Picking the Right Projects: Investment Analysis

First Principles

Invest in projects that yield a return greater than the **minimum acceptable hurdle rate**.

- The hurdle rate should be higher for riskier projects and reflect the financing mix used owners' funds (equity) or borrowed money (debt)
- Returns on projects should be measured based on cash flows generated and the timing of these cash flows; they should also consider both positive and negative side effects of these projects.
- Choose a financing mix that minimizes the hurdle rate and matches the assets being financed.
- If there are not enough investments that earn the hurdle rate, return the cash to stockholders.
 - The form of returns dividends and stock buybacks will depend upon the stockholders' characteristics.

What is a investment or a project?

- Any decision that requires the use of resources (financial or otherwise) is a project.
- Broad strategic decisions
 - Entering new areas of business
 - Entering new markets
 - Acquiring other companies
- Tactical decisions
- Management decisions
 - The product mix to carry
 - The level of inventory and credit terms
- Decisions on delivering a needed service
 - Lease or buy a distribution system
 - Creating and delivering a management information system

The notion of a benchmark

- Since financial resources are finite, there is a hurdle that projects have to cross before being deemed acceptable.
- This hurdle will be higher for riskier projects than for safer projects.
- A simple representation of the hurdle rate is as follows:

Hurdle rate = Riskless Rate + Risk Premium

- The two basic questions that every risk and return model in finance tries to answer are:
 - How do you measure risk?
 - How do you translate this risk measure into a risk premium?

What is Risk?

Risk, in traditional terms, is viewed as a 'negative'. Webster's dictionary, for instance, defines risk as "exposing to danger or hazard". The Chinese symbols for risk, reproduced below, give a much better description of risk



The first symbol is the symbol for "danger", while the second is the symbol for "opportunity", making risk a mix of danger and opportunity.

The Capital Asset Pricing Model

Uses variance as a measure of risk

- Specifies that a portion of variance can be diversified away, and that is only the non-diversifiable portion that is rewarded.
- Measures the non-diversifiable risk with beta, which is standardized around one.
- Translates beta into expected return -

Expected Return = Riskfree rate + Beta * Risk Premium

Works as well as the next best alternative in most cases.

The Mean-Variance Framework



The Importance of Diversification: Risk Types

The risk (variance) on any individual investment can be broken down into two sources. Some of the risk is specific to the firm, and is called firm-specific, whereas the rest of the risk is market wide and affects all investments.

The risk faced by a firm can be fall into the following categories –

- (1) <u>Project-specific</u>; an individual project may have higher or lower cash flows than expected.
- (2) <u>Competitive Risk</u>, which is that the earnings and cash flows on a project can be affected by the actions of competitors.
- (3) <u>Industry-specific Risk</u>, which covers factors that primarily impact the earnings and cash flows of a specific industry.
- (4) <u>International Risk</u>, arising from having some cash flows in currencies other than the one in which the earnings are measured and stock is priced
- (5) <u>Market risk</u>, which reflects the effect on earnings and cash flows of macro economic factors that essentially affect all companies

Aswath Damodaran

The Effects of Diversification

Firm-specific risk <u>can be reduced</u>, if not eliminated, by <u>increasing the</u> <u>number of investments in your portfolio (i.e., by being diversified)</u>. Market-wide risk cannot. This can be justified on either economic or statistical grounds.

- On economic grounds, diversifying and holding a larger portfolio eliminates firm-specific risk for two reasons-
 - (a) Each investment is a <u>much smaller percentage</u> of the portfolio, muting the effect (positive or negative) on the overall portfolio.
 - (b) Firm-specific actions can be either positive or negative. In a large portfolio, it is argued, these effects will <u>average out to zero</u>. (For every firm, where something bad happens, there will be some other firm, where something good happens.)

The Role of the Marginal Investor

- The marginal investor in a firm is the investor who is most likely to be the buyer or seller on the next trade.
- Generally speaking, the marginal investor in a stock has to own a lot of stock and also trade a lot.
- Since trading is required, the largest investor may not be the marginal investor, especially if he or she is a founder/manager of the firm (Michael Dell at Dell Computers or Bill Gates at Microsoft)
- In all risk and return models in finance, we assume that the marginal investor is well diversified.

The Market Portfolio

Assuming <u>diversification costs nothing (in terms of transactions costs)</u>, and that <u>all assets can be traded</u>, the limit of diversification is to hold a portfolio of every single asset in the economy (in proportion to market value). This portfolio is called the market portfolio.

Individual investors will adjust for risk, by adjusting their allocations to this market portfolio and a riskless asset (such as a T-Bill)

Preferred risk level	Allocation decision		
No risk	100% in T-Bills		
Some risk	50% in T-Bills; 50% in Market Portfolio;		
A little more risk	25% in T-Bills; 75% in Market Portfolio		
Even more risk	100% in Market Portfolio		
A risk hog	Borrow money; Invest in market portfolio		

Every investor holds some combination of the risk free asset and the market portfolio.
Aswath Damodaran

The Risk of an Individual Asset

- The risk of any asset is the <u>risk that it adds</u> to the market portfolio
- Statistically, this risk can be measured by how much an asset moves with the market (called the covariance)
- Beta is a standardized measure of this covariance
- Beta is a measure of the non-diversifiable risk for any asset can be measured by the covariance of its returns with returns on a market index, which is defined to be the asset's beta.
- The cost of equity will be the required return,

Cost of Equity = R_f + Equity Beta * (E(R_m) - R_f)

where,

 $R_f = Riskfree rate$

 $E(R_m) = Expected Return on the Market Index$

Limitations of the CAPM

- 1. The model makes unrealistic assumptions
- 2. The parameters of the model cannot be estimated precisely
 - Definition of a market index
 - Firm may have changed during the 'estimation' period'
- 3. The model does not work well
 - If the model is right, there should be
 - a linear relationship between returns and betas
 - the only variable that should explain returns is betas
 - The reality is that
 - the relationship between betas and returns is weak
 - Other variables (size, price/book value) seem to explain differences in returns better.

