



# VALUATION: IT'S NOT THAT COMPLICATED!




# The Big Picture

Just because you have a D and a CF does not mean you have a DCF!

# Some Initial Thoughts

" One hundred thousand lemmings cannot be wrong"

Graffiti



Aswath Damodaran

# Theme 1: Characterizing Valuation as a discipline

- In a science, if you get the inputs right, you should get the output right. The laws of physics and mathematics are universal and there are no exceptions. **Valuation is not a science.**
- In an art, there are elements that can be taught but there is also a magic that you either have or you do not. The essence of an art is that you are either a great artist or you are not. **Valuation is not an art.**
- A craft is a skill that you learn by doing. The more you do it, the better you get at it. **Valuation is a craft.**



# Theme 2: Valuing an asset is not the same as pricing that asset

- Drivers of intrinsic value
- Cashflows from existing assets
  - Growth in cash flows
  - Quality of Growth

- Drivers of price
- Market moods & momentum
  - Surface stories about fundamentals

Accounting Estimates

Valuation Estimates


INTRINSIC VALUE

Value


THE GAP  
Is there one?  
If so, will it close?  
If it will close, what will cause it to close?

Price

PRICE



# Theme 3: Good valuation = Story + Numbers



## Theme 4: If you value something, you should be willing to act on it..

- There is very little theory in valuation and I am not sure what an academic valuation would like like and am not sure that I want to find out.
- Pragmatism, not purity: The end game is to estimate a value for an asset. I plan to get there, even if it means taking short cuts and making assumptions that would make purists blanch.
- To act on your valuations, you have to have faith in
  - ▣ In your own valuation judgments.
  - ▣ In markets: that prices will move towards your value estimates. That faith will have to be earned.

# Misconceptions about Valuation

- Myth 1: A valuation is an objective search for “true” value
  - Truth 1.1: All valuations are biased. The only questions are how much and in which direction.
  - Truth 1.2: The direction and magnitude of the bias in your valuation is directly proportional to who pays you and how much you are paid.
- Myth 2.: A good valuation provides a precise estimate of value
  - Truth 2.1: There are no precise valuations
  - Truth 2.2: The payoff to valuation is greatest when valuation is least precise.
- Myth 3: . The more quantitative a model, the better the valuation
  - Truth 3.1: One’ s understanding of a valuation model is inversely proportional to the number of inputs required for the model.
  - Truth 3.2: Simpler valuation models do much better than complex ones.

# Approaches to Valuation

- **Intrinsic valuation**, relates the value of an asset to the present value of expected future cashflows on that asset. In its most common form, this takes the form of a discounted cash flow valuation.
- **Relative valuation**, estimates the value of an asset by looking at the pricing of 'comparable' assets relative to a common variable like earnings, cash flows, book value or sales.
- **Contingent claim valuation**, uses option pricing models to measure the value of assets that share option characteristics.

# Discounted Cash Flow Valuation

- *What is it:* In discounted cash flow valuation, the value of an asset is the present value of the expected cash flows on the asset.
- *Philosophical Basis:* Every asset has an intrinsic value that can be estimated, based upon its characteristics in terms of cash flows, growth and risk.
- *Information Needed:* To use discounted cash flow valuation, you need
  - ▣ to estimate the life of the asset
  - ▣ to estimate the cash flows during the life of the asset
  - ▣ to estimate the discount rate to apply to these cash flows to get present value
- *Market Inefficiency:* Markets are assumed to make mistakes in pricing assets across time, and are assumed to correct themselves over time, as new information comes out about assets.

# Risk Adjusted Value: Three Basic Propositions

- The value of a risky asset can be estimated by discounting the expected cash flows on the asset over its life at a risk-adjusted discount rate:


$$\text{Value of asset} = \frac{E(\text{CF}_1)}{(1+r)} + \frac{E(\text{CF}_2)}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{E(\text{CF}_3)}{(1+r)^3} \dots + \frac{E(\text{CF}_n)}{(1+r)^n}$$

1. *The IT Proposition:* If “it” does not affect the cash flows or alter risk (thus changing discount rates), “it” cannot affect value.
2. *The DUH Proposition:* For an asset to have value, the expected cash flows have to be positive some time over the life of the asset.
3. *The DON'T FREAK OUT Proposition:* Assets that generate cash flows early in their life will be worth more than assets that generate cash flows later; the latter may however have greater growth and higher cash flows to compensate.




# DCF Choices: Equity Valuation versus Firm Valuation

**Firm Valuation:** Value the entire business




**Equity valuation:** Value just the equity claim in the business

# The Drivers of Value...



# DISCOUNTED CASHFLOW VALUATION



# Amgen: Status Quo

Cap Ex = Acc net Cap Ex(255) + Acquisitions (3975) + R&D (2216)

**Current Cashflow to Firm**  
 EBIT(1-t) = .7336(1-.28) = 6058  
 - Nt CpX = 6443  
 - Chg WC 37  
 = FCFF - 423  
 Reinvestment Rate = 6480/6058 = 106.98%  
 Return on capital = 16.71%

Reinvestment Rate 60%

**Expected Growth in EBIT (1-t)**  
 $.60 \times .16 = .096$   
**9.6%**

Return on Capital 16%

**Stable Growth**  
 g = 4%; Beta = 1.10;  
 Debt Ratio = 20%; Tax rate = 35%  
 Cost of capital = 8.08%  
 ROC = 10.00%;  
 Reinvestment Rate = 4/10 = 40%

Terminal Value<sub>10</sub> = 7300 / (.0808 - .04) = 179,099

First 5 years

Growth decreases gradually to 4%

Op. Assets 94214  
 + Cash: 1283  
 - Debt 8272  
 = Equity 87226  
 - Options 479  
 Value/Share \$ 74.33

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
EBIT	\$9,221	\$10,106	\$11,076	\$12,140	\$13,305	\$14,433	\$15,496	\$16,463	\$17,306	\$17,998
EBIT (1-t)	\$6,639	\$7,276	\$7,975	\$8,741	\$9,580	\$10,392	\$11,157	\$11,853	\$12,460	\$12,958
- Reinvestment	\$3,983	\$4,366	\$4,785	\$5,244	\$5,748	\$5,820	\$5,802	\$5,690	\$5,482	\$5,183
= FCFF	\$2,656	\$2,911	\$3,190	\$3,496	\$3,832	\$4,573	\$5,355	\$6,164	\$6,978	\$7,775

**Term Yr**  
 18718  
 12167  
 4867  
 7300

Cost of Capital (WACC) = 11.7% (0.90) + 3.66% (0.10) = 10.90%

Debt ratio increases to 20%  
 Beta decreases to 1.10

On May 1, 2007, Amgen was trading at \$ 55/share

**Cost of Equity 11.70%**

**Cost of Debt**  
 $(4.78\% + .85\%)(1 - .35)$   
 = 3.66%

**Weights**  
 E = 90% D = 10%

**Riskfree Rate:**  
 Riskfree rate = 4.78%

+

**Beta 1.73** x **Risk Premium 4%**

Unlevered Beta for Sectors: 1.59      D/E = 11.06%

# Tata Motors: April 2010

Average reinvestment rate from 2005-09: 179.59%; without acquisitions: 70%

**Current Cashflow to Firm**  
 EBIT(1-t) : Rs 20,116  
 - Nt CpX Rs 31,590  
 - Chg WC Rs 2,732  
 = FCFF - Rs 14,205  
 Reinv Rate =  $(31590+2732)/20116 = 170.61\%$ ; Tax rate = 21.00%  
 Return on capital = 17.16%

Reinvestment Rate 70%

Return on Capital 17.16%

Stable Growth  $g = 5\%$ ; Beta = 1.00  
 Country Premium = 3%  
 Cost of capital = 10.39%  
 Tax rate = 33.99%  
 ROC = 10.39%;  
 Reinvestment Rate =  $g/ROC = 5\%/10.39\% = 48.11\%$

Expected Growth =  $.70 * .1716 = 0.1201$

Rs Cashflows

Terminal Value =  $23493 / (.1039 - .05) = \text{Rs } 435,686$

Op. Assets 210,813  
 + Cash: 11418  
 + Crosshold 140576  
 - Debt 109198  
 =Equity 253,628  
**Value/Share Rs 614**

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
EBIT (1-t)	₹ 22,533	₹ 25,240	₹ 28,272	₹ 31,668	₹ 35,472	₹ 39,236	₹ 42,848	₹ 46,192	₹ 49,150	₹ 51,607
- Reinvestment	₹ 15,773	₹ 17,668	₹ 19,790	₹ 22,168	₹ 24,830	₹ 25,242	₹ 25,138	₹ 24,482	₹ 23,264	₹ 21,503
FCFF	₹ 6,760	₹ 7,572	₹ 8,482	₹ 9,500	₹ 10,642	₹ 13,994	₹ 17,711	₹ 21,710	₹ 25,886	₹ 30,104

45278  
21785  
23493

Move to effective tax rate causes EBIT (1-t) to drop in terminal year

Discount at Cost of Capital (WACC) =  $14.00\% (.747) + 8.09\% (0.253) = 12.50\%$

Growth declines to 5% and cost of capital moves to stable period level.

On April 1, 2010  
Tata Motors price = Rs 781

Cost of Equity 14.00%

Cost of Debt  $(5\% + 4.25\% + 3\%)(1 - .3399) = 8.09\%$

Weights E = 74.7% D = 25.3%

Riskfree Rate: Rs Riskfree Rate = 5%

Beta 1.20  
 Unlevered Beta for Sectors: 0.98

Mature market premium 4.5%  
 Firm's D/E Ratio: 34%

Lambda 0.80

Country Equity Risk Premium 4.50%

Country Default Spread 3%

Rel Equity Mkt Vol 1.50

# Henkel: October 2016 (in Euros)

## Cash flows from existing assets

	LTM	Last 10 years
Revenues	\$ 18,074	Growth rate = 4.20%
Operating margin	15.25%	Average = 12.80%
Sales/Invested Capital	1.33	Average = 1.52
Return on Invested Capital	15.26%	Average = 14.48%
Effective Tax Rate	24.20%	Average = 25.00%

## The Payoff from growth

Revenues will grow 4.2% a year for next 5 years, tapering down to 0.1% growth in year 10

Operating margin (per-tax) will revert to ten-year average of 12.80%

Sales/Invested Capital will stay at ten-year average of 1.52

## Maturity and Closure

Stable Growth  $g = 0.03\%$ ;  
 Cost of capital = 7%  
 ROC = 7%;  
 Reinvestment Rate =  $g/ROC = .03\%/7\% = 0.42\%$

Terminal Value =  $2,292 / (.07 - .0003) = 33,214$


PV(Terminal value)	€ 16,316
PV (CF over next 10 years)	€ 12,741
Value of operating assets =	€ 29,057
- Debt	€ 2,048
- Minority interests	€ 138
+ Cash	€ 2,305
Value of equity	€ 29,176
Number of shares	399.83
Estimated value /share	€ 72.97
Price	€ 118.22
Price as % of value	162.01%

	Base year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Terminal Yr
Revenue growth rate		4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%	3.38%	2.56%	1.74%	0.92%	0.10%	0.10%
Revenues	€ 18,074	€ 18,833	€ 19,624	€ 20,448	€ 21,307	€ 22,202	€ 22,952	€ 23,540	€ 23,950	€ 24,170	€ 24,194	€ 24,218
EBIT (Operating) margin	15.25%	15.00%	14.76%	14.51%	14.27%	14.02%	13.78%	13.53%	13.29%	13.04%	12.80%	12.80%
EBIT (Operating income)	€ 2,756	€ 2,826	€ 2,896	€ 2,968	€ 3,040	€ 3,114	€ 3,163	€ 3,186	€ 3,183	€ 3,153	€ 3,097	€ 3,100
Tax rate	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%
EBIT(1-t)	€ 2,067	€ 2,119	€ 2,172	€ 2,226	€ 2,280	€ 2,335	€ 2,372	€ 2,390	€ 2,387	€ 2,365	€ 2,323	€ 2,325
- Reinvestment		€ 499	€ 520	€ 542	€ 565	€ 589	€ 494	€ 387	€ 269	€ 145	€ 16	€ 33
FCFF		€ 1,620	€ 1,652	€ 1,684	€ 1,715	€ 1,746	€ 1,878	€ 2,003	€ 2,118	€ 2,220	€ 2,307	€ 2,292

Discount at Euro Cost of Capital (WACC) = 7.78% (.958) + 0.72% (0.042) = 7.48%

## The Risk in the Cash flows

On October 25, 2016, Henkel was trading at 118 Euros per share



Riskfree Rate: Euro Risk free rate = 0.03%

Beta = 1.01

Firm's D/E Ratio: 4.4%

Business	Estimated Value	Weight	Unlevered Beta
Chemical (Specialty)	€ 16,276	35.61%	1.03
Household Products	€ 14,486	31.69%	0.96
Cosmetics/Toiletries	€ 14,949	32.70%	0.93
<b>Henkel</b>	<b>€ 45,711</b>		<b>0.98</b>


ERP = 7.71%			
Region	Revenues	Weight	ERP
Africa	€ 600	3.34%	11.76%
Asia	€ 3,134	17.45%	7.49%
Central and South America	€ 1,110	6.18%	10.42%
Eastern Europe & Russia	€ 2,695	15.00%	9.65%
Middle East	€ 729	4.06%	7.11%
North America	€ 3,648	20.31%	6.00%
Western Europe	€ 6,045	33.66%	7.16%
<b>Henkel</b>	<b>€ 17,961</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>7.71%</b>

# I. The Nuts and Bolts of D & CF

The details matter, but never as much as you think they do...



