\$260.81	<ul> <li>Disney reported \$619 million in</li> </ul>
	2	\$351.00	\$312.39	commitments after year 5. Given
	3	\$305.00	\$256.08	that their average commitment
	4	\$265.00	\$209.90	over the first 5 years of \$302
	5	\$198.00	\$147.96	million, we assumed two years @
	6 & 7	\$309.50	\$424.02	- \$309.5 million each.
	Debt Value of leases =		\$1,720.17	
	Debt outstand	ing at Disney		
=	MV of Interest	bearing Debt +	PV of Operating I	eases
	- \$14.062 + \$ 1.7	20 - \$16.682 m	illion	
-	- \$14,902 + \$ 1,7	20- \$10,082 III		
Aswath Damo	daran			186





					Di	sney	y		
					After-tax	cost			
Busir	ness		Cost of l	Equity	of del	ot	E/(D+E)	D/(D+E)	Cost of capita
Medi	ia Network	8	8.61	%	3.729	6	75.00%	25.00%	7.39%
Parks	s and Reso	rts	8.20	%	3.729	6	64.68%	35.32%	6.62%
Studi	io Entertaiı	nment	13.53	3%	3.729	6	68.64%	31.36%	10.45%
Cons	sumer Prod	ucts	10.80	5%	3.729	6	80.84%	19.16%	9.49%
Disne	ey		8.91	%	3.729	6	73.04%	26.96%	7.51%
Duci	inace	Ca	et of	Tat	a Cher	nica	ils	D/D+F	Cost of
Busi	liiess	ea	mity	rie-ta	ebt	All	of debt	D/(D+E	capital
Ferti	ilizers	14.	.14%	10	0.0%		6.60%	34.02%	11.58%
Cher	micals	13.	.58%	10	0.0%		6.60%	34.02%	11.21%
Tata Cher	n micals	13.	.93%	10	0.0%		6.60%	34.02%	11.44%





















	Here are four examples	
	<u>Rio Disney</u> : We will consider whether Disney should invest in its first them parks in South America. These parks, while similar to those that Disney has other parts of the world, will require us to consider the effects of country ris and currency issues in project analysis.	e in k
100	<u>New Paper Plant for Aracruz</u> : Aracruz, as a paper and pulp company, is examining whether to invest in a new paper plant in Brazil.	
11	An Online Store for Bookscape: Bookscape is evaluating whether it should create an online store to sell books. While it is an extension of their basis business, it will require different investments (and potentially expose them the different types of risk).	to
1.	Acquisition of Sentient by Tata Chemicals: Sentient is a US firm that manufactures chemicals for the food processing business. This cross-border acquisition by Tata Chemicals will allow us to examine currency and risk issues in such a transaction.	
Aswath Damod	aran	200





	Revenue estimates for the parks and resort properties (in millions)						
Year	Magic Kingdom	Epcot II	Resort Properties	Total			
1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
2	\$1,000	\$0	\$250	\$1,250			
3	\$1,400	\$0	\$350	\$1.750			
4	\$1,700	\$300	\$500	\$2.500			
5	\$2,000	\$500	\$625	\$3.125			
6	\$2,200	\$550	\$688	\$3,438			
7	\$2,420	\$605	\$756	\$3,781			
8	\$2,662	\$666	\$832	\$4,159			
9	\$2,928	\$732	\$915	\$4,575			
10	\$2,987	\$747	\$933	\$4,667			
	Year 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Year       Magic Kingdom         1       \$0         2       \$1,000         3       \$1,400         4       \$1,700         5       \$2,000         6       \$2,200         7       \$2,420         8       \$2,662         9       \$2,928         10       \$2,987	Year         Magic Kingdom         Epcot II           1         \$0         \$0           2         \$1,000         \$0           3         \$1,400         \$0           4         \$1,700         \$300           5         \$2,000         \$500           6         \$2,200         \$550           7         \$2,420         \$605           8         \$2,662         \$666           9         \$2,928         \$732           10         \$2,987         \$747	Year         Magic Kingdom         Epcot II         Resort Properties           1         \$0         \$0         \$0           2         \$1,000         \$0         \$250           3         \$1,400         \$0         \$350           4         \$1,700         \$300         \$500           5         \$2,000         \$550         \$688           7         \$2,420         \$605         \$756           8         \$2,662         \$666         \$832           9         \$2,928         \$732         \$915           10         \$2,987         \$747         \$933			