Alternatives to the CAPM



Identifying the Marginal Investor in your firm...

Percent of Stock held by	Percent of Stock held by	Marginal Investor	
Institutions	Insiders		
High	Low	Institutional Investor ^a	
High	High	Institutional Investor, with	
		insider influence	
Low	High (held by	Insider (often undiversified)	
	founder/manager of firm)		
Low	High (held by wealthy	Wealthy individual	
	individual investor)	investor, fairly diversified	
Low	Low	Small individual investor	
		with restricted	
		diversification	

Looking at Disney's top stockholders (again)

(HELP) for explana	tion.			dgp b	quity H	DS
Enter #KGD> to sele	ct aggregate portfolio	a and see	APCH	Informa	1100 11970 254	.9710
DIS U	S	DISNEY	(WALT) CO	Pe	ige 1	/ 100
			200 (A. 1997)	Percent	Latest F	[]ing
Holder name	Portfolio Name	Source	Held	Outstd	Change D	118
DBARCLAYS GLUBAL	BARCLAYS BANK PLC	- 13F, -	83,630M	4.095	1,750M	09/02
ZCITIGROUP INC	CITIGROUP INCORPORAT	13F	62,857M	3.078	4,8111	09/02
▶ 3FIDELITY MANAGEM	FIDELITY MANAGEMENT	13F	56,125M	2.748	5,9921	09/02
4STATE STREET	STATE STREET CORPORA	13F	54,635M	2.675	2,2391	09/02
SCOUTHEASTRN ASST	SOUTHEASTERN ASSET M	13F	47,333M	2.318	14,604M	09/02
EST FARM HU AUTO	STATE FARM MUTUAL AU	13F	41,938M	2.054	120,599	09/02
7/VANGUARD GROUP	VANGUARD GROUP INC	13F	34,721M	1.700	-63,839	09/02
IMELLON BANK N A	MELLON BANK CORP	13F	32,693M	1,601	957,489	09/02
IPUTNAM INVEST	PUTNAM INVESTMENT MA	13F	28,1538	1.379	-11,4681	09/02
IDLORD ABBETT & CD	LORD ABBETT & CO	13F	24,541M	1.202	5,3851	09/02
IDMONTAG CALDUELL	MONTAG & CALDWELL IN	13F	24,466M	1.198	-11,3734	09/02
IZCEUTSCHE BANK AK	DEUTSCHE BANK AG	13F	23,239M	1.138	-5,002H	09/02
IDMORGAN STANLEY	MORGAN STANLEY	13F	19,655M	0.962	3,4821	09/02
HOPRICE T ROWE	T ROWE PRICE ASSOCIA	13F	19,133M	0.537	2,925H	09/02
ISROY EDWARD DISNE	n/a	PROXY	17,5478	0,659	-126,710	12/01
IDROVA FINANCIAL	ALLIANCE CAPITAL MAN	13F	14,283H	0,699	69,353	09/02
17.JP MORGAN CHASE	JP MORGAN CHASE & CO	13F	14,2098	0,696	462,791	09/02
Sub-totals for curr	ent page:	S. Same	599,159M	29,340	726224	22224

Bloomberg

Aswath Damodaran

Analyzing Disney's Stockholders

Percent of stock held by insiders = 1%
 Percent of stock held by institutions = 62%
 Who is the marginal investor in Disney?

Sapplication Test: Who is the marginal investor in your firm?

You can get information on insider and institutional holdings in your firm from:

http://finance.yahoo.com/

Enter your company's symbol and choose profile.

Looking at the breakdown of stockholders in your firm, consider whether the marginal investor is

- a) An institutional investor
- b) An individual investor
- c) An insider

Inputs required to use the CAPM -

- (a) the current risk-free rate
- (b) the expected market risk premium (the premium expected for investing in risky assets over the riskless asset)
- (c) the beta of the asset being analyzed.

The Riskfree Rate and Time Horizon

- On a riskfree asset, the actual return is equal to the expected return. Therefore, there is no variance around the expected return.
- For an investment to be riskfree, i.e., to have an actual return be equal to the expected return, two conditions have to be met
 - There has to be no default risk, which generally implies that the security has to be issued by the government. Note, however, that not all governments can be viewed as default free.
 - There can be no uncertainty about reinvestment rates, which implies that it is a zero coupon security with the same maturity as the cash flow being analyzed.

Riskfree Rate in Practice

- The riskfree rate is the rate on a zero coupon government bond matching the time horizon of the cash flow being analyzed.
- Theoretically, this translates into using different riskfree rates for each cash flow the 1 year zero coupon rate for the cash flow in year 1, the 2-year zero coupon rate for the cash flow in year 2 ...
- Practically speaking, if there is substantial uncertainty about expected cash flows, the present value effect of using time varying riskfree rates is small enough that it may not be worth it.

The Bottom Line on Riskfree Rates

- Using a <u>long term government rate (even on a coupon bond</u>) as the riskfree rate on all of the cash flows in a long term analysis will yield a close approximation of the true value.
- For short term analysis, it is entirely appropriate to use a <u>short term</u> <u>government security rate</u> as the riskfree rate.
- If the analysis is being done in real terms (rather than nominal terms) use a real riskfree rate, which can be obtained in one of two ways
 - from an inflation-indexed government bond, if one exists
 - set equal, approximately, to the long term real growth rate of the economy in which the valuation is being done.
- Data Source: You can get riskfree rates for the US in a number of sites. Try <u>http://www.bloomberg.com/markets.</u>

Measurement of the risk premium

The risk premium is the premium that investors demand for investing in an average risk investment, relative to the riskfree rate.