# I. Measure earnings right..



# Operating Leases at Amgen in 2007

- Amgen has lease commitments and its cost of debt (based on its A rating) is 5.63%.

Year	Commitment	Present Value
1	\$96.00	\$90.88
2	\$95.00	\$85.14
3	\$102.00	\$86.54
4	\$98.00	\$78.72
5	\$87.00	\$66.16
6-12	\$107.43	\$462.10 (\$752 million prorated)

- Debt Value of leases = \$869.55
- Debt outstanding at Amgen = \$7,402 + \$ 870 = \$8,272 million
- Adjusted Operating Income = Stated OI + Lease expense this year – Depreciation  
= 5,071 m + 69 m - 870/12 = \$5,068 million (12 year life for assets)
- Approximate Operating income= stated OI + PV of Lease commitment \* Pre-tax cost of debt  
= \$5,071 m + 870 m (.0563) = \$ 5,120 million


# Capitalizing R&D Expenses: Amgen

- R & D was assumed to have a 10-year life.

Year	R&D Expense	Unamortized portion	Amortization this year	
Current	3366.00	1.00	3366.00	
-1	2314.00	0.90	2082.60	\$231.40
-2	2028.00	0.80	1622.40	\$202.80
-3	1655.00	0.70	1158.50	\$165.50
-4	1117.00	0.60	670.20	\$111.70
-5	865.00	0.50	432.50	\$86.50
-6	845.00	0.40	338.00	\$84.50
-7	823.00	0.30	246.90	\$82.30
-8	663.00	0.20	132.60	\$66.30
-9	631.00	0.10	63.10	\$63.10
-10	558.00	0.00		\$55.80
Value of Research Asset =			\$10,112.80	\$1,149.90

- Adjusted Operating Income = \$5,120 + 3,366 - 1,150 = \$7,336 million

# Henkel's Operating History



## II. Get the big picture (not the accounting one) when it comes to cap ex and working capital

- Capital expenditures should include
  - ▣ Research and development expenses, once they have been re-categorized as capital expenses.
  - ▣ Acquisitions of other firms, whether paid for with cash or stock.
- Working capital should be defined not as the difference between current assets and current liabilities but as the difference between non-cash current assets and non-debt current liabilities.
- On both items, start with what the company did in the most recent year but do look at the company's history and at industry averages.

# Amgen's Net Capital Expenditures

- The accounting net cap ex at Amgen is small:
  - ▣ Accounting Capital Expenditures = \$1,218 million
  - ▣ - Accounting Depreciation = \$ 963 million
  - ▣ Accounting Net Cap Ex = \$ 255 million
- We define capital expenditures broadly to include R&D and acquisitions:
  - ▣ Accounting Net Cap Ex = \$ 255 million
  - ▣ Net R&D Cap Ex = (3366-1150) = \$2,216 million
  - ▣ Acquisitions in 2006 = \$3,975 million
  - ▣ Total Net Capital Expenditures = \$ 6,443 million
- Acquisitions have been a volatile item. Amgen was quiet on the acquisition front in 2004 and 2005 and had a significant acquisition in 2003.

### III. The government bond rate is not always the risk free rate

- When valuing Amgen in US dollars, the US\$ ten-year bond rate of 4.78% was used as the risk free rate. We assumed that the US treasury was default free.
- When valuing Tata Motors in Indian rupees in 2010, the Indian government bond rate of 8% was not default free. Using the Indian government's local currency rating of Ba2 yielded a default spread of 3% for India and a riskfree rate of 5% in Indian rupees.


Risk free rate in Indian Rupees = 8% - 3% = 5%

- To value Henkel in Euros, you need a risk free rate in Euros. Finding a ten-year government bond denominated in Euros is not the problem.. But choosing which one to use might be.




# Euro Risk Free Rate?


*Euro Government Bond Rates in 2017*



# Risk free rates will vary across currencies!



# And across time...



# And can be estimated even when you don't have government bonds...

- There are no traded long term Government bonds in some currencies. Hence, you have to improvise.
- One simple technique is to use differential inflation and the US dollar risk free rate. Using this technique on the Egyptian pound, here is what you get:
  - Risk free rate in US dollars on 12/31/15 = 2.27%
  - Expected inflation rate in the US = 1.50%
  - Expected inflation rate in Egypt = 9.70% (last year's estimate)
  - Risk free rate in EGP =  $(1.0227) * (1.097/1.015) - 1 = 10.53\%$
- In the Middle East, where many currencies are pegged to the US dollar, it has become conventional wisdom to use the US T Bond rate as the risk free rate.
  - a. When is this practice justified?
  - b. When will this practice get you into trouble?

# But valuations should not!

	In Indian Rupees	In US \$
Risk free Rate	5.00%	2.00%
Expected inflation rate	4.00%	1.00%
Cost of capital		
- High Growth	12.50%	9.25%
- Stable Growth	10.39%	7.21%
Expected growth rate		
- High Growth	12.01%	8.78%
- Stable Growth	5.00%	2.00%
Return on Capital		
- High Growth	17.16%	13.78%
- Stable Growth	10.39%	7.21%
Value per share	Rs 614	\$12.79/share (roughly Rs 614 at current exchange rate)

# Heineken: September 2019 (in Euros)

## Cash flows from existing assets

	LTM	2013-2018
Revenues	€ 23,119	Growth rate = 3.22%
Operating Margin	14.86%	14.44%
Sales/Invested Capital	0.71	0.79
ROIC	7.46%	8.32%
Effective Tax Rate	29.70%	27.00%

## The Payoff from growth

Revenues will grow 3.22% a year for next 5 years, tapering down to -0.5% growth in year 10

Operating margin (per-tax) will drop to 14.00%

Sales/Invested Capital will stay at five-year average of 0.79.

## Maturity and Closure

**Stable Growth**  
 $g = -0.5\%$ ;  
 Cost of capital = 5%  
 ROC = 5%;  
 Reinvestment Rate =  $-0.5\%/5\% = -10\%$

## Euro Cashflows

Terminal Value =  $2972 / (0.05 - (-0.005)) = 54,034$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Terminal year
Revenue growth rate	3.22%	3.22%	3.22%	3.22%	3.22%	2.48%	1.73%	0.99%	0.24%	-0.50%	-0.50%
Revenues	€ 23,863	€ 24,632	€ 25,425	€ 26,244	€ 27,089	€ 27,759	€ 28,240	€ 28,519	€ 28,589	€ 28,446	€ 28,304
EBIT (Operating) margin	14.38%	14.34%	14.30%	14.26%	14.21%	14.17%	14.13%	14.09%	14.04%	14.00%	14.00%
EBIT (Operating income)	€ 3,432	€ 3,532	€ 3,635	€ 3,741	€ 3,850	€ 3,934	€ 3,990	€ 4,017	€ 4,015	€ 3,982	\$ 3,963
Tax rate	29.70%	29.70%	29.70%	29.70%	29.70%	28.76%	27.82%	26.88%	25.94%	25.00%	0
EBIT(1-t)	€ 2,413	€ 2,483	€ 2,556	€ 2,630	€ 2,707	€ 2,802	€ 2,880	€ 2,937	€ 2,973	€ 2,987	\$ 2,972
- Reinvestment	€ 942	€ 973	€ 1,004	€ 1,036	€ 1,070	€ 849	€ 609	€ 353	€ 88	€ (181)	\$ (297)
FCFF	€ 1,471	€ 1,511	€ 1,552	€ 1,594	€ 1,637	€ 1,953	€ 2,271	€ 2,584	€ 2,885	€ 3,168	\$ 3,269

PV(Terminal value)	€ 36,390.85
PV (CF over next 10 years)	€ 15,300.34
Value of operating assets =	€ 51,691.19
- Debt	€ 19,709.52
- Minority interests	€ 1,069.00
+ Cash	€ 1,751.60
+ Non-operating assets	€ 1,401.00
Value of equity	€ 34,065.26
Number of shares	571.10
Estimated value /share	€ 59.65
Price	€ 93.25
Price as % of value	56.33%

Discount at Euro Cost of Capital (WACC) =  $7.66\% (.599) + 1.13\% (0.401) = 5.04\%$

## The Risk in the Cash flows

On September 1, 2019, Heineken was trading at 93.25 Euros/share

Cost of Equity  
7.66%

Cost of Debt  
 $(-0.5\% + 2\%)(1 - 0.25) = 1.13\%$

Weights  
E = 59.9% D = 40.1%

Riskfree Rate:  
Euro Risk free rate = -0.50%

+ Beta = 1.20 X


Unlevered beta of alcoholic beverage business = 0.80

Firm's D/E Ratio: 66.98%

ERP = 6.83%			
Region	Revenues	Weight	ERP
Europe	10348	50.24%	6.90%
North America	5920	28.74%	5.75%
Asia	2919	14.17%	7.22%
Latin America & Caribbean	781	3.79%	10.53%
Africa & Mid East	631	3.06%	9.30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>20599</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>6.83%</b>




# IV. Betas do not come from regressions... and are noisy...







# But should not be trusted, even when they look great...

33




# And subject to game playing

34



# Determinants of Betas





# Bottom-up Betas

Step 1: Find the business or businesses that your firm operates in.

Step 2: Find publicly traded firms in each of these businesses and obtain their regression betas. Compute the simple average across these regression betas to arrive at an average beta for these publicly traded firms. Unlever this average beta using the average debt to equity ratio across the publicly traded firms in the sample.  
Unlevered beta for business = Average beta across publicly traded firms /  $(1 + (1-t) (\text{Average D/E ratio across firms}))$

Step 3: Estimate how much value your firm derives from each of the different businesses it is in.

Step 4: Compute a weighted average of the unlevered betas of the different businesses (from step 2) using the weights from step 3.  
Bottom-up Unlevered beta for your firm = Weighted average of the unlevered betas of the individual business

Step 5: Compute a levered beta (equity beta) for your firm, using the market debt to equity ratio for your firm.  
Levered bottom-up beta = Unlevered beta  $(1 + (1-t) (\text{Debt/Equity}))$

## Possible Refinements

If you can, adjust this beta for differences between your firm and the comparable firms on operating leverage and product characteristics.

While revenues or operating income are often used as weights, it is better to try to estimate the value of each business.

If you expect the business mix of your firm to change over time, you can change the weights on a year-to-year basis.

If you expect your debt to equity ratio to change over time, the levered beta will change over time.