	Key Expense Assumptions	
	The operating expenses are assumed to be 60% of the revenues at the parks, and 75% of revenues at the resort properties. Disney will also allocate corporate general and administrative costs to t project, based upon revenues; the G&A allocation will be 15% of the revenue each year. It is worth noting that a recent analysis of these expenses four that only one-third of these expenses are variable (and a function of the revenue) and that two-thirds are fixed.	his <u>les</u> ınd <u>otal</u>
Aswath Damod	laran	204

	Depreciation and	Capital Maintenance	
Fear 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ■The capital increase as th	Depreciation as % of Book Value 0.00% 12.50% 11.00% 9.50% 8.00% 8.00% 8.00% 8.00% 8.00% maintenance expenditures are e parks age.	Capital Maintenance as % of Depreciation           0.00%           50.00%           60.00%           70.00%           90.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           100.00%           110.00%           100.00%	rks are still new but
Aswath Damodaran			205





	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1
Magic Kingdom - Revenues	t	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,400	\$1,700	\$2,000	\$2,200	\$2,420	\$2,662	\$2,928	\$2,9
Epcot Rio - Revenues	t	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300	\$500	\$550	\$605	\$666	\$732	\$7.
Resort & Properties - Revenues	T	\$0	\$250	\$350	\$500	\$625	\$688	\$756	\$832	\$915	\$9.
Total Revenues	T		\$1,250	\$1,750	\$2,500	\$3,125	\$3,438	\$3,781	\$4,159	\$4,575	\$4,6
Magic Kingdom - Direct Expenses	T	\$0	\$600	\$840	\$1,020	\$1,200	\$1,320	\$1,452	\$1,597	\$1,757	\$1,7
Epcot Rio - Direct Expenses	Т	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180	\$300	\$330	\$363	\$399	\$439	\$4
Resort & Property - Direct Expenses		\$0	\$188	\$263	\$375	\$469	\$516	\$567	\$624	\$686	\$7
Total Direct Expenses			\$788	\$1,103	\$1,575	\$1,969	\$2,166	\$2,382	\$2,620	\$2,882	\$2,9
Depreciation & Amortization		\$50	\$425	\$469	\$444	\$372	\$367	\$364	\$364	\$366	\$30
Allocated G&A Costs		\$0	\$188	\$263	\$375	\$469	\$516	\$567	\$624	\$686	\$7
Operating Income	Т	(\$50)	(\$150)	(\$84)	\$106	\$315	\$389	\$467	\$551	\$641	\$6
Taxes	Т	(\$19)	(\$57)	(\$32)	\$40	\$120	\$148	\$178	\$209	\$244	\$2:
Operating Income after Taxes	T	(\$31)	(\$93)	(\$52)	\$66	\$196	\$241	\$290	\$341	\$397	\$4

а				Book va	lue of		Average		
		After-tax					BV of	ROC	ROC
	Year	Operating	Pre-project investment	Fixed assets	Working capital	Total Capital	Capital	(a)	(b)
	0		\$500	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,500		NA	NA
	1	-\$31	\$450	\$3,000	\$0	\$3,450	\$2,975	-1.04%	-1.24%
	2	-\$93	\$400	\$3,813	\$63	\$4,275	\$3,863	-2.41%	-2.70%
	3	-\$52	\$350	\$4,145	\$88	\$4,582	\$4,429	-1.18%	-1.22%
	4	\$66	\$300	\$4,027	\$125	\$4,452	\$4,517	1.46%	1.44%
	5	\$196	\$250	\$3,962	\$156	\$4,368	\$4,410	4.43%	4.39%
	6	\$241	\$200	\$3,931	\$172	\$4,302	\$4,335	5.57%	5.52%
	7	\$290	\$150	\$3,931	\$189	\$4,270	\$4,286	6.76%	6.74%
	8	\$341	\$100	\$3,946	\$208	\$4,254	\$4,262	8.01%	8.00%
	9	\$397	\$50	\$3,978	\$229	\$4,257	\$4,255	9.34%	9.34%
	10	\$408	\$0	\$4,010	\$233	\$4,243	\$4,250	9.61%	9.59%
	Average							4.05%	3.99%