- As a general proposition, this premium should be
 - greater than zero
 - increase with the risk aversion of the investors in that market
 - increase with the riskiness of the "average" risk investment

What is your risk premium?

- Assume that stocks are the only risky assets and that you are offered two investment options:
 - a riskless investment (say a Government Security), on which you can make 5%
 - a mutual fund of all stocks, on which the returns are uncertain
- How much of an expected return would you demand to shift your money from the riskless asset to the mutual fund?
 - a) Less than 5%
 - b) Between 5 7%
 - c) Between 7 9%
 - d) Between 9 11%
 - e) Between 11-13%
 - f) More than 13%

Check your premium against the survey premium on my web site.

Risk Aversion and Risk Premiums

- If this were the capital market line, the risk premium would be a weighted average of the risk premiums demanded by each and every investor.
- The weights will be determined by the magnitude of wealth that each investor has. Thus, Warren Bufffet's risk aversion counts more towards determining the "equilibrium" premium than yours' and mine.
- As investors become more risk averse, you would expect the "equilibrium" premium to increase.

Risk Premiums do change..

Go back to the previous example. Assume now that you are making the same choice but that you are making it in the aftermath of a stock market crash (it has dropped 25% in the last month). Would you change your answer?

- a) I would demand a larger premium
- b) I would demand a smaller premium
- c) I would demand the same premium

Estimating Risk Premiums in Practice

- <u>Survey investors on their desired risk premiums and use the average</u> premium from these surveys.
- Assume that the actual premium delivered over long time periods is equal to the expected premium i.e., <u>use historical data</u>
- Estimate the implied premium in today's asset prices.

The Survey Approach

Surveying all investors in a market place is impractical.

- However, you can survey a few investors (especially the larger investors) and use these results. In practice, this translates into surveys of money managers' expectations of expected returns on stocks over the next year.
- The limitations of this approach are:
 - there are no constraints on reasonability (the survey could produce negative risk premiums or risk premiums of 50%)
 - they are extremely volatile
 - they tend to be short term; even the longest surveys do not go beyond one year

The Historical Premium Approach

- This is the default approach used by most to arrive at the premium to use in the model
- In most cases, this approach does the following
 - it defines a time period for the estimation (1926-Present, 1962-Present....)
 - it calculates average returns on a stock index during the period
 - it calculates average returns on a riskless security over the period
 - it calculates the difference between the two
 - and uses it as a premium looking forward
- The limitations of this approach are:
 - it assumes that the risk aversion of investors has not changed in a systematic way across time. (The risk aversion may change from year to year, but it reverts back to historical averages)
 - it assumes that the riskiness of the "risky" portfolio (stock index) has not changed in a systematic way across time.

Historical Average Premiums for the United States

	Arithmetic average		Geometric Average		
	Stocks -	Stocks -	Stocks -	Stocks -	
Historical Period	T.Bills	T.Bonds	T.Bills	T.Bonds	
1928-2003	7.92%	6.54%	5.99%	4.82%	
1963-2003	6.09%	4.70%	4.85%	3.82%	
1993-2003	8.43%	4.87%	6.68%	3.57%	

What is the right premium?

Go back as far as you can. Otherwise, the standard error in the estimate will be large. (Std Error in estimate = Annualized Std deviation in Stock prices)

 $\sqrt{\text{Number of years of historical data}}$

- Be consistent in your use of a riskfree rate.
- Use arithmetic premiums for one-year estimates of costs of equity and geometric premiums for estimates of long term costs of equity.

<u>Data Source</u>: Check out the returns by year and estimate your own historical premiums by going to <u>updated data on my web site</u>.

What about historical premiums for other markets?

- Historical data for markets outside the United States is available for much shorter time periods. The problem is even greater in emerging markets.
- The historical premiums that emerge from this data reflects this and there is much greater error associated with the estimates of the premiums.

One solution: Look at a country's bond rating and default spreads as a start

- Ratings agencies such as S&P and Moody's assign ratings to countries that reflect their assessment of the default risk of these countries. These ratings reflect the political and economic stability of these countries and thus provide a useful measure of country risk. In September 2003, for instance, Brazil had a country rating of B2.
- If a country issues bonds denominated in a different currency (say dollars or euros), you can also see how the bond market views the risk in that country. In September 2003, Brazil had dollar denominated C-Bonds, trading at an interest rate of 10.17%. The US treasury bond rate that day was 4.16%, yielding a default spread of 6.01% for Brazil.
- Many analysts add this default spread to the US risk premium to come up with a risk premium for a country. Using this approach would yield a risk premium of 10.83% for Brazil, if we use 4.82% as the premium for the US.

Beyond the default spread

Country ratings measure default risk. While default risk premiums and equity risk premiums are highly correlated, one would expect equity spreads to be higher than debt spreads. If we can compute how much more risky the equity market is, relative to the bond market, we could use this information. For example,

- Standard Deviation in Bovespa (Equity) = 33.37%
- Standard Deviation in Brazil C-Bond = 26.15%
- Default spread on C-Bond = 6.01%
- Country Risk Premium for Brazil = 6.01% (33.37%/26.15%) = 7.67%%
- Note that this is on top of the premium you estimate for a mature market. Thus, if you assume that the risk premium in the US is 4.82%, the risk premium for Brazil would be 12.49%.

Implied Equity Premiums



Implied Premiums in the US



Aswath Damodaran

S Application Test: A Market Risk Premium

- Based upon our discussion of historical risk premiums so far, the risk premium looking forward should be:
- About 7.92%, which is what the arithmetic average premium has been since 1928, for stocks over T.Bills
- □ About 4.82%, which is the geometric average premium since 1928, for stocks over T.Bonds
- \Box About 3.7%, which is the implied premium in the stock market today
Estimating Beta

The standard procedure for estimating betas is to regress stock returns (R_j) against market returns (R_m) -

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}$$

• where a is the intercept and b is the slope of the regression.