# Three examples...

## □ Amgen

- The unlevered beta for pharmaceutical firms is 1.59. Using Amgen's debt to equity ratio of 11%, the bottom up beta for Amgen is
- Bottom-up Beta =  $1.59 (1 + (1 - .35)(.11)) = 1.73$

## □ Tata Motors

- The unlevered beta for automobile firms is 0.98. Using Tata Motor's debt to equity ratio of 33.87%, the bottom up beta for Tata Motors is
- Bottom-up Beta =  $0.98 (1 + (1 - .3399)(.3387)) = 1.20$

## □ Henkel

<i>Business</i>	<i>Estimated Value</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>Unlevered Beta</i>
Chemical (Specialty)	€ 16,276	35.61%	1.03
Household Products	€ 14,486	31.69%	0.96
Cosmetics/Toiletries	€ 14,949	32.70%	0.93
Henkel	€ 45,711		0.98

$$\text{Levered Beta} = 0.98 (1 + (1 - .2965)(.044)) = 1.01$$

## V. And the past is not always a good indicator of the future.

	<i>Arithmetic Average</i>		<i>Geometric Average</i>	
	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds
1928-2020	8.28%	6.43%	6.47%	4.84%
<b>Std Error</b>	<b>2.06%</b>	<b>2.18%</b>		
1971-2020	7.67%	4.90%	6.35%	3.91%
<b>Std Error</b>	<b>2.38%</b>	<b>2.70%</b>		
2011-2020	13.83%	9.70%	13.24%	9.35%
<b>Std Error</b>	<b>3.88%</b>	<b>4.87%</b>		

- If you are going to use a historical risk premium, make it
  - ▣ Long term (because of the standard error)
  - ▣ Consistent with your risk free rate
  - ▣ A “compounded” average
- No matter which estimate you use, recognize that it is backward looking, is noisy and may reflect selection bias

# But in the future..

39

In 2020, COVID caused major drops in both earnings & cash return from 2019 levels

**Base year cash flow (last 12 mths)**  
 Dividends (TTM): 58.89  
 + Buybacks (TTM): 68.89  
 = Cash to investors (TTM): **127.78**

**Expected earnings/cashflow growth in next 5 years**  
 Earnings for next year based upon analyst estimates for 2021 and 10.15% growth in earnings from 2021-25, mostly a recovery from COVID drop in 2020.

Actual numbers                      Forecasted numbers

	2019	Last 12 months	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Terminal Year
Expected Earnings	\$ 163.00	\$123.35	138.55	152.62	168.11	185.18	203.98	205.88
Expected cash payout as % of earnings	89.76%	103.59%	89.09%	90.21%	91.33%	92.46%	93.58%	93.58%
Expected Dividends + Buybacks =	\$ 146.31	\$127.78	\$123.43	\$137.67	\$153.54	\$171.21	\$190.88	192.66

Earnings and Cash flows grow @0.93% (set equal to risk free rate) a year forever.

S&P 500 on 1/1/21= **3756.07**

$$3756.07 = \frac{123.43}{(1+r)} + \frac{137.67}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{153.54}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{171.21}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{190.88}{(1+r)^5} + \frac{190.88(1.0093)}{(r-.0093)(1+r)^5}$$

The last term in this equation is the expected index level at the end of year 5 (capturing price appreciation)

Solve for r

r = Implied Expected Return on Stocks = 5.65%


Minus

Risk free rate = T.Bond rate on 1/1/20= 0.93%

Equals


Implied Equity Risk Premium (1/1/21) = 5.65% - 0.93% = 4.72%

# Implied ERP for the S&P 500: History






# The Price of Risk: The 2008 Crisis



# The Price of Risk: The COVID crisis



# Implied Premium for India using the Sensex: April 2010

- Level of the Index = 17559
- FCFE on the Index = 3.5% (Estimated FCFE for companies in index as % of market value of equity)
- Other parameters
  - Riskfree Rate = 5% (Rupee)
  - Expected Growth (in Rupee)
    - Next 5 years = 20% (Used expected growth rate in Earnings)
    - After year 5 = 5%
- Solving for the expected return:
  - Expected return on Equity = 11.72%
  - Implied Equity premium for India =  $11.72\% - 5\% = 6.72\%$

# Global Equities?


<i>Start of year</i>	<i>PBV (Developed)</i>	<i>PBV (Emerging)</i>	<i>ROE (Developed)</i>	<i>ROE (Emerging)</i>	<i>US T.Bond Rate</i>	<i>Growth Rate (Developed)</i>	<i>Growth Rate (Emerging)</i>	<i>Cost of Equity (Developed)</i>	<i>Cost of Equity (Emerging)</i>	<i>Differential</i>
2004	2.00	1.19	10.81%	11.65%	4.25%	3.75%	4.75%	7.28%	10.55%	3.27%
2005	2.09	1.27	11.12%	11.93%	4.22%	3.72%	4.72%	7.26%	10.40%	3.14%
2006	2.03	1.44	11.32%	12.18%	4.39%	3.89%	4.89%	7.55%	9.95%	2.40%
2007	1.67	1.67	10.87%	12.88%	4.70%	4.20%	5.20%	8.19%	9.80%	1.60%
2008	0.87	0.83	9.42%	11.12%	4.02%	3.52%	4.52%	10.30%	12.47%	2.17%
2009	1.20	1.34	8.48%	11.02%	2.21%	1.71%	2.71%	7.35%	8.91%	1.56%
2010	1.39	1.43	9.14%	11.22%	3.84%	3.34%	4.34%	7.51%	9.15%	1.64%
2011	1.12	1.08	9.21%	10.04%	3.29%	2.79%	3.79%	8.52%	9.58%	1.05%
2012	1.17	1.18	9.10%	9.33%	1.88%	1.38%	2.38%	7.98%	8.27%	0.29%
2013	1.56	1.63	8.67%	10.48%	1.76%	1.26%	2.26%	6.01%	7.30%	1.29%
2014	1.95	1.50	9.27%	9.64%	3.04%	2.54%	3.54%	5.99%	7.61%	1.62%
2015	1.88	1.56	9.69%	9.75%	2.17%	1.67%	2.67%	5.94%	7.21%	1.27%
2016	1.99	1.59	9.24%	10.16%	2.27%	1.77%	2.77%	5.52%	7.42%	1.89%
2017	1.76	1.48	8.71%	9.53%	2.68%	2.18%	3.18%	5.89%	7.47%	1.58%
2018	1.98	1.66	11.23%	11.36%	2.68%	2.18%	3.18%	6.75%	8.11%	1.36%
2019	1.64	1.31	12.09%	11.35%	2.68%	2.18%	3.18%	8.22%	9.42%	1.19%

# VI. There is a downside to globalization...

- Emerging markets offer growth opportunities but they are also riskier. If we want to count the growth, we have to also consider the risk.
- Two ways of estimating the country risk premium:
  - Sovereign Default Spread: In this approach, the country equity risk premium is set equal to the default spread of the bond issued by the country.
    - Equity Risk Premium for mature market = 6.00%
    - Default Spread for India = 2.00% (based on rating)
    - Equity Risk Premium for India = 6.00% + 2.00% = 8.00%
  - Adjusted for equity risk: The country equity risk premium is based upon the volatility of the equity market relative to the government bond rate.
    - Country risk premium =  $\text{Default Spread} * \frac{\text{Std Deviation}_{\text{Country Equity}}}{\text{Std Deviation}_{\text{Country Bond}}}$
    - Standard Deviation in Sensex = 21%
    - Standard Deviation in Indian government bond = 14%
    - Default spread on Indian Bond = 2%
    - Additional country risk premium for India =  $2\% (21/14) = 3.00\%$
    - Total equity risk premium = US equity risk premium + CRP for India  
= 6.00% + 3.00% = 9.00%

# A Template for Estimating the ERP: Jan 1, 2021

## ERP Estimation Procedure - January 1, 2021





# ERP : Jan 2021

Andorra	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%	Italy	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Austria	Aa1	0.38%	5.10%	Jersey	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Belgium	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%	Liechtenstein	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Cyprus	Ba2	2.91%	7.63%	Luxembourg	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Denmark	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%	Malta	A2	0.82%	5.54%
Finland	Aa1	0.38%	5.10%	Netherlands	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
France	Aa2	0.48%	5.20%	Norway	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Germany	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%	Portugal	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Greece	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%	Spain	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Guernsey	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%	Sweden	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Iceland	A2	0.82%	5.54%	Switzerland	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Ireland	A2	0.82%	5.54%	Turkey	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Isle of Man	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%	UK	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
				<b>Western Europe</b>		<b>0.84%</b>	<b>5.56%</b>

Canada	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
United States	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
<b>North America</b>		<b>0.00%</b>	<b>4.72%</b>

<b>Caribbean</b>		<b>5.31%</b>	<b>10.03%</b>
------------------	--	--------------	---------------

Argentina	Ca	11.62%	16.34%
Belize	Caa3	9.68%	14.40%
Bolivia	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Brazil	Ba2	2.91%	7.63%
Chile	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Colombia	Baa2	1.84%	6.56%
Costa Rica	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Ecuador	Caa3	9.68%	14.40%
El Salvador	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Guatemala	Ba1	2.42%	7.14%
Honduras	B1	4.36%	9.08%
Mexico	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Nicaragua	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Panama	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Paraguay	Ba1	2.42%	7.14%
Peru	A3	1.16%	5.88%
Suriname	Caa3	9.68%	14.40%
Uruguay	B1	4.36%	9.08%
Venezuela	C	19.18%	23.90%
<b>Latin America</b>		<b>3.99%</b>	<b>8.71%</b>

Country	Rating	CRP	ERP
Angola	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Benin	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Botswana	A2	0.82%	5.54%
Burkina Faso	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Cameroon	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Cape Verde	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Congo (DR)	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Congo (Rep of)	Caa2	8.72%	13.44%
Côte d'Ivoire	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Egypt	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Ethiopia	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Gabon	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Ghana	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Kenya	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Mali	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Morocco	Ba1	2.42%	7.14%
Mozambique	Caa2	8.72%	13.44%
Namibia	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Niger	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Nigeria	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Rwanda	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Senegal	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
South Africa	Ba2	2.91%	7.63%
Swaziland	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Tanzania	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Togo	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Tunisia	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Uganda	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Zambia	Ca	11.62%	16.34%
<b>Africa</b>		<b>4.94%</b>	<b>9.66%</b>

Albania	B1	4.36%	9.08%
Armenia	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Azerbaijan	Ba2	2.91%	7.63%
Belarus	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Bosnia & Herzegovina	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Bulgaria	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Croatia	Ba1	2.42%	7.14%
Czech Republic	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
Estonia	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Georgia	Ba2	2.91%	7.63%
Hungary	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Kazakhstan	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Kyrgyzstan	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Latvia	A3	1.16%	5.88%
Lithuania	A3	1.16%	5.88%
Macedonia	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Moldova	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Montenegro	B1	4.36%	9.08%
Poland	A2	0.82%	5.54%
Romania	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Russia	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Serbia	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Slovakia	A2	0.82%	5.54%
Slovenia	A3	1.16%	5.88%
Tajikistan	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Ukraine	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Uzbekistan	Baa2	1.84%	6.56%
<b>E. Europe &amp; Russia</b>		<b>2.08%</b>	<b>6.80%</b>

Abu Dhabi	Aa2	0.48%	5.20%
Bahrain	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Iraq	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Israel	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Jordan	B1	4.36%	9.08%
Kuwait	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Lebanon	C	19.18%	23.90%
Oman	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Qatar	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
Ras Al Khaima	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Saudi Arabia	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Sharjah	Baa2	1.84%	6.56%
United Arab Emirates	Aa2	0.48%	5.20%
<b>Middle East</b>		<b>1.53%</b>	<b>6.25%</b>

Country	PRS	CRP	ERP
Algeria	57.25	8.72%	13.44%
Brunei	80	0.82%	5.54%
Gambia	63.75	6.30%	11.02%
Guinea	53.5	11.62%	16.34%
Guinea-Bissau	62	7.26%	11.98%
Guyana	65.75	5.33%	10.05%
Haiti	52.75	11.62%	16.34%
Iran	59.25	8.72%	13.44%
Korea, D.P.R.	50.75	11.62%	16.34%
Liberia	53.5	11.62%	16.34%
Libya	58.25	8.72%	13.44%
Madagascar	63.25	6.30%	11.02%
Malawi	58.75	8.72%	13.44%
Myanmar	63.75	6.30%	11.02%
Sierra Leone	58.75	8.72%	13.44%
Somalia	50.5	11.62%	16.34%
Sudan	38.25	19.18%	23.90%
Syria	47	19.18%	23.90%
Yemen, Republic	50	19.18%	23.90%
Zimbabwe	52.25	11.62%	16.34%

Bangladesh	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Cambodia	B2	5.33%	10.05%
China	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Fiji	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%
Hong Kong	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
India	Baa3	2.13%	6.85%
Indonesia	Baa2	1.84%	6.56%
Japan	A1	0.68%	5.40%
Korea	Aa2	0.48%	5.20%
Laos	Caa2	8.72%	13.44%
Macao	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
Malaysia	A3	1.16%	5.88%
Maldives	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Mauritius	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Mongolia	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Pakistan	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Papua New Guinea	B2	5.33%	10.05%
Philippines	Baa2	1.84%	6.56%
Singapore	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Solomon Islands	B3	6.30%	11.02%
Sri Lanka	Caa1	7.26%	11.98%
Taiwan	Aa3	0.59%	5.31%
Thailand	Baa1	1.55%	6.27%
Vietnam	Ba3	3.49%	8.21%

Australia	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
Cook Islands	B1	4.36%	9.08%
New Zealand	Aaa	0.00%	4.72%
<b>Australia &amp; NZ</b>		<b>0.00%</b>	<b>4.72%</b>

Blue: Moody's Rating  
Red: Added Country Risk  
Green #: Total ERP

## VII. And it is not just emerging market companies that are exposed to this risk..

- Location-based ERP make no sense: The “default” approach in valuation has been to assign country risk based upon your country of incorporation. Thus, if you are incorporated in a developed market, the assumption has been that you are not exposed to emerging market risks. If you are incorporated in an emerging market, you are saddled with the entire country risk.
- And creates significant biases: As companies globalize and look for revenues in foreign markets, this practice will underestimate the costs of equity of developed market companies with significant emerging market risk exposure and overestimate the costs of equity of emerging market companies with significant developed market risk exposure.