The cash flow view of this project												
Operating Income           Taxes           Operating Income after Taxes           + Depreciation & Amortization           - Capital Expenditures           - Change in Working Capital           Cash flow to Firm           To get from inc           - added back all           - subtracted out           - Subtracted out	Operating Income012345678910Operating Income1-\$50+\$150+\$84\$106\$315\$389\$467\$551\$641\$658Operating Income after Taxes-\$519-\$57-\$322\$400\$120\$148\$178\$209\$244\$250Operating Income after Taxes-\$31-\$93-\$52\$66\$196\$241\$209\$244\$279+ Depreciation & Amortization\$50\$425\$469\$444\$372\$367\$364\$366\$336- Capital Expenditures\$2,500\$1,000\$1,188\$752\$276\$228\$285\$314\$330\$347\$350- Change in Working Capital\$0\$0\$63\$25\$38\$31\$16\$17\$19\$21\$55cash flow to Firm-\$2,500-\$981-\$918-\$360\$196\$279\$307\$323\$357\$395\$422To get from income to cash flow, we•added back all non-cash charges such as depreciation•subtracted out the capital expenditures•subtracted out the capital expenditures•subtracted out the change in non-cash working capital											
Aswath Damodaran												217

The Depreciation Tax Benefit	
<ul> <li>While depreciation reduces taxable income and taxes, it does not reduce the cash flows.</li> <li>The benefit of depreciation is therefore the tax benefit. In general, the tax benefit from depreciation can be written as: Tax Benefit = Depreciation * Tax Rate Disney Theme Park: Depreciation tax savings (Tax rate = 38%)</li> <li>Depreciation \$\$50\$ \$\$425\$ \$\$469\$ \$\$444\$ \$\$372\$ \$\$367\$ \$\$364\$ \$\$364\$ \$\$366\$ \$\$368\$ Depreciation * t \$\$19\$ \$\$162\$ \$\$178\$ \$\$169\$ \$\$141\$ \$\$139\$ \$\$138\$ \$\$138\$ \$\$139\$ \$\$140\$</li> <li>Proposition 1: The tax benefit from depreciation and other non-cash charges is greater, the higher your tax rate.</li> <li>Proposition 2: Non-cash charges that are not tax deductible (such as amortization of goodwill) and thus provide no tax benefits have no effect on cash flows.</li> </ul>	
Aswath Damodaran 2:	18



























	Closure on Cash Flows	
	<ul> <li>In a project with a finite and short life, you would need to compute a salvage value, which is the expected proceeds from selling all of the investment in a project at the end of the project life. It is usually set equal to book value of fixed assets and working capital</li> <li>In a project with an infinite or very long life, we compute cash flows for a reasonable period, and then compute a terminal value for this project, which is the present value of all cash flows that occur after the estimation period ends</li> <li>Assuming the project lasts forever, and that cash flows after year 10 grow 2 (the inflation rate) forever, the present value at the end of year 10 of cash flow after that can be written as:</li> <li>Terminal Value in year 10= CF in year 11/(Cost of Capital - Growth Rate) =692 (1.02) /(.086202) = \$10,669 million</li> </ul>	ge the ch % ows
Aswath Damoda	aran	232