The slope of the regression corresponds to the beta of the stock, and measures the riskiness of the stock.

Estimating Performance

The intercept of the regression provides a simple measure of performance during the period of the regression, relative to the capital asset pricing model.

$$\begin{split} R_{j} &= R_{f} + b \ (R_{m} - R_{f}) \\ &= R_{f} \ (1 - b) + b \ R_{m} \\ R_{j} &= a \\ &+ b \ R_{m} \end{split} \qquad \mbox{Capital Asset Pricing Model} \\ R_{g} &= a \\ &+ b \ R_{m} \\ \mbox{Model} \end{split}$$

■ If

 $a > R_f (1-b) \dots$ Stock did better than expected during regression period $a = R_f (1-b) \dots$ Stock did as well as expected during regression period $a < R_f (1-b) \dots$ Stock did worse than expected during regression period \blacksquare This is Jensen's alpha.

Firm Specific and Market Risk

The R squared (R^2) of the regression provides an estimate of the proportion of the risk (variance) of a firm that can be attributed to market risk;

The balance $(1 - R^2)$ can be attributed to firm specific risk.

Setting up for the Estimation

Decide on an estimation period

- Services use periods ranging from 2 to 5 years for the regression
- Longer estimation period provides more data, but firms change.
- Shorter periods can be affected more easily by significant firm-specific event that occurred during the period (Example: ITT for 1995-1997)
- Decide on a return interval daily, weekly, monthly
 - Shorter intervals yield more observations, but suffer from more noise.
 - Noise is created by stocks not trading and biases all betas towards one.
- Estimate returns (including dividends) on stock
 - Return = ($Price_{End}$ $Price_{Beginning}$ + Dividends_{Period})/ $Price_{Beginning}$
 - Included dividends only in ex-dividend month
- Choose a market index, and estimate returns (inclusive of dividends) on the index for each interval for the period.

Choosing the Parameters: Disney

- Period used: 5 years
- Return Interval = Monthly
- Market Index: S&P 500 Index.
- For instance, to calculate returns on Disney in April 1992,
 - Price for Disney at end of March = \$ 37.87
 - Price for Disney at end of April = \$ 36.42
 - Dividends during month = \$0.05 (It was an ex-dividend month)
 - Return = (\$36.42 \$37.87 + \$0.05)/\$37.87 = -3.69%
- To estimate returns on the index in the same month
 - Index level (including dividends) at end of March = 404.35
 - Index level (including dividends) at end of April = 415.53
 - Return = (415.53 404.35)/404.35 = 2.76%

Disney's Historical Beta



Aswath Damodaran

The Regression Output



Slope = 1.40

Analyzing Disney's Performance

Intercept = -0.01%

- This is an intercept based on monthly returns. Thus, it has to be compared to a monthly riskfree rate.
- Between 1992 and 1996,
 - Monthly Riskfree Rate = 0.4% (Annual T.Bill rate divided by 12)
 - Riskfree Rate (1-Beta) = 0.4% (1-1.40) = -.16%
- The Comparison is then between

Intercept	versus	Riskfree Rate (1 - Beta)
-0.01%	versus	0.4%(1-1.40) = -0.16%

- Jensen's Alpha = -0.01% -(-0.16%) = 0.15%
- Disney did 0.15% better than expected, per month, between 1992 and 1996.
 - Annualized, Disney's annual excess return = $(1.0015)^{12}$ -1= 1.81%

More on Jensen's Alpha

If you did this analysis on every stock listed on an exchange, what would the average Jensen's alpha be across all stocks?

- a) Depend upon whether the market went up or down during the period
- b) Should be zero
- c) Should be greater than zero, because stocks tend to go up more often than down

Estimating Disney's Beta

- Slope of the Regression of 1.40 is the beta
- Regression parameters are always estimated with noise. The noise is captured in the standard error of the beta estimate, which in the case of Disney is 0.27.
- Assume that I asked you what Disney's true beta is, after this regression.
 - What is your best point estimate?
 - What range would you give me, with 67% confidence?
 - What range would you give me, with 95% confidence?

The Dirty Secret of "Standard Error"



Breaking down Disney's Risk

- R Squared = 32%
- This implies that
 - 32% of the risk at Disney comes from market sources
 - 68%, therefore, comes from firm-specific sources
- The firm-specific risk is diversifiable and will not be rewarded

The Relevance of R Squared

You are a diversified investor trying to decide whether you should invest in Disney or Amgen. They both have betas of 140, but Disney has an R Squared of 32% while Amgen's R squared of only 15%. Which one would you invest in?

- a) Amgen, because it has the lower R squared
- b) Disney, because it has the higher R squared
- c) You would be indifferent

Would your answer be different if you were an undiversified investor?

Beta Estimation in Practice: Bloomberg



Aswath Damodaran

50

Estimating Expected Returns: September 30, 1997

Inputs to the expected return calculation

- Disney's Beta = 1.40
- Riskfree Rate = 7.00% (Long term Government Bond rate)
- Risk Premium = 5.50% (Approximate historical premium)

Expected Return = Riskfree Rate + Beta (Risk Premium)

= 7.00% + 1.40(5.50%) = 14.70%

Use to a Potential Investor in Disney

As a potential investor in Disney, what does this expected return of 14.70% tell you?

- a) This is the return that I can expect to make in the long term on Disney, if the stock is correctly priced and the CAPM is the right model for risk,
- b) This is the return that I need to make on Disney in the long term to break even on my investment in the stock
- c) Both
- Assume now that you are an active investor and that your research suggests that an investment in Disney will yield 25% a year for the next 5 years. Based upon the expected return of 14.70%, you would
 - a) Buy the stock
 - b) Sell the stock

How managers use this expected return

Managers at Disney

- need to make at least 14.70% as a return for their equity investors to break even.
- this is the hurdle rate for projects, when the investment is analyzed from an equity standpoint
- In other words, Disney's cost of equity is 14.70%.
- What is the cost of not delivering this cost of equity?