# Henkel: Equity Risk Premium in 2016

<i>Region</i>	<i>Revenues in LTM</i>	<i>Weight</i>	<i>ERP</i>
Africa	€ 600	3.34%	11.76%
Asia	€ 3,134	17.45%	7.49%
Central and South America	€ 1,110	6.18%	10.42%
Eastern Europe & Russia	€ 2,695	15.00%	9.65%
Middle East	€ 729	4.06%	7.11%
North America	€ 3,648	20.31%	6.00%
Western Europe	€ 6,045	33.66%	7.16%
<b>Henkel</b>	<b>€ 17,961</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>7.71%</b>

# Natural Resource Twists? Royal Dutch

<i>Country</i>	<i>Oil &amp; Gas Production</i>	<i>% of Total</i>	<i>ERP</i>
Denmark	17396	3.83%	6.20%
Italy	11179	2.46%	9.14%
Norway	14337	3.16%	6.20%
UK	20762	4.57%	6.81%
<i>Rest of Europe</i>	<i>874</i>	<i>0.19%</i>	<i>7.40%</i>
Brunei	823	0.18%	9.04%
Iraq	20009	4.40%	11.37%
Malaysia	22980	5.06%	8.05%
Oman	78404	17.26%	7.29%
Russia	22016	4.85%	10.06%
<i>Rest of Asia &amp; ME</i>	<i>24480</i>	<i>5.39%</i>	<i>7.74%</i>
<i>Oceania</i>	<i>7858</i>	<i>1.73%</i>	<i>6.20%</i>
Gabon	12472	2.75%	11.76%
Nigeria	67832	14.93%	11.76%
Rest of Africa	6159	1.36%	12.17%
USA	104263	22.95%	6.20%
Canada	8599	1.89%	6.20%
Brazil	13307	2.93%	9.60%
<i>Rest of Latin America</i>	<i>576</i>	<i>0.13%</i>	<i>10.78%</i>
<b>Royal Dutch Shell</b>	<b>454326</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>8.26%</b>

# An alternate way: Estimating a company's exposure to country risk (Lambda)

□ Just as beta measures exposure to macro economic risk, lambda measures exposure just to country risk. Like beta, it is scaled around one.

□ The easiest and most accessible data is on revenues. Most companies break their revenues down by region. One simplistic solution would be to do the following:

$$\text{Lambda} = \% \text{ of revenues domestically}_{\text{firm}} / \% \text{ of revenues domestically}_{\text{average firm}}$$


□ In 2008-09, Tata Motors got about 91.37% of its revenues in India and TCS got 7.62%. The average Indian firm gets about 80% of its revenues in India:

□  $\text{Lambda}_{\text{Tata Motors}} = 91\%/80\% = 1.14$

□ The danger of focusing just on revenues is that it misses other exposures to risk (production and operations).


	<i>Tata Motors</i>	<i>TCS</i>
% of production/operations in India	High	High
% of revenues in India	91.37% (in 2009) Estimated 70% (in 2010)	7.62%
Lambda	0.80	0.20
Flexibility in moving operations	Low. Significant physical assets.	High. Human capital is mobile.

# VIII. Growth has to be earned (not endowed or estimated): Sustainable Growth




1. No free growth: In the long term, to grow, you have to reinvest.
2. Growth Quality: For a given reinvestment, the higher the return you generate on your reinvestment, the faster you can grow.
3. Scaling up is hard to do: As companies get larger, it gets more difficult to sustain value-adding growth.

# Measuring Returns: The Quandary




# Earn at least your cost of capital! But companies seem to have trouble in practice



# A More General Way to Estimate Growth: Top Down Growth


- All of the fundamental growth equations assume that the firm has a return on equity or return on capital it can sustain in the long term.
- When operating income is negative or margins are expected to change over time, we use a three step process to estimate growth:
  - ▣ Estimate growth rates in revenues over time
    - Determine the total market (given your business model) and estimate the market share that you think your company will earn.
    - Decrease the growth rate as the firm becomes larger
    - Keep track of absolute revenues to make sure that the growth is feasible
  - ▣ Estimate expected operating margins each year
    - Set a target margin that the firm will move towards
    - Adjust the current margin towards the target margin
  - ▣ Estimate the capital that needs to be invested to generate revenue growth and expected margins
    - Estimate a sales to capital ratio that you will use to generate reinvestment needs each year.

# Value: The Drivers





# IX. All good things come to an end..And the terminal value is not an ATM...



Myth 5.1: The only way to estimate terminal value is to use the perpetual growth model.

Myth 5.2: The perpetual growth model can give you an infinite value.

Myth 5.3: The growth rate is your biggest driver of terminal value.

Myth 5.4: Your growth rate cannot be negative in a perpetual growth model.

Myth 5.5: If your terminal value is a high proportion of your DCF value, it is flawed.

$$\text{Value of an asset with life } > n \text{ years} = \frac{E(CF_1)}{(1+r)^1} + \frac{E(CF_2)}{(1+r)^2} + \dots + \frac{E(CF_n)}{(1+r)^n} + \frac{\text{Terminal Value}_n}{(1+r)^n}$$

Truth 5.1: The terminal value can be based on annuities or a liquidation value.

Truth 5.2: Not if growth forever is capped at the growth rate of the economy.

Truth 5.3: Growth is not free & increasing growth can add or destroy value.

Truth 5.4: Growth can be negative forever & is often more reflective of reality.

Truth 5.5: The terminal value should be a high percent of value today.


# Terminal Value and Growth

<i>Stable Growth Rate</i>	<i>Amgen</i>	<i>Tata Motors</i>	<i>Arcelik</i>	<i>Heineken</i>
0%	\$150,652	₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	€59,438
1%	\$154,479	₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	€59,438
2%	\$160,194	₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	€59,438
3%	\$167,784	₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	
4%	\$179,099	₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	
5%		₹ 435,686	TI 66,633	
10%			TI 66,633	
Risk free Rate	4.78%	5.00%	<b>5.10%</b>	-0.50%
ROIC	10.00%	10.39%	<b>9.60%</b>	5.00%
Cost of capital	8.08%	10.39%	<b>9.60%</b>	5.00%

## II. The loose ends in valuation...

A premium here, a discount there, and soon you are where you wanted to be in the first place..

# Getting from DCF to value per share: The Loose Ends



# 1. The Value of Cash


## An Exercise in Cash Valuation

	Company A	Company B	Company C
Enterprise Value	\$ 1 billion	\$ 1 billion	\$ 1 billion
Cash	\$ 100 mil	\$ 100 mil	\$ 100 mil
Return on Capital	10%	5%	22%
Cost of Capital	10%	10%	12%
Trades in	US	US	Argentina

- In which of these companies is cash most likely to trade at face value, at a discount and at a premium?

# Cash: Discount or Premium?

*Market Value of \$ 1 in cash:  
Estimates obtained by regressing Enterprise Value against Cash Balances*



## 2. Dealing with Holdings in Other firms

- Holdings in other firms can be categorized into
  - Minority passive holdings, in which case only the dividend from the holdings is shown in the balance sheet
  - Minority active holdings, in which case the share of equity income is shown in the income statements
  - Majority active holdings, in which case the financial statements are consolidated.
- We tend to be sloppy in practice in dealing with cross holdings. After valuing the operating assets of a firm, using consolidated statements, it is common to add on the balance sheet value of minority holdings (which are in book value terms) and subtract out the minority interests (again in book value terms), representing the portion of the consolidated company that does not belong to the parent company.

## How to value holdings in other firms.. In a perfect world..


- In a perfect world, we would strip the parent company from its subsidiaries and value each one separately. The value of the combined firm will be
  - Value of parent company + Proportion of value of each subsidiary
- To do this right, you will need to be provided detailed information on each subsidiary to estimate cash flows and discount rates.



# Two compromise solutions...

- The market value solution: When the subsidiaries are publicly traded, you could use their traded market capitalizations to estimate the values of the cross holdings. You do risk carrying into your valuation any mistakes that the market may be making in valuation.
- The relative value solution: When there are too many cross holdings to value separately or when there is insufficient information provided on cross holdings, you can convert the book values of holdings that you have on the balance sheet (for both minority holdings and minority interests in majority holdings) by using the average price to book value ratio of the sector in which the subsidiaries operate.

# Tata Motor's Cross Holdings



### 3. Other Assets that have not been counted yet..

- Unutilized assets: If you have assets or property that are not being utilized (vacant land, for example), you have not valued it yet. You can assess a market value for these assets and add them on to the value of the firm.
- Overfunded pension plans: If you have a defined benefit plan and your assets exceed your expected liabilities, you could consider the over funding with two caveats:
  - Collective bargaining agreements may prevent you from laying claim to these excess assets.
  - There are tax consequences. Often, withdrawals from pension plans get taxed at much higher rates.
- **Do not double count an asset.** If you count the income from an asset in your cash flows, you cannot count the market value of the asset in your value.

# The “real estate” play

- Assume that Accor Hotels, a hotel company, has real estate investments underlying its operations. Assume that you estimate a real estate value of \$1.5 billion for the real estate. Can you add this value on to your DCF value that you get for the hotel business?
  - a. Yes.
  - b. No.
  - c. Depends
- What would you do if the value of the land exceeds the present value that you have estimated for them as operating assets?
  - a. Nothing
  - b. Use the higher of the two values
  - c. Use the lower of the two values
  - d. Use a weighted average of the two values

# An Uncounted Asset?

69

*Price tag: \$200 million*



The longtime home of Playboy magazine founder Hugh Hefner is to be sold to Daren Metropoulos, a principal at private-equity firm Metropoulos & Co. PHOTO: GETTY IMAGES

## 4. A Discount for Complexity: An Experiment

	Company A	Company B
Operating Income	\$ 1 billion	\$ 1 billion
Tax rate	40%	40%
ROIC	10%	10%
Expected Growth	5%	5%
Cost of capital	8%	8%
Business Mix	Single	Multiple Businesses
Holdings	Simple	Complex
Accounting	Transparent	Opaque

□ Which firm would you value more highly?