		Which yi	elds a NPV of.	•	
				Discounted at Rio	Disney cost
				of capital of $8.02\%$	)
	Year	Annual Cashflow	<b>Terminal Value</b>	Present Value	
	0	-\$2,000		-\$2,000	
	1	-\$1,000		-\$921	
	2	-\$860		-\$729	
	3	-\$270		-\$211	
	4	\$332		\$239	
	5	\$453		\$300	
	6	\$502		\$305	
	7	\$538		\$302	
	8	\$596		\$307	
	9	\$660		\$313	
	10	\$692	\$10,669	\$4,970	
		Net Present V	alue =	\$2,877	
				•	
Aswath Damodar	an				233













		Disney Tl	neme P	ark: \$R NP	V				
					Discount				
					back at				
					13.94%				
	Voor	Cashflow (\$)	D¢/¢	Cashflow (P\$)	Present Volue				
	10	¢ 2 000 00	R\$/\$ D\$ 2.04	Casililow (K\$)	Plesent value				
	0	-\$ 2,000.00	R\$ 2.04	-K\$ 4,080.00	-K\$ 4,080.00				
		-\$ 1,000.00	R\$ 2.14	-K\$ 2,140.00	-K\$ 1,8/8.14				
	2	-\$ 859.50	R\$ 2.24	-K\$ 1,929.49	-K\$ 1,480.19				
	3	-\$ 270.06	K\$ 2.55	-K\$ 035.98	-K\$ 429.92				
	4	\$ 332.50	R\$ 2.47	R\$ 821.40	R\$ 487.32				
	5	\$ 453.46	R\$ 2.59	R\$ 1,175.12	R\$ 611.87				
	6	\$ 501.55	R\$ 2.72	R\$ 1,363.46	R\$ 623.06				
	7	\$ 538.06	R\$ 2.85	R\$ 1,534.43	R\$ 615.39				
	8	\$ 595.64	R\$ 2.99	R\$ 1,781.89	R\$ 627.19				
	9	\$ 659.64	R\$ 3.14	R\$ 2,070.10	R\$ 639.48				
	10	\$ 11,360.86	R\$ 3.29	R\$ 37,400.49	R\$ 10,139.72				
					R\$ 5,869.78				
NPV = R\$ 5,870/2.04= \$ 2,877 Million NPV is equal to NPV in dollar terms									
Aswath Damodaran						240			



One simplistic	(bu ca	t effeo an get	ctive) so your m	olution: Soney ba	See how o	quickly you
■ If your bigge simple measured	est fe ure t	ear is los hat you	sing the bi can comp	llions that y ute is the n	you invested umber of ye	in the project, one ars it will take you to
get your mor	iey t	back.				
	Year	Cash Flow	Cumulated CE	PV of Cash Flow	Cumulated DCF	
	0	-\$2,000	-\$2,000	-\$2,000	-\$2,000	
	1	-\$1,000	-\$3,000	-\$921	-\$2,921	
	2	-\$860	-\$3,860	-\$729	-\$3,649	
	3	-\$270	-\$4,130	-\$211	-\$3,860	
	4	\$332	-\$3,797	\$239	-\$3,621	
	5	\$453	-\$3,344	\$300	-\$3,321	
	6	\$502	-\$2,842	\$305	-\$3,016	
	7	\$538	-\$2,304	\$302	-\$2,714	
	8	\$596	-\$1,708	\$307	-\$2,407	
	9	\$660	-\$1,049	\$313	-\$2.093	
	10	\$692	-\$357	\$303	-\$1,790	
Payback = 10.5 years $\rightarrow$	11	\$706	\$350	\$284	-\$1,506	
	12	\$720	\$1,070	\$267	-\$1,239	
	13	\$735	\$1,804	\$251	-\$988	
	14	\$749	\$2,554	\$236	-\$753	
	15	\$764	\$3,318	\$221	-\$531	
	16	\$780	\$4,097	\$208	-\$324	
	17	\$795	\$4,892	\$195	-\$129	
	18	\$811	\$5,703	\$183	\$55	Discounted Payback
	19	\$827	\$6,531	\$172	\$227	= 17.7 years
	20	\$844	\$7,374	\$162	\$388	5
Aswath Damodaran						242