Application Test: Analyzing the Risk Regression

Using your Bloomberg risk and return print out, answer the following questions:

• How well or badly did your stock do, relative to the market, during the period of the regression? (You can assume an annualized riskfree rate of 4.8% during the regression period)

Intercept - 0.4% (1- Beta) = Jensen's Alpha

- What proportion of the risk in your stock is attributable to the market? What proportion is firm-specific?
- What is the historical estimate of beta for your stock? What is the range on this estimate with 67% probability? With 95% probability?
- Based upon this beta, what is your estimate of the required return on this stock?

Riskless Rate + Beta * Risk Premium

A Quick Test

You are advising a very risky software firm on the right cost of equity to use in project analysis. You estimate a beta of 2.0 for the firm and come up with a cost of equity of 18%. The CFO of the firm is concerned about the high cost of equity and wants to know whether there is anything he can do to lower his beta.

How do you bring your beta down?

Should you focus your attention on bringing your beta down?

- a) Yes
- b) No

Disney's Beta Calculation: An Update from 2002



Aracruz's Beta?



Aswath Damodaran

Telebras: High R Squared?



Aswath Damodaran

A Few Questions

The R squared for Telebras is very high (70%), at least relative to U.S. firms. Why is that?

- The beta for Telebras is 1.11.
 - Is this an appropriate measure of risk?
 - If not, why not?
- The beta for every other stock in the index is also misestimated. Is there a way to get a better estimate?

Try different indices?

- **The Local Solution**: Estimate the beta relative to a local index, that is equally weighted or more diverse than the one in use.
- **The U.S. Solution**: If the stock has an ADR listed on the U.S. exchanges, estimate the beta relative to the S&P 500.
- The Global Solution: Use a global index to estimate the beta
- For Aracruz,

Index	Beta	Standard Error
Brazil I-Senn	0.69	0.18
S & P 500 (with ADR)	0.46	0.30
Morgan Stanley Capital Index (with ADR)	0.35	0.32

■ As your index gets broader, your standard error gets larger.

Beta: Exploring Fundamentals



Determinant 1: Product Type

Industry Effects: The beta value for a firm depends upon the sensitivity of the demand for its products and services and of its costs to macroeconomic factors that affect the overall market.

- <u>Cyclical companies</u> have higher betas than non-cyclical firms
- Firms which sell <u>more discretionary products</u> will have higher betas than firms that sell less discretionary products

A Simple Test

Consider an investment in Tiffany's. What kind of beta do you think this investment will have?

- a) Much higher than one
- b) Close to one
- c) Much lower than one

Determinant 2: Operating Leverage Effects

- Operating leverage refers to the proportion of the total costs of the firm that are fixed.
- Other things remaining equal, higher operating leverage results in greater earnings variability which in turn results in higher betas.

Measures of Operating Leverage

Fixed Costs Measure = Fixed Costs / Variable Costs

This measures the relationship between fixed and variable costs. The higher the proportion, the higher the operating leverage.

EBIT Variability Measure = % Change in EBIT / % Change in Revenues

This measures how quickly the earnings before interest and taxes changes as revenue changes. The higher this number, the greater the operating leverage.

A Look at Disney's Operating Leverage

Year	Net Sales	% Change	EBIT	% Change
		in Sales		in EBIT
1987	2877		756	
1988	3438	19.50%	848	12.17%
1989	4594	33.62%	1177	38.80%
1990	5844	27.21%	1368	16.23%
1991	6182	5.78%	1124	-17.84%
1992	7504	21.38%	1429	27.14%
1993	8529	13.66%	1232	-13.79%
1994	10055	17.89%	1933	56.90%
1995	12112	20.46%	2295	18.73%
1996	18739	54.71%	2540	10.68%
Average		23.80%		16.56%

Reading Disney's Operating Leverage

Operating Leverage = % Change in EBIT/ % Change in Sales = 16.56% / 23.80 % = 0.70

- This is lower than the operating leverage for other entertainment firms, which we computed to be 1.15. This would suggest that Disney has lower fixed costs than its competitors.
- The acquisition of Capital Cities by Disney in 1996 may be skewing the operating leverage downwards. For instance, looking at the operating leverage for 1987-1995:

Operating Leverage1987-95 = 17.29%/19.94% = 0.87

A Test

Assume that you are comparing a European automobile manufacturing firm with a U.S. automobile firm. European firms are generally much more constrained in terms of laying off employees, if they get into financial trouble. What implications does this have for betas, if they are estimated relative to a common index?

- a) European firms will have much higher betas than U.S. firms
- b) European firms will have similar betas to U.S. firms
- c) European firms will have much lower betas than U.S. firms

Determinant 3: Financial Leverage

As firms borrow, they create fixed costs (interest payments) that make their earnings to equity investors more volatile.