# Measuring Complexity: Volume of Data in Financial Statements

<i>Company</i>	<i>Number of pages in last 10Q</i>	<i>Number of pages in last 10K</i>
General Electric	65	410
Microsoft	63	218
Wal-mart	38	244
Exxon Mobil	86	332
Pfizer	171	460
Citigroup	252	1026
Intel	69	215
AIG	164	720
Johnson & Johnson	63	218
IBM	85	353



# Measuring Complexity: A Complexity Score

Item	Factors	Follow-up Question	Answer	Weighting factor	Gerdau Score	GE Score
Operating Income	1. Multiple Businesses	Number of businesses (with more than 10% of revenues) =	1	2.00	2	30
	2. One-time income and expenses	Percent of operating income =	10%	10.00	1	0.8
	3. Income from unspecified sources	Percent of operating income =	0%	10.00	0	1.2
	4. Items in income statement that are volatile	Percent of operating income =	15%	5.00	0.75	1
Tax Rate	1. Income from multiple locales	Percent of revenues from non-domestic locales =	70%	3.00	2.1	1.8
	2. Different tax and reporting books	Yes or No	No	Yes=3	0	3
	3. Headquarters in tax havens	Yes or No	No	Yes=3	0	0
	4. Volatile effective tax rate	Yes or No	Yes	Yes=2	2	0
Capital Expenditures	1. Volatile capital expenditures	Yes or No	Yes	Yes=2	2	2
	2. Frequent and large acquisitions	Yes or No	Yes	Yes=4	4	4
	3. Stock payment for acquisitions and investments	Yes or No	No	Yes=4	0	4
Working capital	1. Unspecified current assets and current liabilities	Yes or No	No	Yes=3	0	0
	2. Volatile working capital items	Yes or No	Yes	Yes=2	2	2
Expected Growth rate	1. Off-balance sheet assets and liabilities (operating leases and R&D)	Yes or No	No	Yes=3	0	3
	2. Substantial stock buybacks	Yes or No	No	Yes=3	0	3
	3. Changing return on capital over time	Is your return on capital volatile?	Yes	Yes=5	5	5
	4. Unsustainably high return	Is your firm's ROC much higher than industry average?	No	Yes=5	0	0
Cost of capital	1. Multiple businesses	Number of businesses (more than 10% of revenues) =	1	1.00	1	20
	2. Operations in emerging markets	Percent of revenues=	50%	5.00	2.5	2.5
	3. Is the debt market traded?	Yes or No	No	No=2	2	0
	4. Does the company have a rating?	Yes or No	Yes	No=2	0	0
	5. Does the company have off-balance sheet debt?	Yes or No	No	Yes=5	0	5
No-operating assets	Minority holdings as percent of book assets	Minority holdings as percent of book assets	0%	20.00	0	0.8
Firm to Equity value	Consolidation of subsidiaries	Minority interest as percent of book value of equity	63%	20.00	12.6	1.2
Per share value	Shares with different voting rights	Does the firm have shares with different voting rights?	Yes	Yes = 10	10	0
	Equity options outstanding	Options outstanding as percent of shares	0%	10.00	0	0.272
Complexity Score =					48.95	90.55

# Dealing with Complexity

## □ In Discounted Cashflow Valuation


- The Aggressive Analyst: Trust the firm to tell the truth and value the firm based upon the firm's statements about their value.
- The Conservative Analyst: Don't value what you cannot see.
- The Compromise: Adjust the value for complexity
  - Adjust cash flows for complexity
  - Adjust the discount rate for complexity
  - Adjust the expected growth rate/ length of growth period
  - Value the firm and then discount value for complexity

## □ In relative valuation

- In a relative valuation, you may be able to assess the price that the market is charging for complexity:
- With the hundred largest market cap firms, for instance:

$$\text{PBV} = 0.65 + 15.31 \text{ ROE} - 0.55 \text{ Beta} + 3.04 \text{ Expected growth rate} - 0.003 \# \text{ Pages in 10K}$$

# 5. The Value of Synergy



# Valuing Synergy

- (1) the firms involved in the merger are valued independently, by discounting expected cash flows to each firm at the weighted average cost of capital for that firm.
- (2) the value of the combined firm, with no synergy, is obtained by adding the values obtained for each firm in the first step.
- (3) The effects of synergy are built into expected growth rates and cashflows, and the combined firm is re-valued with synergy.

Value of Synergy = Value of the combined firm, with synergy - Value of the combined firm, without synergy

# Inbev + SAB Miller: Where's the synergy?

	<i>Inbev</i>	<i>SABMiller</i>	<i>Combined firm (status quo)</i>	<i>Combined firm (synergy)</i>
Levered Beta	0.85	0.8289	0.84641	0.84641
Pre-tax cost of debt	3.0000%	3.2000%	3.00%	3.00%
Effective tax rate	18.00%	26.36%	19.92%	19.92%
Debt to Equity Ratio	30.51%	23.18%	29.71%	29.71%
Revenues	\$45,762.00	\$22,130.00	\$67,892.00	\$67,892.00
Operating Margin	32.28%	19.97%	28.27%	30.00%
Operating Income (EBIT)	\$14,771.97	\$4,419.36	\$19,191.33	\$20.368
After-tax return on capital	12.10%	12.64%	11.68%	12.00%
Reinvestment Rate =	50.99%	33.29%	43.58%	50.00%
Expected Growth Rate	6.17%	4.21%	5.09%	6.00%

# The value of synergy

	<i>Inbev</i>	<i>SABMiller</i>	<i>Combined firm (status quo)</i>	<i>Combined firm (synergy)</i>
Cost of Equity =	8.93%	9.37%	9.12%	9.12%
After-tax cost of debt =	2.10%	2.24%	2.10%	2.10%
Cost of capital =	7.33%	8.03%	7.51%	7.51%
After-tax return on capital =	12.10%	12.64%	11.68%	12.00%
Reinvestment Rate =	50.99%	33.29%	43.58%	50.00%
Expected growth rate=	6.17%	4.21%	5.09%	6.00%
<i>Value of firm</i>				
PV of FCFF in high growth =	\$28,733	\$9,806	\$38,539	\$39,151
Terminal value =	\$260,982	\$58,736	\$319,717	\$340,175
Value of operating assets =	\$211,953	\$50,065	\$262,018	\$276,610

***Value of synergy = 276,610 – 262,018 = 14,592 million*** 77

## 6. Brand name, great management, superb product ...Are we short changing intangibles?

- There is often a temptation to add on premiums for intangibles. Here are a few examples.
  - ▣ Brand name
  - ▣ Great management
  - ▣ Loyal workforce
  - ▣ Technological prowess
- There are two potential dangers:
  - ▣ For some assets, the value may already be in your value and adding a premium will be double counting.
  - ▣ For other assets, the value may be ignored but incorporating it will not be easy.

# Valuing Brand Name

	<b>Coca Cola</b>	<b>With Cott Margins</b>
Current Revenues =	\$21,962.00	\$21,962.00
Length of high-growth period	10	10
Reinvestment Rate =	50%	50%
Operating Margin (after-tax)	15.57%	5.28%
Sales/Capital (Turnover ratio)	1.34	1.34
Return on capital (after-tax)	20.84%	7.06%
Growth rate during period (g) =	10.42%	3.53%
Cost of Capital during period =	7.65%	7.65%
Stable Growth Period		
Growth rate in steady state =	4.00%	4.00%
Return on capital =	7.65%	7.65%
Reinvestment Rate =	52.28%	52.28%
Cost of Capital =	7.65%	7.65%
Value of Firm =	\$79,611.25	\$15,371.24



# Valuing a Franchise: Star Wars

## Star Wars Franchise Valuation: December 2015

	Add-on \$ per Box Office \$
Streaming/Video	\$1.20
Toys & Merchandise	\$2.00
Books/eBooks	\$0.20
Gaming	\$0.50
Other	\$0.50

**Main Movies**  
World Box office of \$1.5 billion, adjusted for 2% inflation.

**Spin Off Movies**  
World Box office is 50% of main movies.

	Main Star Wars Movies			Star Wars Spin offs		
	Star Wars VII	Star Wars VIII	Star Wars IX	Rogue One	Hans Solo?	Boba Fett?
Years from now	0.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	5.0
Movies - Revenues	\$2,000	\$2,081	\$2,165	\$1,020	\$1,061	\$1,104
Streaming/Video - Revenues	\$2,400	\$2,497	\$2,598	\$1,224	\$1,273	\$1,325
Toys & Merchandise - Revenues	\$4,000	\$4,162	\$4,330	\$2,040	\$2,122	\$2,208
Books/eBooks - Revenues	\$400	\$416	\$433	\$204	\$212	\$221
Gaming - Revenues	\$1,000	\$1,040	\$1,082	\$510	\$531	\$552
Other - Revenues	\$1,000	\$1,040	\$1,082	\$510	\$531	\$552
<b>Total - Revenues</b>	<b>\$10,800</b>	<b>\$11,236</b>	<b>\$11,690</b>	<b>\$5,508</b>	<b>\$5,731</b>	<b>\$5,962</b>
After-tax Operating Income (movies)	\$ 282	\$ 293	\$ 305	\$ 144	\$ 150	\$ 156
After-tax Operating Income (non-movies)	\$ 924	\$ 961	\$ 1,000	\$ 471	\$ 490	\$ 510
<b>Present Value</b>	<b>\$ 1,206</b>	<b>\$ 1,083</b>	<b>\$ 973</b>	<b>\$ 572</b>	<b>\$ 514</b>	<b>\$ 461</b>
Value of new Star Wars movies =	\$4,809					
Value of continuing income =	\$5,163					
<b>Value of Star Wars =</b>	<b>\$9,972</b>					

Add on \$ per box office \$

Operating Margin  
20.14% for movies  
15% for non-movies  
30% tax rate

Discounted back @ 7.61% cost of capital of entertainment companies

Assumes that revenues from add ons continue after 2020, growing at 2% a year, with 15% operating margin

## 7. Be circumspect about defining debt for cost of capital purposes...

- General Rule: Debt generally has the following characteristics:
  - ▣ Commitment to make fixed payments in the future
  - ▣ The fixed payments are tax deductible
  - ▣ Failure to make the payments can lead to either default or loss of control of the firm to the party to whom payments are due.
- Defined as such, debt should include
  - ▣ All interest bearing liabilities, short term as well as long term
  - ▣ All leases, operating as well as capital
- Debt should not include
  - ▣ Accounts payable or supplier credit

## But should consider other potential liabilities when getting to equity value...



- If you have under funded pension fund or health care plans, you should consider the under funding at this stage in getting to the value of equity.
  - If you do so, you should not double count by also including a cash flow line item reflecting cash you would need to set aside to meet the unfunded obligation.
  - You should not be counting these items as debt in your cost of capital calculations....
- If you have contingent liabilities - for example, a potential liability from a lawsuit that has not been decided - you should consider the expected value of these contingent liabilities
  - Value of contingent liability = Probability that the liability will occur \*  
Expected value of liability

# 8. The Value of Control

- The value of the control premium that will be paid to acquire a block of equity will depend upon two factors -
  - Probability that control of firm will change: This refers to the probability that incumbent management will be replaced. this can be either through acquisition or through existing stockholders exercising their muscle.
  - Value of Gaining Control of the Company: The value of gaining control of a company arises from two sources - the increase in value that can be wrought by changes in the way the company is managed and run, and the side benefits and perquisites of being in control
  - Value of Gaining Control = Present Value (Value of Company with change in control - Value of company without change in control) + Side Benefits of Control

*Increase Cash Flows*



*Reduce the cost of capital*



**Firm Value**

*Increase Expected Growth*

*Increase length of growth period*



# Adris Grupa (Status Quo): 4/2010

**Current Cashflow to Firm**  
 EBIT(1-t) : 436 HRK  
 - Nt CpX 3 HRK  
 - Chg WC -118 HRK  
 = FCFF 551 HRK  
 Reinv Rate =  $(3-118)/436 = -26.35\%$ ;  
 Tax rate = 17.35%  
 Return on capital = 8.72%

Average from 2004-09  
 70.83%

Reinvestment Rate  
 70.83%

**Expected Growth from new inv.**  
 $.7083 \times .0969 = 0.0686$   
 or 6.86%

Average from 2004-09  
 9.69%

Return on Capital  
 9.69%

**Stable Growth**  
 $g = 4\%$ ; Beta = 0.80  
 Country Premium = 2%  
 Cost of capital = 9.92%  
 Tax rate = 20.00%  
 ROC = 9.92%;  
 Reinvestment Rate =  $g/ROC = 4/9.92 = 40.32\%$

Terminal Value<sub>5</sub> =  $365 / (.0992 - .04) = 6170$  HRK

Op. Assets 4312  
 + Cash: 1787  
 - Debt 141  
 - Minority int 465  
 = Equity 5,484  
 / (Common + Preferred shares)  
 Value non-voting share 335 HRK/share

Year	1	2	3	4	5	
EBIT (1-t)	HRK 466	HRK 498	HRK 532	HRK 569	HRK 608	612 246 365
- Reinvestment	HRK 330	HRK 353	HRK 377	HRK 403	HRK 431	
FCFF	HRK 136	HRK 145	HRK 155	HRK 166	HRK 177	


Discount at \$ Cost of Capital (WACC) = 10.7% (.974) + 5.40% (0.026) = 10.55%

**Cost of Equity**  
 10.70%

**Cost of Debt**  
 $(4.25\% + 0.5\% + 2\%)(1 - .20) = 5.40\%$

**Weights**  
 E = 97.4% D = 2.6%

On May 1, 2010  
 AG Pfd price = 279 HRK  
 AG Common = 345 HRK





### Adris Grupa: 4/2010 (Restructured)

Current Cashflow to Firm  
 EBIT(1-t) : 436 HRK  
 - Nt CpX 3 HRK  
 - Chg WC -118 HRK  
 = FCFF 551 HRK  
 Reinv Rate= (3-118)/436=-26.35%;  
 Tax rate = 17.35%  
 Return on capital = 8.72%