Operating Assumptions	
<ul> <li>The plant will be partly in commission in a couple of months, but will have a capacity of only 650,000 tons in the first year, 700,000 tons in the second year before getting to its full capacity of 750,000 tons in the third year.</li> <li>The capacity utilization rate will be 90% for the first 3 years, and rise to 95% after that.</li> <li>The price per ton of linerboard is currently \$400, and is expected to keep pace with inflation for the life of the plant.</li> <li>The variable cost of production, primarily labor and material, is expected to be 55% of total revenues; there is a fixed cost of 50 Million BR, which will grow at the inflation rate.</li> <li>The working capital requirements are estimated to be 15% of total revenues, and the investments have to be made at the beginning of each year. At the end of the tenth year, it is anticipated that the entire working capital will be salvaged.</li> </ul>	
Aswath Damodaran 250	)



_		Breakin	g down debt	payments b	y year	
	Year	Beginning Debt	Interest expense	Principal Repaid	Total Payment	Ending Debt
	1	R\$ 100.000	R\$ 6.373	R\$ 7.455	R\$ 13.828	R\$ 92.545
	2	R\$ 92,545	R\$ 5,897	R\$ 7,930	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 84,615
	3	R\$ 84,615	R\$ 5,392	R\$ 8,436	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 76,179
	4	R\$ 76,179	R\$ 4,855	R\$ 8,973	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 67,206
	5	R\$ 67,206	R\$ 4,283	R\$ 9,545	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 57,661
	6	R\$ 57,661	R\$ 3,674	R\$ 10,153	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 47,508
	7	R\$ 47,508	R\$ 3,027	R\$ 10,800	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 36,708
	8	R\$ 36,708	R\$ 2,339	R\$ 11,488	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 25,220
	9	R\$ 25,220	R\$ 1,607	R\$ 12,220	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 12,999
	10	R\$ 12,999	R\$ 828	R\$ 12,999	R\$ 13,828	R\$ 0
Aswath Darr	nodarar	ı				252

					D	DI				
			Net In	come:	Paper	r Plant	Ē.			
					-					
	1	2	2	4	6	6	7	8	0	10
Capacity (in '000s)	650	700	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	750
Utilization Rate	90%	90%	90%	05%	95%	05%	95%	95%	05%	95%
Production Rate (in '000	585	630	675	713	713	713	713	713	713	7/3
Price per ton	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Revenues (in Real BR 0	R\$ 234,000	R\$ 252,000	RS 270,000	RS 285,000	RS 285.0					
- Direct Expenses	R\$ 155,300	R\$ 163,400	R\$ 171,500	R\$ 178,250	R\$ 178,2					
- Depreciation	R\$ 35,000	R\$ 28,000	R\$ 22,400	R\$ 17,920	R\$ 14,336	R\$ 21,469	R\$ 21,469	R\$ 21,469	R\$ 21,469	R\$ 21,4
Operating Income	RS 43,700	RS 60,600	RS 76,100	RS 88,830	RS 92,414	RS 85,281	RS 85,281	RS 85,281	RS 85,281	RS 85,2
- Interest Expenses	R\$ 6,373	R\$ 5,897	R\$ 5,392	R\$ 4,855	R\$ 4,283	R\$ 3,674	R\$ 3,027	R\$ 2,339	R\$ 1,607	R\$ 82
Taxable Income	R\$ 37,327	R\$ 54,703	R\$ 70,708	R\$ 83,975	R\$ 88,131	R\$ 81,607	R\$ 82,254	R\$ 82,942	R\$ 83,674	R\$ 84,4
- Taxes	R\$ 12,691	R\$ 18,599	R\$ 24,041	R\$ 28,552	R\$ 29,965	R\$ 27,746	R\$ 27,966	R\$ 28,200	RS 28,449	R\$ 28,7
Net Income	R\$ 24,636	RS 36,104	R\$ 46,667	R\$ 55,424	RS 58,167	R\$ 53,860	R\$ 54,287	RS 54,742	R\$ 55,225	RS 55,7
Net Income	R\$ 24,636	RS 36,104	R\$ 46,667	R\$ 55,424	RS 58,167	R\$ 53,860	R\$ 54,287	R\$ 54,742	R\$ 55,225	R\$ 55,7