This increased earnings volatility which increases the equity beta

Equity Betas and Leverage

The beta of equity alone can be written as a function of the unlevered beta and the debt-equity ratio

$$\beta_{\rm L} = \beta_{\rm u} \left(1 + \left((1\text{-}t)D/E\right)\right)$$

where

 $\beta_{\rm L}$ = Levered or Equity Beta

 β_{u} = Unlevered Beta

t = Corporate marginal tax rate

D = Market Value of Debt

E = Market Value of Equity

Effects of leverage on betas: Disney

- The regression beta for Disney is 1.40. This beta is a levered beta (because it is based on stock prices, which reflect leverage) and the leverage implicit in the beta estimate is the average market debt equity ratio during the period of the regression (1992 to 1996)
- The average debt equity ratio during this period was 14%.
- The unlevered beta for Disney can then be estimated:(using a marginal tax rate of 36%)
 - = Current Beta / (1 + (1 tax rate) (Average Debt/Equity))
 - = 1.40 / (1 + (1 0.36) (0.14)) = 1.28

Disney : Beta and Leverage

Debt to Capital	Debt/Equity Ratio	Beta	Effect of Leverage
0.00%	0.00%	1.28	0.00
10.00%	11.11%	1.38	0.09
20.00%	25.00%	1.49	0.21
30.00%	42.86%	1.64	0.35
40.00%	66.67%	1.83	0.55
50.00%	100.00%	2.11	0.82
60.00%	150.00%	2.52	1.23
70.00%	233.33%	3.20	1.92
80.00%	400.00%	4.57	3.29
90.00%	900.00%	8.69	7.40
Betas are weighted Averages

The beta of a portfolio is always the market-value weighted average of the betas of the individual investments in that portfolio.

Thus,

- the beta of a mutual fund is the weighted average of the betas of the stocks and other investment in that portfolio
- the beta of a firm after a merger is the market-value weighted average of the betas of the companies involved in the merger.

The Disney/Cap Cities Merger: Pre-Merger

Disney:

- Beta = 1.15
- Debt = \$ 3,186 million Equity = \$ 31,100 million Firm = \$34,286
- D/E = 0.10

ABC:

- Beta = 0.95
- Debt = \$615 million Equity = \$18,500 million Firm= \$19,115

D/E =
$$0.03$$

Disney Cap Cities Beta Estimation: Step 1

Calculate the unlevered betas for both firms

- Disney's unlevered beta = 1.15/(1+0.64*0.10) = 1.08
- Cap Cities unlevered beta = 0.95/(1+0.64*0.03) = 0.93
- Calculate the unlevered beta for the combined firm
 - Unlevered Beta for combined firm
 - = 1.08 (34286/53401) + 0.93 (19115/53401)
 - = 1.026

[Remember to calculate the weights using the firm values of the two firms]

Disney Cap Cities Beta Estimation: Step 2

If Disney had used all equity to buy Cap Cities

- Debt = \$615 + \$3,186 = \$3,801 million
- Equity = \$18,500 + \$31,100 = \$49,600
- D/E Ratio = 3,801/49600 = 7.66%
- New Beta = 1.026 (1 + 0.64 (.0766)) = 1.08
- Since Disney borrowed \$ 10 billion to buy Cap Cities/ABC
 - Debt = \$615 + \$3,186 + \$10,000 = \$13,801 million
 - Equity = \$ 39,600
 - D/E Ratio = 13,801/39600 = 34.82%
 - New Beta = 1.026 (1 + 0.64 (.3482)) = 1.25

Firm Betas versus divisional Betas

- Firm Betas as weighted averages: The beta of a firm is the weighted average of the betas of its individual projects.
- At a broader level of aggregation, the beta of a firm is the weighted average of the betas of its individual division.

Bottom-up versus Top-down Beta

The top-down beta for a firm comes from a regression

- The bottom up beta can be estimated by doing the following:
 - Find out the businesses that a firm operates in
 - Find the unlevered betas of other firms in these businesses
 - Take a weighted (by sales or operating income) average of these unlevered betas
 - Lever up using the firm's debt/equity ratio
- The bottom up beta will give you a better estimate of the true beta when
 - the standard error of the beta from the regression is high (and) the beta for a firm is very different from the average for the business
 - the firm has reorganized or restructured itself substantially during the period of the regression
 - when a firm is not traded

Decomposing Disney's Beta in 1997

Business	Unlevered	l D/E Ratio	Levered	Riskfree	Risk	Cost of
	Beta		Beta	Rate	Premium	Equity
Creative Content	1.25	20.92%	1.42	7.00%	5.50%	14.80%
Retailing	1.50	20.92%	1.70	7.00%	5.50%	16.35%
Broadcasting	0.90	20.92%	1.02	7.00%	5.50%	12.61%
Theme Parks	1.10	20.92%	1.26	7.00%	5.50%	13.91%
Real Estate	0.70	59.27%	0.92	7.00%	5.50%	12.31%
Disney	1.09	21.97%	1.25	7.00%	5.50%	13.85%

Business	Estimated Va	a Comparable Firms	Unlevered E Division Weight		
Creative Content	\$22,167	Motion Picture and TV program producers	1.25	35.71%	
Retailing	\$ 2,217	High End Specialty Retailers	1.5	3.57%	
Broadcasting	\$18,842	TV Broadcasting companies	0.9	30.36%	
Theme Parks	\$16,625	Theme Park and Entertainment Complexes	1.1	26.79%	
Real Estate	\$ 2,217	REITs specializing in hotel and vacation propertiers	0.7	3.57%	
Firm	\$62,068			100.00%	

Discussion Issue

If you were the chief financial officer of Disney, what cost of equity would you use in capital budgeting in the different divisions?

- a) The cost of equity for Disney as a company
- b) The cost of equity for each of Disney's divisions?

Estimating Aracruz's Bottom Up Beta

Comparable Firms	Beta	D/E Ratio	Unlevered beta
Latin American Paper & Pulp (5)	0.70	65.00%	0.49
U.S. Paper and Pulp (45)	0.85	35.00%	0.69
Global Paper & Pulp (187)	0.80	50.00%	0.61

Aracruz has a cash balance which was 20% of the market value in 1997, much higher than the typical cash balance at other paper firms Unlevered Beta for Aracruz = (0.8) (0.61) + 0.2 (0) = 0.488

■ Using Aracruz's gross D/E ratio of 66.67% & a tax rate of 33%: Levered Beta for Aracruz = 0.49 (1+ (1-.33) (.6667)) = 0.71

Real Cost of Equity for Aracruz = 5% + 0.71 (7.5%) = 10.33%
Real Riskfree Rate = 5% (Long term Growth rate in Brazilian economy)
Risk Premium = 5.5% (US premium) + 2% (1996 Brazil default spread)

Estimating Bottom-up Beta: Deutsche Bank

- Deutsche Bank is in two different segments of business commercial banking and investment banking.
- To estimate its commercial banking beta, we will use the average beta of commercial banks in Germany.
- To estimate the investment banking beta, we will use the average bet of investment banks in the U.S and U.K.