Increased ROIC to cost of capital

Reinvestment Rate  
70.83%

Expected Growth from new inv.  
 $.7083 \times .01054 = 0.0747$   
 or 7.47%

Return on Capital  
10.54%

Stable Growth  
 g = 4%; Beta = 0.80  
 Country Premium= 2%  
 Cost of capital = 9.65%  
 Tax rate = 20.00%  
 ROC=9.65%;  
 Reinvestment Rate=g/ROC  
 =4/9.65%= 41.47%

Terminal Value<sub>5</sub> =  $367 / (.0965 - .04) = 6508$  HRK

Op. Assets 4545  
 + Cash: 1787  
 - Debt 141  
 - Minority int 465  
 =Equity 5,735  
 Value/non-voting 334  
 Value/voting 362

Year	1	2	3	4	5	
EBIT (1-t)	HRK 469	HRK 503	HRK 541	HRK 581	HRK 623	
- Reinvestment	HRK 332	HRK 356	HRK 383	HRK 411	HRK 442	
FCFF	HRK 137	HRK 147	HRK 158	HRK 169	HRK 182	
						628 246 367

Discount at \$ Cost of Capital (WACC) =  $11.12\% (.90) + 8.20\% (0.10) = 10.54\%$

Changed mix of debt and equity to optimal

Cost of Equity  
11.12%

Cost of Debt  
 $(4.25\% + 4\% + 2\%) (1 - .20) = 8.20\%$

Weights  
E = 90 % D = 10 %

On May 1, 2010  
 AG Pfd price = 279 HRK  
 AG Common = 345 HRK

Riskfree Rate:  
HRK Riskfree Rate= 4.25%

+ Beta 0.75 X Mature market premium 4.5%

Unlevered Beta for Sectors: 0.68

Firm's D/E Ratio: 11.1%

Lambda 0.68 X CRP for Croatia (3%)  
 + Lambda 0.42 X CRP for Central Europe (3%)

Country Default Spread 2%

Rel Equity Mkt Vol 1.50



# Value of Control and the Value of Voting Rights

- Adris Grupa has two classes of shares outstanding: 9.616 million voting shares and 6.748 million non-voting shares.
- To value a non-voting share, we assume that all non-voting shares essentially have to settle for status quo value. All shareholders, common and preferred, get an equal share of the status quo value.

Status Quo Value of Equity = 5,484 million HKR

Value for a non-voting share =  $5484 / (9.616 + 6.748) = 334$  HKR/share

- To value a voting share, we first value control in Adris Grup as the difference between the optimal and the status quo value:


Value of control at Adris Grupa =  $5,735 - 5484 = 249$  million HKR

Value per voting share =  $334$  HKR +  $249 / 9.616 = 362$  HKR

# III. The Dark Side of Valuation

Valuing difficult-to-value companies!

# The fundamental determinants of value...



# The Dark Side of Valuation...

- Valuing stable, money making companies with consistent and clear accounting statements, a long and stable history and lots of comparable firms is easy to do.
- The true test of your valuation skills is when you have to value “difficult” companies. In particular, the challenges are greatest when valuing:
  - ▣ Young companies, early in the life cycle, in young businesses
  - ▣ Companies that don’t fit the accounting mold
  - ▣ Companies that face substantial truncation risk (default or nationalization risk)


# Difficult to value companies...

- Across the life cycle:
  - ▣ Young, growth firms: Limited history, small revenues in conjunction with big operating losses and a propensity for failure make these companies tough to value.
  - ▣ Mature companies in transition: When mature companies change or are forced to change, history may have to be abandoned and parameters have to be reestimated.
  - ▣ Declining and Distressed firms: A long but irrelevant history, declining markets, high debt loads and the likelihood of distress make them troublesome.
- Across sectors
  - ▣ Financial service firms: Opacity of financial statements and difficulties in estimating basic inputs leave us trusting managers to tell us what's going on.
  - ▣ Commodity and cyclical firms: Dependence of the underlying commodity prices or overall economic growth make these valuations susceptible to macro factors.
  - ▣ Firms with intangible assets: Accounting principles are left to the wayside on these firms.
- Across the ownership cycle
  - ▣ Privately owned businesses: Exposure to firm specific risk and illiquidity bedevil valuations.
  - ▣ Venture Capital (VC) and private equity: Different equity investors, with different perceptions of risk.
  - ▣ Closely held public firms: Part private and part public, sharing the troubles of both.

# I. The challenge with young companies...

Figure 5.2: Estimation Issues - Young and Start-up Companies

*Making judgments on revenues/ profits difficult because you cannot draw on history. If you have no product/ service, it is difficult to gauge market potential or profitability. The company's entire value lies in future growth but you have little to base your estimate on.*




# Upping the ante.. Young companies in young businesses...



- When valuing a business, we generally draw on three sources of information
  - The firm's current financial statement
    - How much did the firm sell?
    - How much did it earn?
  - The firm's financial history, usually summarized in its financial statements.
    - How fast have the firm's revenues and earnings grown over time?
    - What can we learn about cost structure and profitability from these trends?
    - Susceptibility to macro-economic factors (recessions and cyclical firms)
  - The industry and comparable firm data
    - What happens to firms as they mature? (Margins.. Revenue growth... Reinvestment needs... Risk)
- It is when valuing these companies that you find yourself tempted by the dark side, where
  - "Paradigm shifts" happen...
  - New metrics are invented ...
  - The story dominates and the numbers lag...



# Amazon in January 2000



Sales to capital ratio and expected margin are retail industry average numbers



Terminal Value =  $1881 / (.0961 - .06)$  = 52,148

Value of Op Assets \$ 15,170

+ Cash \$ 26

= Value of Firm \$15,196

- Value of Debt \$ 349

= Value of Equity \$14,847

- Equity Options \$ 2,892


Value per share \$ 35.08

Revenue Growth	150.00%	100.00%	75.00%	50.00%	30.00%	25.20%	20.40%	15.60%	10.80%	6.00%
Revenues	\$ 2,793	\$ 5,585	\$ 9,774	\$ 14,661	\$ 19,059	\$ 23,862	\$ 28,729	\$ 33,211	\$ 36,798	\$ 39,006
Operating Margin	-13.35%	-1.68%	4.16%	7.08%	8.54%	9.27%	9.64%	9.82%	9.91%	9.95%
EBIT	-\$373	-\$94	\$407	\$1,038	\$1,628	\$2,212	\$2,768	\$3,261	\$3,646	\$3,883
EBIT(1-t)	-\$373	-\$94	\$407	\$871	\$1,058	\$1,438	\$1,799	\$2,119	\$2,370	\$2,524
- Reinvestment	\$600	\$967	\$1,420	\$1,663	\$1,543	\$1,688	\$1,721	\$1,619	\$1,363	\$961
FCFF	-\$931	-\$1,024	-\$989	-\$758	-\$408	-\$163	\$177	\$625	\$1,174	\$1,788

Term. Year	6%
	\$ 41,346
	10.00%
	\$4,135
	\$2,688
	\$155
	\$1,881


	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Forever
Cost of Equity	12.90%	12.90%	12.90%	12.90%	12.90%	12.42%	11.94%	11.46%	10.98%	10.50%	
Cost of Debt	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	7.80%	7.75%	7.67%	7.50%	7.00%	
After-tax cost of debt	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	6.71%	5.20%	5.07%	5.04%	4.98%	4.88%	4.55%	
Cost of Capital	12.84%	12.84%	12.84%	12.83%	12.81%	12.13%	11.62%	11.08%	10.49%	9.61%	

All existing options valued as options, using current stock price of \$84.



Amazon was trading at \$84 in January 2000.

Pushed debt ratio to retail industry average of 15%.



# Lesson 1: Don't trust regression betas....

<HELP> for explanation, <MENU> for similar functions.

DG26 Equity **BETA**

## HISTORICAL BETA

AMZN

US

AMAZON.COM INC

Relative Index

SPX

S&P 500 INDEX


\* Identifies latest observation

Period  Weekly

Range  2/27/98 To  2/18/00

Market  Trade

ADJ BETA	1.82
RAW BETA	2.23
Alpha (Intercept)	2.60
R2 (Correlation)	.17
Std Dev of Error	13.20
Std Error of Beta	.50
Number of Points	103



Adj beta = (0.67) \* Raw Beta  
+ (0.33) \* 1.0

Copyright 2000 BLOOMBERG L.P. Frankfurt:69-920410 Hong Kong:2-977-6000 London:171-330-7500 New York:212-318-2000  
Princeton:609-279-3000 Singapore:226-3000 Sydney:2-9777-8686 Tokyo:3-3201-8900 Sao Paulo:11-3048-4500  
1257-602-0 22-Feb-00 16:21:23


**Bloomberg**  
PROFESSIONAL

## Lesson 2: Work backwards and keep it simple...

Year	Revenue Growth	Sales	Operating Margin	EBIT	EBIT (1-t)
Tr 12 mths		\$1,117	-36.71%	-\$410	-\$410
1	150.00%	\$2,793	-13.35%	-\$373	-\$373
2	100.00%	\$5,585	-1.68%	-\$94	-\$94
3	75.00%	\$9,774	4.16%	\$407	\$407
4	50.00%	\$14,661	7.08%	\$1,038	\$871
5	30.00%	\$19,059	8.54%	\$1,628	\$1,058
6	25.20%	\$23,862	9.27%	\$2,212	\$1,438
7	20.40%	\$28,729	9.64%	\$2,768	\$1,799
8	15.60%	\$33,211	9.82%	\$3,261	\$2,119
9	10.80%	\$36,798	9.91%	\$3,646	\$2,370
10	6.00%	\$39,006	9.95%	\$3,883	\$2,524
TY	6.00%	\$41,346	10.00%	\$4,135	\$2,688

# Lesson 3: Scaling up is hard to do...

Typically, the revenue growth rate of a newly public company outpaces its industry average for only about five years.



Source: Andrew Metrick

The New York Times



# Lesson 4: Don't forget to pay for growth...

Year	Revenues	Δ Revenue	Sales/Cap	Δ Investment	Invested Capital	EBIT (1-t)	Imputed ROC
Tr 12 mths	\$1,117				\$ 487	-\$410	
1	\$2,793	\$1,676	3.00	\$559	\$ 1,045	-\$373	-76.62%
2	\$5,585	\$2,793	3.00	\$931	\$ 1,976	-\$94	-8.96%
3	\$9,774	\$4,189	3.00	\$1,396	\$ 3,372	\$407	20.59%
4	\$14,661	\$4,887	3.00	\$1,629	\$ 5,001	\$871	25.82%
5	\$19,059	\$4,398	3.00	\$1,466	\$ 6,467	\$1,058	21.16%
6	\$23,862	\$4,803	3.00	\$1,601	\$ 8,068	\$1,438	22.23%
7	\$28,729	\$4,868	3.00	\$1,623	\$ 9,691	\$1,799	22.30%
8	\$33,211	\$4,482	3.00	\$1,494	\$ 11,185	\$2,119	21.87%
9	\$36,798	\$3,587	3.00	\$1,196	\$ 12,380	\$2,370	21.19%
10	\$39,006	\$2,208	3.00	\$736	\$ 13,116	\$2,524	20.39%
TY	\$41,346	\$2,340	NA		Assumed to be =		20.00%

# Lesson 5: There are always scenarios where the market price can be justified...


		Target pre-tax Operating Margin				
		6%	8%	10%	12%	14%
Compounded annual Revenue Growth rate	30%	\$ (1.94)	\$ 2.95	\$ 7.84	\$ 12.71	\$ 17.57
	35%	\$ 1.41	\$ 8.37	\$ 15.33	\$ 22.27	\$ 29.21
	40%	\$ 6.10	\$ 15.93	\$ 25.74	\$ 35.54	\$ 45.34
	45%	\$ 12.59	\$ 26.34	\$ 40.05	\$ 53.77	\$ 67.48
	50%	\$ 21.47	\$ 40.50	\$ 59.52	\$ 78.53	\$ 97.54
	55%	\$ 33.47	\$ 59.60	\$ 85.72	\$ 111.84	\$ 137.95
	60%	\$ 49.53	\$ 85.10	\$ 120.66	\$ 156.22	\$ 191.77

## Lesson 6: You will be wrong 100% of the time... and it really is not (always) your fault...

- No matter how careful you are in getting your inputs and how well structured your model is, your estimate of value will change both as new information comes out about the company, the business and the economy.
- As information comes out, you will have to adjust and adapt your model to reflect the information. Rather than be defensive about the resulting changes in value, recognize that this is the essence of risk.
- A test: If your valuations are unbiased, you should find yourself increasing estimated values as often as you are decreasing values. In other words, there should be equal doses of good and bad news affecting valuations (at least over time).