A ROE Analysis								
Year Net Income Reg. B	W: Assets Depreciation Capital Evo	Ending BV: Assets BV ~	of Working Capital	Debt	RV: Equity	Average BV: Equity	ROF	
0	REO REO RESSON	P¢ 250 000	Re 35 100	24 100 000	R¢ 185 100	Average by: Equity	NOE	
1 86 24 626 86 2	250.000 PE 25.000 PE 0	R\$ 230,000	R\$ 33,100 R	RE 02 545	R¢ 160 255	R# 172 679	14 27%	
2 R\$ 36.104 R\$ 2	215.000 R\$ 28.000 R\$ 0	R\$ 187,000	R\$ 40,500	R\$ 84 615	R\$ 142.885	R\$ 151,570	23.82%	
2 R\$ 30,104 R\$ 2	187.000 R\$ 22,000 R\$ 0	R\$ 164 600	R\$ 40,300	R\$ 76 170	R\$ 142,005	R\$ 131,370 R\$ 137,028	34.06%	
4 R\$ 55 424 R\$ 1	164 600 R\$ 17 920 R\$ 0	R\$ 146 680	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 67 206	R\$ 122 224	R\$ 126 697	43 75%	
5 R\$ 58 167 R\$ 1	146 680 R\$ 14 336 R\$ 50 000	R\$ 182.344	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 57,661	R\$ 167.433	R\$ 144.828	40.16%	
6 R\$ 53,860 R\$ 1	182 344 R\$ 21 469 R\$ 0	R\$ 160.875	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 47 508	R\$ 156 117	R\$ 161.775	33.29%	
7 R\$ 54 287 R\$ 1	160.875 P\$ 21.469 P\$ 0	R\$ 130,075	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 36 708	R\$ 145 448	R\$ 151,773	36.00%	
8 R5 54 742 R5 1	139.406 P£ 21.469 P£ 0	R\$ 133,400	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 25 220	R¢ 135 468	R\$ 140,765	38.97%	
0 R3 34,742 R3 1	117 038 DE 21,460 DE 0	P¢ 06 460	R\$ 42,750	R\$ 25,220	R\$ 135,400	R\$ 140,450 R\$ 130,844	42 210	
10 PE 55 720 PE	06 460 PE 21 460 PE 0	R\$ 35,403	R\$ 42,750	D# 0	R# 75 000	R# 100,610	SE 40%	
10 13 55,755 13	30,403 K\$ 21,403 K\$ 0	K\$ 73,000	Na V	n# 0	K\$ 73,000	K\$ 100,010	26 100	
Ł	Real ROE of 36.19% is greater than Real Cost of Equity of 18.45%							
Aswath Damodaran							254	

From Project ROE to Firm ROE							
As with the earlier analysis, where we used return on capital and cost of capital to measure the overall quality of projects at firms, we can compute return on equity and cost of equity to pass judgment on whether firms are creating value to its equity investors. Equity Excess Returns and EVA: 2008							
Company	Net Income	BV of Equity	ROE	Cost of Equity	ROE - Cost of Equity	Equity EVA	
Disney	\$4,427	\$30,753	14.40%	8.91%	5,49%	\$1,688.34	
Aracruz	-R\$ 4,213	5361	-78.59%	18.45%	-97.05%	(\$5,202.85)	
Bookscape	\$1.50	\$6.00	25.00%	20.94%	4.06%	\$0.24	
Deutsche Bank	-€ 3,835.00	€ 38,466.00	-9.97%	10.72%	-20.69%	(\$7,958.62)	
Tata Chemicals	INR 9,644	23,928	40.30%	13.93%	26.37%	\$6,309.81	
Tata Chemicals(w/o extraor	rdinary loss) INR 3,700	23928	15.46%	13.93%	1.53%	\$366.10	
Tata Chemicals(w/o extraordinary loss)         INR 3,700         23928         15.46%         13.93%         1.53%         \$366.10           Aswath Damodaran         255							
Aswath Damodaran						255	