Comparable Firms	Average Beta	Weight
Commercial Banks in Germany	0.90	90%
U.K. and U.S. investment banks	1.30	10%

- Beta for Deutsche Bank = 0.9 (.90) + 0.1 (1.30) = 0.94
- Cost of Equity for Deutsche Bank (in DM) = 7.5% + 0.94 (5.5%)

= 12.67%

Estimating Betas for Non-Traded Assets

The conventional approaches of estimating betas from regressions do not work for assets that are not traded.

There are two ways in which betas can be estimated for non-traded assets

- using comparable firms
- using accounting earnings

Using comparable firms to estimate betas

Assume that you are trying to estimate the beta for a independent bookstore in New York City.

Average	1.10	18.21%	\$	816
Crown Books	0.80	3.03%	\$	55
Borders Group	1.20	2.15%	\$	1,706
Books-A-Million	1.30	44.35%	\$	85
Barnes & Noble	1.10	23.31%	\$	1,416
Company Name	Beta	D/E Ratio	Marke	$t Cap \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$

• Unlevered Beta of comparable firms 1.10/(1 + (1-.36)(.1821)) = 0.99

- If independent bookstore has similar leverage, beta = 1.10
- If independent bookstore decides to use a debt/equity ratio of 25%: Beta for bookstore = 0.99 (1+(1-..42)(.25)) = 1.13 (Tax rate used=42%)

Using Accounting Earnings to Estimate Beta

Year	S&P 500	Bookscape	Year	S&P 500	Bookscape
1980	-2.10%	3.55%	1989	2.60%	3.50%
1981	-6.70%	4.05%	1990	-18.00%	-10.50%
1982	-45.50%	-14.33%	1991	-47.40%	-32.00%
1983	37.00%	47.55%	1992	64.50%	55.00%
1984	41.80%	65.00%	1993	20.00%	31.00%
1985	-11.80%	5.05%	1994	25.30%	21.06%
1986	7.00%	8.50%	1995	15.50%	11.55%
1987	41.50%	37.00%	1996	24.00%	19.88%
1988	41.80%	45.17%			
			-		

The Accounting Beta for Bookscape

Regressing the changes in profits at Bookscape against changes in profits for the S&P 500 yields the following:

Bookscape Earnings Change = 0.09 + 0.80 (S & P 500 Earnings Change)

- Based upon this regression, the beta for Bookscape's equity is 0.80.
- Using operating earnings for both the firm and the S&P 500 should yield the equivalent of an unlevered beta.

Is Beta an Adequate Measure of Risk for a Private Firm?

The owners of most private firms are not diversified. Beta measures the risk added on to a diversified portfolio. Therefore, using beta to arrive at a cost of equity for a private firm will

- a) Under estimate the cost of equity for the private firm
- b) Over estimate the cost of equity for the private firm
- c) Could under or over estimate the cost of equity for the private firm

Total Risk versus Market Risk

Adjust the beta to reflect total risk rather than market risk. This adjustment is a relatively simple one, since the R squared of the regression measures the proportion of the risk that is market risk. Total Beta = Market Beta / Correlation of the sector with the market In the Bookscapes example, where the market beta is 1,10 and the

In the Bookscapes example, where the market beta is 1.10 and the average correlation of the comparable publicly traded firms is 33%,

- Total Beta = 1.10/0.33 = 3.30
- Total Cost of Equity = 7% + 3.30(5.5%) = 25.05%

Application Test: Estimating a Bottom-up Beta

Based upon the business or businesses that your firm is in right now, and its current financial leverage, estimate the bottom-up unlevered beta for your firm.

■ *Data Source*: You can get a listing of unlevered betas by industry on my web site by going to updated data.

From Cost of Equity to Cost of Capital

The cost of capital is a composite cost to the firm of raising financing to fund its projects.

In addition to equity, firms can raise capital from debt

What is debt?

General Rule: Debt generally has the following characteristics:

- Commitment to make fixed payments in the future
- The fixed payments are tax deductible
- Failure to make the payments can lead to either default or loss of control of the firm to the party to whom payments are due.
- As a consequence, debt should include
 - Any interest-bearing liability, whether short term or long term.
 - Any lease obligation, whether operating or capital.

Estimating the Cost of Debt

- If the firm has bonds outstanding, and the bonds are traded, the <u>yield</u> <u>to maturity</u> on a long-term, straight (no special features) bond can be used as the interest rate.
- If the firm is rated, use <u>the rating and a typical default spread</u> on bonds with that rating to estimate the cost of debt.
- If the firm is not rated,
 - and it has recently borrowed long term from a bank, <u>use the interest rate</u> <u>on the borrowing</u> or
 - estimate a synthetic rating for the company, and use the <u>synthetic rating</u> to arrive at a default spread and a cost of debt
- The cost of debt has to be estimated in the same currency as the cost of equity and the cash flows in the valuation.

Estimating Synthetic Ratings

The rating for a firm can be estimated using the financial characteristics of the firm. In its simplest form, the rating can be estimated from the interest coverage ratio

Interest Coverage Ratio = EBIT / Interest Expenses

For a firm, which has earnings before interest and taxes of \$ 3,500 million and interest expenses of \$ 700 million

Interest Coverage Ratio = 3,500/700 = 5.00

• Based upon the relationship between interest coverage ratios and ratings, we would estimate a rating of A for the firm.