# And the market is often “more wrong” ....

**Amazon: Value and Price**





# Valuing an IPO

- Valuation issues:
  - Use of the proceeds from the offering: The proceeds from the offering can be held as cash by the firm to cover future investment needs, paid to existing equity investors who want to cash out or used to pay down debt.
  - Warrants/ Special deals with prior equity investors: If venture capitalists and other equity investors from earlier iterations of fund raising have rights to buy or sell their equity at pre-specified prices, it can affect the value per share offered to the public.
- Pricing issues:
  - Institutional set-up: Most IPOs are backed by investment banking guarantees on the price, which can affect how they are priced.
  - Follow-up offerings: The proportion of equity being offered at initial offering and subsequent offering plans can affect pricing.

# Alibaba: Pre-IPO valuation - September 2, 2014 (in US \$)

	T12M	2012-13
Revenues	\$9,268	\$4,821
Operating Income	\$4,702	\$1,777
Effective tax rate	11.92%	
Operating Margin	50.73%	

Revenue growth of 25% a year for 5 years, tapering down to 2.63% in year 10

Pre-tax operating margin decreases to 40% over time & tax rate rises to 25%

Sales to capital ratio maintained at 2.00

**Stable Growth**  
 $g = 2.41\%$   
 Cost of capital = 8%  
 ROC = 8%;  
 Reinvestment Rate =  $2.41\%/8\% = 30.125\%$

Terminal Value<sub>10</sub> =  $10,353 / (.08 - 0.0241) = \$185,198$



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenue growth rate	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	20.48%	15.96%	11.45%	6.93%	2.41%
Revenues	\$ 11,585	\$ 14,481	\$ 18,101	\$ 22,626	\$ 28,283	\$ 34,075	\$ 39,515	\$ 44,038	\$ 47,089	\$ 48,224
EBIT (Operating) margin	49.66%	48.59%	47.51%	46.44%	45.37%	44.29%	43.22%	42.15%	41.07%	40.00%
EBIT (Operating income)	\$ 5,753	\$ 7,035	\$ 8,600	\$ 10,507	\$ 12,831	\$ 15,093	\$ 17,078	\$ 18,560	\$ 19,341	\$ 19,290
Tax rate	11.92%	11.92%	11.92%	11.92%	11.92%	14.54%	17.15%	19.77%	22.38%	25.00%
EBIT(1-t)	\$ 5,067	\$ 6,197	\$ 7,575	\$ 9,255	\$ 11,301	\$ 12,899	\$ 14,149	\$ 14,891	\$ 15,012	\$ 14,467
- Reinvestment	\$ 1,158	\$ 1,448	\$ 1,810	\$ 2,263	\$ 2,828	\$ 2,896	\$ 2,720	\$ 2,261	\$ 1,525	\$ 567
FCFF	\$ 3,908	\$ 4,749	\$ 5,765	\$ 6,992	\$ 8,473	\$ 10,002	\$ 11,429	\$ 12,630	\$ 13,486	\$ 13,900

Term yr  
 EBIT (1-t) \$14,816  
 - Reinv 4,463  
 FCFF 10,353

Operating assets \$137,386  
 + Cash 9330  
 - Debt 10068  
 + Equity investments 2,087  
 + Alipay provision 3,000  
 + IPO Proceeds (est) 20,000  
 - Options 696  
 Value of equity 161,039  
 Value per share \$65.98

Cost of capital =  $8.90\% (.943) + 3.00\% (.057) = 8.56\%$

Cost of capital decreases to 8% from years 6-10



Two days after this valuation, the company (and its bankers) valued itself at about \$155 billion and the shares at \$63 apiece. The offering price was raised to \$69 and the opening price was \$93/share.

## II. Dealing with decline and distress...

*Historical data often reflects flat or declining revenues and falling margins. Investments often earn less than the cost of capital.*

*Growth can be negative, as firm sheds assets and shrinks. As less profitable assets are shed, the firm's remaining assets may improve in quality.*

What is the value added by growth assets?

What are the cashflows from existing assets?

*Underfunded pension obligations and litigation claims can lower value of equity. Liquidation preferences can affect value of equity*

What is the value of equity in the firm?

How risky are the cash flows from both existing assets and growth assets?

*Depending upon the risk of the assets being divested and the use of the proceeds from the divestiture (to pay dividends or retire debt), the risk in both the firm and its equity can change.*

When will the firm become a mature firm, and what are the potential roadblocks?

*There is a real chance, especially with high financial leverage, that the firm will not make it. If it is expected to survive as a going concern, it will be as a much smaller entity.*

# Dealing with the “downside” of Distress

- A DCF valuation values a firm as a going concern. If there is a significant likelihood of the firm failing before it reaches stable growth and if the assets will then be sold for a value less than the present value of the expected cashflows (a distress sale value), DCF valuations will understate the value of the firm.
- Value of Equity= DCF value of equity (1 - Probability of distress) + Distress sale value of equity (Probability of distress)
- There are three ways in which we can estimate the probability of distress:
  - Use the bond rating to estimate the cumulative probability of distress over 10 years
  - Estimate the probability of distress with a probit
  - Estimate the probability of distress by looking at market value of bonds..
- The distress sale value of equity is usually best estimated as a percent of book value (and this value will be lower if the economy is doing badly and there are other firms in the same business also in distress).

Current Revenue  
\$ 4,390

Current Margin:  
4.76%

Reinvestment:  
Capital expenditures include cost of new casinos and working capital

**Stable Growth**  
Stable Revenue Growth: 3%  
Stable Operating Margin: 17%  
Stable ROC=10% Reinvest 30% of EBIT(1-t)

EBIT  
\$ 209m

Extended reinvestment break, due ot investment in past

Industry average

Expected Margin:  
-> 17%

Terminal Value=  $758(.0743-.03)$   
=\$ 17,129

Value of Op Assets \$ 9,793  
+ Cash & Non-op \$ 3,040  
= Value of Firm \$12,833  
- Value of Debt \$ 7,565  
= Value of Equity \$ 5,268  
  
Value per share \$ 8.12

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Term. Year
Revenues		\$4,434	\$4,523	\$5,427	\$6,513	\$7,815	\$8,206	\$8,616	\$9,047	\$9,499	\$9,974	\$10,273
Oper margin		5.81%	6.86%	7.90%	8.95%	10%	11.40%	12.80%	14.20%	15.60%	17%	17%
EBIT		\$258	\$310	\$429	\$583	\$782	\$935	\$1,103	\$1,285	\$1,482	\$1,696	\$1,746
Tax rate		26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	28.4%	30.8%	33.2%	35.6%	38.00%	38%
EBIT * (1 - t)		\$191	\$229	\$317	\$431	\$578	\$670	\$763	\$858	\$954	\$1,051	\$1,083
- Reinvestment		-\$19	-\$11	\$0	\$22	\$58	\$67	\$153	\$215	\$286	\$350	\$325
FCFF		\$210	\$241	\$317	\$410	\$520	\$603	\$611	\$644	\$668	\$701	\$758
Beta		3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	2.75	2.36	1.97	1.59	1.20	
Cost of equity		21.82%	21.82%	21.82%	21.82%	21.82%	19.50%	17.17%	14.85%	12.52%	10.20%	
Cost of debt		9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	8.70%	8.40%	8.10%	7.80%	7.50%	
Debt/ratio		73.50%	73.50%	73.50%	73.50%	73.50%	68.80%	64.10%	59.40%	54.70%	50.00%	
Cost of capital		9.88%	9.88%	9.88%	9.88%	9.88%	9.79%	9.50%	9.01%	8.32%	7.43%	

Term. Year  
\$10,273  
17%  
\$ 1,746  
38%  
\$1,083  
\$ 325  
\$758

Forever

**Cost of Equity**  
21.82%

**Cost of Debt**  
 $3%+6%= 9%$   
 $9% (1-.38)=5.58%$

**Weights**  
Debt= 73.5% ->50%

**Riskfree Rate:**  
T. Bond rate = 3%

+ **Beta**  
3.14-> 1.20

**Risk Premium**  
6%

Casino  
1.15

Current  
D/E: 277%

Base Equity  
Premium

Country Risk  
Premium

**Las Vegas Sands**  
**Feburary 2009**  
**Trading @ \$4.25**

Aswath Damodaran


# Adjusting the value of LVS for distress..

- In February 2009, LVS was rated B+ by S&P. Historically, 28.25% of B+ rated bonds default within 10 years. LVS has a 6.375% bond, maturing in February 2015 (7 years), trading at \$529. If we discount the expected cash flows on the bond at the riskfree rate, we can back out the probability of distress from the bond price:

$$529 = \sum_{t=1}^{t=7} \frac{63.75(1 - \Pi_{\text{Distress}})^t}{(1.03)^t} + \frac{1000(1 - \Pi_{\text{Distress}})^7}{(1.03)^7}$$

- Solving for the probability of bankruptcy, we get:
- $\pi_{\text{Distress}}$  = Annual probability of default = 13.54%
  - ▣ Cumulative probability of surviving 10 years =  $(1 - .1354)^{10} = 23.34\%$
  - ▣ Cumulative probability of distress over 10 years =  $1 - .2334 = .7666$  or 76.66%
- If LVS is becomes distressed:
  - ▣ Expected distress sale proceeds = \$2,769 million < Face value of debt
  - ▣ Expected equity value/share = \$0.00
- Expected value per share =  $\$8.12 (1 - .7666) + \$0.00 (.7666) = \$1.92$

# The upside of distress: Equity as a call option to liquidate the firm



# Application to valuation: A simple example

- Assume that you have a firm whose assets are currently valued at \$100 million and that the standard deviation in this asset value is 40%.
- Further, assume that the face value of debt is \$80 million (It is zero coupon debt with 10 years left to maturity).
- If the ten-year treasury bond rate is 10%,
  - ▣ how much is the equity worth?
  - ▣ What should the interest rate on debt be?



# Model Parameters & Valuation

## □ The inputs

- Value of the underlying asset =  $S$  = Value of the firm = \$ 100 million
- Exercise price =  $K$  = Face Value of outstanding debt = \$ 80 million
- Life of the option =  $t$  = Life of zero-coupon debt = 10 years
- Variance in the value of the underlying asset =  $\sigma^2$  = Variance in firm value = 0.16
- Riskless rate =  $r$  = Treasury bond rate corresponding to option life = 10%

## □ The output


- The Black-Scholes model provides the following value for the call:
  - $d1 = 1.5994$   $N(d1) = 0.9451$
  - $d2 = 0.3345$   $N(d2) = 0.6310$
- Value of the call =  $100 (0.9451) - 80 \exp^{(-0.10)(10)} (0.6310) = \$75.94$  million
- Value of the outstanding debt =  $\$100 - \$75.94 = \$24.06$  million
- Interest rate on debt =  $(\$ 80 / \$24.06)^{1/10} - 1 = 12.77\%$

# Firm value drops..

- Assume now that a catastrophe wipes out half the value of this firm (the value drops to \$ 50 million), while the face value of the debt remains at \$ 80 million.
- The inputs
  - ▣ Value of the underlying asset =  $S$  = Value of the firm = \$ 50 million
  - ▣ All the other inputs remain unchanged
- The output
  - ▣ Based upon these inputs, the Black-Scholes model provides the following value for the call:
    - $d1 = 1.0515$   $N(d1) = 0.8534$
    - $d2 = -0.2135$   $N(d2) = 0.4155$
  - ▣ Value of the call =  $50 (0.8534) - 80 \exp^{(-0.10)(10)} (0.4155) = \$30.44$  million
  - ▣ Value of the bond =  $\$50 - \$30.44 = \$19.56$  million

# Equity value persists .. As firm value declines..

Value of Equity as Firm Value Changes



# III. Valuing Financial Service Companies

*Existing assets are usually financial assets or loans, often marked to market. Earnings do not provide much information on underlying risk.*

*Defining capital expenditures and working capital is a challenge. Growth can be strongly influenced by regulatory limits and constraints. Both the amount of new investments and the returns on these investments can change with regulatory changes.*

What is the value added by growth assets?

What are the cashflows from existing assets?

When will the firm become a mature firm, and what are the potential roadblocks?

*Preferred stock is a significant source of capital.*

How risky are the cash flows from both existing assets and growth assets?