	Ar	n Equity NPV	Discounted at real	l
			18.45%	
	Year	FCFE	PV of FCFE	
	0	(185,100 BR)	(185,100 BR)	
	1	49,481 BR	41,773 BR	
	2	53,474 BR	38,110 BR	
	3	58,382 BR	35,126 BR	
	4	64,371 BR	32,696 BR	
	5	12,958 BR	5,556 BR	
	6	65,176 BR	23,594 BR	
	7	64,956 BR	19,851 BR	
	8	64,722 BR	16,698 BR	
	9	64,473 BR	14,043 BR	
	10	181,958 BR	33,458 BR	
	NPV		75,806 BR	
Aswath Damodaran				257









Should you hedge?						
T P S a b E T fc h a b E	he value of this plant is very much a function of paper and pulp rices. There are futures, forward and option markets on paper and ulp that Aracruz can use to hedge against paper price movements. hould it? ) Yes ) No Explain. he value of the plant is also a function of exchange rates. There are prward, futures and options markets on currency. Should Aracruz edge against exchange rate risk? ) Yes ) No xplain.					
Aswath Damodaran						



















Case 1: IRR versus NPV							
■ Consider two projects with the following cash flows:							
	Year	Project 1 CF	Project 2 CF				
	0	-1000	-1000				
	1	800	200				
100	2	1000	300				
	3	1300	400				
	4	-2200	500				
Aswath Damodaran							











	The sources of capital rationing					
_	_	-				
	Cause	Number of firms	Percent of total			
	Debt limit imposed by outside agreement	10	10.7			
	Debt limit placed by management external	3	3.2			
	to firm					
	Limit placed on borrowing by internal	65	69.1			
	Postrictive policy imposed on retained	2	2.1			
	earnings	2	2.1			
	Maintenance of target EPS or PE ratio	14	14.9			
			·			
Aswath Damod	Aswath Damodaran					






















IRR S	1998	1976	1986
IRR 5			
	53.6%	49.0%	42.0%
Accounting Return 2	25.0%	8.0%	7.0%
NPV	9.8%	21.0%	34.0%
Payback Period	3.9%	19.0%	14.0%
Profitability Index 2	2.7%	3.0%	3.0%











	Incremental	Cash flo	ws on In	vestmen	t	
_		-				
		0	1	2	3	4
	Revenues		\$1,500,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,980,000	\$2,178,00
	Operating Expenses					
	Labor		\$150,000	\$165,000	\$181,500	\$199,650
	Materials		\$900,000	\$1,080,000	\$1,188,000	\$1,306,80
	Depreciation		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
	Operating Income		\$200,000	\$305,000	\$360,500	\$421,550
	Taxes		\$80,000	\$122,000	\$144,200	\$168,620
	After-tax Operating Income		\$120,000	\$183,000	\$216,300	\$252,930
	+ Depreciation		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
	- Change in Working Capital	\$150,000	\$30,000	\$18,000	\$19,800	-\$217,800
	+ Salvage Value of Investment					\$0
	ATCF	-\$1,150,000	\$340,000	\$415,000	\$446,500	\$720,730
	Present Value	-\$1,150,000	\$270,957	\$263,568	\$225,989	\$290,710
		NPV of inve	estment = -	98 775		
			φ,	-,		
swath D	amodaran					2