Interest Coverage Ratios, Ratings and Default Spreads

If Interest Coverage Ratio is	Estimated Bond Rating	Default Spread
> 8.50	AAA	0.75%
6.50 - 8.50	AA	1.00%
5.50 - 6.50	A+	1.50%
4.25 - 5.50	А	1.80%
3.00 - 4.25	A–	2.00%
2.50 - 3.00	BBB	2.25%
2.00 - 2.50	BB	3.50%
1.75 - 2.00	B+	4.75%
1.50 - 1.75	В	6.50%
1.25 - 1.50	B –	8.00%
0.80 - 1.25	CCC	10.00%
0.65 - 0.80	CC	11.50%
0.20 - 0.65	С	12.70%
< 0.20	D	14.00%

Application Test: Estimating a Cost of Debt

Based upon your firm's current earnings before interest and taxes, its interest expenses, estimate

- An interest coverage ratio for your firm
- A synthetic rating for your firm (use the table from previous page)
- A pre-tax cost of debt for your firm
- An after-tax cost of debt for your firm

Estimating Market Value Weights

Market Value of Equity should include the following

- Market Value of Shares outstanding
- Market Value of Warrants outstanding
- Market Value of Conversion Option in Convertible Bonds
- Market Value of Debt is more difficult to estimate because few firms have only publicly traded debt. There are two solutions:
 - Assume book value of debt is equal to market value
 - Estimate the market value of debt from the book value
 - For Disney, with book value of \$12.342 million, interest expenses of \$479 million, a current cost of borrowing of 7.5% and an weighted average maturity of 3 years.

Estimated MV of Disney Debt =
$$479 \left[\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{(1.075)^3})}{.075} \right] + \frac{12,342}{(1.075)^3} = \$11,180$$

Converting Operating Leases to Debt

The "debt value" of operating leases is the present value of the lease payments, at a rate that reflects their risk.

In general, this rate will be close to or equal to the rate at which the company can borrow.

Operating Leases at The Home Depot

	The pre	-tax cost of d	ebt at the	e Home Depot is 6.25%
Yr	Op	perating Lease	e Expense	e Present Value
1	\$	294	\$	277
2	\$	291	\$	258
3	\$	264	\$	220
4	\$	245	\$	192
5	\$	236	\$	174
6-	15 \$	270	\$	1,450 (PV of 10-yr annuity)
	Present	t Value of Ope	erating Le	ases =\$ 2,571
	Debt ou	itstanding at t	he Home	e Depot = \$1 205 + \$2 571 = \$3 776 mil

Debt outstanding at the Home Depot = \$1,205 + \$2,571 = \$3,776 mil (The Home Depot has other debt outstanding of \$1,205 million)

S Application Test: Estimating Market Value

Estimate the

- Market value of equity at your firm and Book Value of equity
- Market value of debt and book value of debt (If you cannot find the average maturity of your debt, use 3 years): Remember to capitalize the value of operating leases and add them on to both the book value and the market value of debt.

Estimate the

- Weights for equity and debt based upon market value
- Weights for equity and debt based upon book value

Current Cost of Capital: Disney

Equity

- Cost of Equity = Riskfree rate + Beta * Risk Premium = 7% + 1.25(5.5%) = 13.85%
- Market Value of Equity = \$50.88 Billion
- Equity/(Debt+Equity) =

Debt

- After-tax Cost of debt =(Riskfree rate + Default Spread) (1-t)
 - = (7% + 0.50) (1 .36) = 4.80%

82%

\$ 11.18 Billion

- Market Value of Debt =
- Debt/(Debt +Equity) = 18%
- Cost of Capital = 13.85%(.82)+4.80%(.18) = 12.22%

Aswath Damodaran

^{50.88/(50.88} +11.18)

Disney's Divisional Costs of Capital

Business	E/(D+E)	Cost of	D/(D+E)	After-tax	Cost of Capital
		Equity		Cost of Deb	t
Creative Content	82.70%	14.80%	17.30%	4.80%	13.07%
Retailing	82.70%	16.35%	17.30%	4.80%	14.36%
Broadcasting	82.70%	12.61%	17.30%	4.80%	11.26%
Theme Parks	82.70%	13.91%	17.30%	4.80%	12.32%
Real Estate	62.79%	12.31%	37.21%	4.80%	9.52%
Disney	81.99%	13.85%	18.01%	4.80%	12.22%

Application Test: Estimating Cost of Capital

Using the bottom-up unlevered beta that you computed for your firm, and the values of debt and equity you have estimated for your firm, estimate a bottom-up levered beta and cost of equity for your firm.

Based upon the costs of equity and debt that you have estimated, and the weights for each, estimate the cost of capital for your firm.

How different would your cost of capital have been, if you used book value weights?

Choosing a Hurdle Rate

- Either the cost of equity or the cost of capital can be used as a hurdle rate, depending upon whether the returns measured are to equity investors or to all claimholders on the firm (capital)
- If returns are measured to equity investors, the appropriate hurdle rate is the cost of equity.
- If returns are measured to capital (or the firm), the appropriate hurdle rate is the cost of capital.

Back to First Principles

Invest in projects that yield a return greater than the **minimum** acceptable hurdle rate.

- The hurdle rate should be higher for riskier projects and reflect the financing mix used owners' funds (equity) or borrowed money (debt)
- Returns on projects should be measured based on cash flows generated and the timing of these cash flows; they should also consider both positive and negative side effects of these projects.
- Choose a financing mix that minimizes the hurdle rate and matches the assets being financed.
- If there are not enough investments that earn the hurdle rate, return the cash to stockholders.
 - The form of returns dividends and stock buybacks will depend upon the stockholders' characteristics.