		NPV wit	h side co	osts		
		Add	itional sald	ary costs		
		1	2	3	4	Total
	Increase in Salary	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,050	\$23,153	
	After-tax expense	\$12,000	\$12,600	\$13,230	\$13,892	600.077
	Present Value	\$9,563	\$8,002	\$6,696	\$5,603	\$29,865
Г	NPV adjus	Addition After-ta Present Sted for side cos Opportunity co	ts= -98,775	ts = \$1,000.00 \$600.00 = \$1,404.92 5- \$29,865 - ated into cas	\$1405 = \$1 h flows	30,045
	rear	Cashflows	Opportunity	costs w with opp	000 stunit Present	Value
	1	\$340.000	\$12.60	0 \$327.4	00 \$260.9	16
	2	\$415,000	\$13.20	0 \$401.8	00 \$255.1	84
	3	\$446,500	\$13.83	0 \$432.6	70 \$218.9	989
	4	\$720,730	\$14,49	2 \$706,2	38 \$284,8	365
	Adjusted NPV				-\$130,	045
Aswath Damo	daran					298







		Oppo	rtunity C	ost of Exe	cess Capa	ncit	у	
	Year	Old	New	Old + Nev	v Lost ATCF	Р	V(ATCF)	
	2	55.00%	31.50%	80.00% 86.50%	\$0 \$0			
	3	60.50%	33.08%	93.58%	\$0			
	4	66.55%	34.73%	101.28%	\$5,115	\$	3,251	
	5	73.21%	36.47%	109.67%	\$38,681	\$	21,949	
	6	80.53%	38.29%	118.81%	\$75,256	\$	38,127	
	7	88.58%	40.20%	128.78%	\$115,124	\$	52,076	
	8	97.44%	42.21%	139.65%	\$158,595	\$	64,054	
	9	100%	44.32%	144.32%	\$177,280	\$	63,929	
	10	100%	46.54%	146.54%	\$186,160	\$	59,939	
				PV(Lost Sale	es)=	\$	303,324	
L	■ PV ( -1,500 ■ Opp	(Building Cap ,000/1.12 <sup>8</sup> = S ortunity Cost	oacity In Yes \$ 461,846 of Excess C	ar 3 Instead ( Capacity = \$	Of Year 8) = 303,324	: 1,5	00,000/1.123	
Aswath Da	modaran							302



	B. Project Synergies	
	<ul> <li>A project may provide benefits for other projects within the firm. Consider, instance, a typical Disney animated movie. Assume that it costs \$ 50 million produce and promote. This movie, in addition to theatrical revenues, also produces revenues from <ul> <li>the sale of merchandise (stuffed toys, plastic figures, clothes)</li> <li>increased attendance at the theme parks</li> <li>stage shows (see "Beauty and the Beast" and the "Lion King")</li> <li>television series based upon the movie</li> </ul> </li> <li>In investment analysis, however, these synergies are either left unquantified and used to justify overriding the results of investment analysis, i.e., used as justification for investing in negative NPV projects.</li> <li>If synergies exist and they often do, these benefits have to be valued and shown in the initial project analysis.</li> </ul>	for n to
Aswath Damoc	laran	304























	a. Post Mortem Analysis	
	<ul> <li>The actual cash flows from an investment can be greater than or less than originally forecast for a number of reasons but all these reasons can be categorized into two groups:</li> <li><u>Chance</u>: The nature of risk is that actual outcomes can be different from expectations. Even when forecasts are based upon the best of information, they w invariably be wrong in hindsight because of unexpected shifts in both macro (inflation, interest rates, economic growth) and micro (competitors, company) variables.</li> <li><u>Bias</u>: If the original forecasts were biased, the actual numbers will be different from expectations. The evidence on capital budgeting is that managers tend to be overoptimistic about cash flows and the bias is worse with over-confident managers.</li> <li>While it is impossible to tell on an individual project whether chance or bias to blame, there is a way to tell across projects and across time. If chance is the culprit, there should be symmetry in the errors – actuals should be about as likely to beat forecasts as they are to come under forecasts. If bias is the reason, the errors will tend to be in one direction.</li> </ul>	ill om is ie
Aswath Damod	laran	316