What is the value of equity in the firm?

*For financial service firms, debt is raw material rather than a source of capital. It is not only tough to define but if defined broadly can result in high financial leverage, magnifying the impact of small operating risk changes on equity risk.*

*In addition to all the normal constraints, financial service firms also have to worry about maintaining capital ratios that are acceptable to regulators. If they do not, they can be taken over and shut down.*

# Lesson 1: Financial service companies are opaque...

- With financial service firms, we enter into a Faustian bargain. They tell us very little about the quality of their assets (loans, for a bank, for instance are not broken down by default risk status) but we accept that in return for assets being marked to market (by accountants who presumably have access to the information that we don't have).
- In addition, estimating cash flows for a financial service firm is difficult to do. So, we trust financial service firms to pay out their cash flows as dividends. Hence, the use of the dividend discount model.

# Lesson 1: Debt to a bank is raw material, not a source of capital


- With conventional firms, you often face a choice of either valuing the entire business (using cash flows to the firm and a cost of capital) or valuing equity. Often, valuing the firm is both easier and more robust, and you subtract out debt to get to value of equity.
- With financial service firms, valuing the firm is often a non-starter, since debt to a bank is not a source of capital but raw material.
- **Status Quo 1: When you value a bank, it is almost always on an equity basis.**

# Lesson 2: Estimating cash flows for a bank is difficult to do..

- Assuming that you want to go down the road of valuing equity using a DCF, the standard definition of cash flows is
  - ▣  $FCFE = \text{Net Income} + \text{Depreciation} - \text{Cap Ex} - \text{Change in Non-cash Working Capital}$
- Defining cap ex and working capital for a bank is close to impossible. Consequently, most analysts give up and make one of the two following choices:
  - ▣ The indefensible: Discount earnings at the cost of equity, which gives you basically nothing.
  - ▣ The defensible: Discount dividends at the cost of equity
- **Status Quo 2: The dividend discount model's last stand was with financial service companies.**

# CIB Egypt in December 2015

## Valuation in Egyptian Pounds





## Lesson 3: For financial service companies, book value matters...

- The book value of assets and equity is mostly irrelevant when valuing non-financial service companies. After all, the book value of equity is a historical figure and can be nonsensical. (The book value of equity can be negative and is so for more than a 1000 publicly traded US companies)
- With financial service firms, book value of equity is relevant for two reasons:
  - Since financial service firms mark to market, the book value is more likely to reflect what the firms own right now (rather than a historical value)
  - The regulatory capital ratios are based on book equity. Thus, a bank with negative or even low book equity will be shut down by the regulators.
- From a valuation perspective, it therefore makes sense to pay heed to book value. In fact, you can argue that reinvestment for a bank is the amount that it needs to add to book equity to sustain its growth ambitions and safety requirements:
  - $FCFE = \text{Net Income} - \text{Reinvestment in regulatory capital (book equity)}$

## Deutsche Bank: A Crisis Valuation (October 2016)

Risk adjusted assets grows at inflation rate of 1% a year forever.

Tier 1 capital ratio increases to 15.67%, the 75th percentile for all banks

Expected DOJ fine of \$10 billions lower Tier 1 capital today

Common Equity increases in tandem with Tier 1 capital

Cost of equity starts at 10.2% (75th percentile of banks) & decreases after year 5 to 9.44% (median across banks).


	Current	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Risk Adjusted Assets	\$ 445,570	\$ 450,026	\$ 454,526	\$ 459,071	\$ 463,662	\$ 468,299	\$ 472,982	\$ 477,711	\$ 482,488	\$ 487,313	\$ 492,186
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	12.41%	13.74%	13.95%	14.17%	14.38%	14.60%	14.81%	15.03%	15.24%	15.46%	15.67%
Tier 1 Capital (Risk Adjusted Assets * Tier 1 Capital Ratio)	\$55,282	\$61,834	\$63,427	\$65,045	\$66,690	\$68,361	\$70,059	\$71,784	\$73,537	\$75,317	\$77,126
Change in regulatory capital (Tier 1)		\$6,552	\$1,593	\$1,619	\$1,645	\$1,671	\$1,698	\$1,725	\$1,753	\$1,780	\$1,809
Book Equity	\$64,609	\$71,161	\$72,754	\$74,372	\$76,017	\$77,688	\$79,386	\$81,111	\$82,864	\$84,644	\$86,453
Expected ROE	-13.70%	-7.18%	-2.84%	0.06%	1.99%	5.85%	6.568%	7.286%	8.004%	8.722%	9.440%
Net Income (Book Equity * ROE)	\$ (8,851)	\$ (5,111)	\$ (2,065)	\$ 43	\$ 1,512	\$ 4,545	\$ 5,214	\$ 5,910	\$ 6,632	\$ 7,383	\$ 8,161
- Investment in Regulatory Capital		\$ 6,552	\$ 1,593	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,645	\$ 1,671	\$ 1,698	\$ 1,725	\$ 1,753	\$ 1,780	\$ 1,809
FCFE		\$ (11,663)	\$ (3,658)	\$ (1,576)	\$ (133)	\$ 2,874	\$ 3,516	\$ 4,185	\$ 4,880	\$ 5,602	\$ 6,352
Terminal value of equity											\$87,317
Present value		\$ (10,583)	\$ (3,012)	\$ (1,178)	\$ (90)	\$ 1,768	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,129	\$ 2,262	\$ 2,370	\$ 36,207
Cost of equity	10.20%	10.20%	10.20%	10.20%	10.20%	10.20%	10.048%	9.896%	9.744%	9.592%	9.440%
Cumulative Cost of equity		1.1020	1.2144	1.3383	1.4748	1.6252	1.7885	1.9655	2.1570	2.3639	2.5871
Value of equity today =	\$31,838.74										
Number of shares outstanding =	1386.00										
<b>DCF Value per share =</b>	<b>\$ 22.97</b>										
Probability of equity wipeout	10.00%										
<b>Adjusted value per share =</b>	<b>\$ 20.67</b>										
Stock price on October 3, 2016 =	\$ 13.33										

Value per share adjusted for probability of catastrophic failure (bailout) resulting in complete loss of equity.

Return on equity increases to 5.85% (25th percentile of banks) in year 5 and 9.44% (cost of equity) in year 10

# IV. Valuing cyclical and commodity companies

*Company growth often comes from movements in the economic cycle, for cyclical firms, or commodity prices, for commodity companies.*




# Lesson 1: With “macro” companies, it is easy to get lost in “macro” assumptions...

- With cyclical and commodity companies, it is undeniable that the value you arrive at will be affected by your views on the economy or the price of the commodity.
- Consequently, you will feel the urge to take a stand on these macro variables and build them into your valuation. Doing so, though, will create valuations that are jointly impacted by your views on macro variables and your views on the company, and it is difficult to separate the two.
- The best (though not easiest) thing to do is to separate your macro views from your micro views. Use current market based numbers for your valuation, but then provide a separate assessment of what you think about those market numbers.

# Shell's Revenues & Oil Prices

Shell: Revenues vs Oil Price





## Shell: A "Oil Price" Neutral Valuation: March 2016

Revenue calculated from prevailing oil price of \$40/barrel in March 2016  
 Revenue = 39992.77+4039.40\*\$40  
 = \$201,569

Compounded revenue growth of 3.91% a year, based on Shell's historical revenue growth rate from 2000 to 2015

	Base Year	1	2	3	4	5	Terminal Year
Revenues	\$ 201,569	\$ 209,450	\$ 217,639	\$ 226,149	\$ 234,991	\$ 244,180	\$ 249,063
Operating Margin	3.01%	6.18%	7.76%	8.56%	8.95%	9.35%	9.35%
Operating Income	\$ 6,065.00	\$ 12,942.85	\$ 16,899.10	\$ 19,352.39	\$ 21,040.39	\$ 22,830.80	\$ 23,287.41
Effective tax rate	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%
AT Operating Income	\$ 4,245.50	\$ 9,060.00	\$ 11,829.37	\$ 13,546.68	\$ 14,728.27	\$ 15,981.56	\$ 16,301.19
+ Depreciation	\$ 26,714.00	\$ 27,759	\$ 28,844	\$ 29,972	\$ 31,144	\$ 32,361	
- Cap Ex	\$ 31,854.00	\$ 33,099	\$ 34,394	\$ 35,738	\$ 37,136	\$ 38,588	
- Chg in WC		\$ 472.88	\$ 491.37	\$ 510.58	\$ 530.55	\$ 551.29	
FCFF		\$ 3,246.14	\$ 5,788.19	\$ 7,269.29	\$ 8,205.44	\$ 9,203.68	\$ 13,011.34
Terminal Value						\$ 216,855.71	
Return on capital							12.37%
Cost of Capital		9.91%	9.91%	9.91%	9.91%	9.91%	8.00%
Cumulated Discount Factor		1.0991	1.2080	1.3277	1.4593	1.6039	
Present Value		\$ 2,953.45	\$ 4,791.47	\$ 5,474.95	\$ 5,622.81	\$ 140,940.73	
Value of Operating Assets	\$ 159,783.41						
+ Cash	\$ 31,752.00						
+ Cross Holdings	\$ 33,566.00						
- Debt	\$ 58,379.00						
- Minority Interests	\$ 1,245.00						
Value of Equity	\$ 165,477.41						
Number of shares	4209.7						
Value per share	\$ 39.31						

Operating margin converges on Shell's historical average margin of 9.35% from 200-2015


Return on capital reverts and stays at Shell's historic average of 12.37% from 200-2015

Added long term investments in joint ventures and subtracted out minority interest in consolidated holdings.

## Lesson 2: Use probabilistic tools to assess value as a function of macro variables...

- If there is a key macro variable affecting the value of your company that you are uncertain about (and who is not), why not quantify the uncertainty in a distribution (rather than a single price) and use that distribution in your valuation.
- That is exactly what you do in a Monte Carlo simulation, where you allow one or more variables to be distributions and compute a distribution of values for the company.
- With a simulation, you get not only everything you would get in a standard valuation (an estimated value for your company) but you will get additional output (on the variation in that value and the likelihood that your firm is under or over valued)






**Revenue calculated from the oil price drawn from distribution**  
 $Revenue = 39992.77 + 4039.40 * \text{Oil Price/Barrel}$

**Pre-tax Operating Income based on revenue & selected margin**  
 $Pre\text{-tax Operating Income} = Revenues * Operating\ Margin$




Value Shell based on operating income, assuming other assumptions (tax rate, revenue growth, cost of capital)


Percentiles:	Forecast values
0%	\$6.55
10%	\$23.90
20%	\$27.73
30%	\$30.89
40%	\$33.88
50%	\$36.99
60%	\$40.28
70%	\$44.22
80%	\$49.24
90%	\$57.49
100%	\$197.11



## A Potential Dividend (FCFE) Discount Model Valuation of Aramco



# The optionality in commodities: Undeveloped reserves as an option



# Implications

- Optionality Premium: Undeveloped reserves have option value that will give them a premium over their DCF value. Put simply, even non-viable reserves have value, because commodity prices can bounce back.
  - The option premium will be greater when commodity prices are low, rather than when oil prices are high.
  - The option premium will increase if commodity prices are expected to become more volatile.
- Level + Variance: The value of a commodity company is affected by both the level of oil prices, as well as the volatility in that level. The former affects your DCF and the latter the optionality.

# V. Valuing Companies across the ownership cycle

*Reported income and balance sheet are heavily affected by tax considerations rather than information disclosure requirements. The line between the personal and business expenses is a fine one.*

What are the **cashflows from existing assets**?  
- Equity: Cashflows after debt payments  
- Firm: Cashflows before debt payments

*Reversing investment mistakes is difficult to do. The need for and the cost of illiquidity has to be incorporated into current*

What is the **value added** by growth assets?  
Equity: Growth in equity earnings/ cashflows  
Firm: Growth in operating earnings/ cashflows

How **risky are the cash flows** from both existing assets and growth assets?  
Equity: Risk in equity in the company  
Firm: Risk in the firm's operations

*Different buyers can perceive risk differently in the same private business, largely because what they see as risk will be a function of how diversified they are. The fall back positions of using market prices to extract risk measures does not*

When will the firm become a **mature firm**, and what are the potential roadblocks?

*Many private businesses are finite life enterprises, not expected to last into perpetuity*

# Kristin's Kandy: Valuation in March 2006

**Current Cashflow to Firm**  
 EBIT(1-t) : 300  
 - Nt CpX 100  
 - Chg WC 40  
 = FCFF 160  
 Reinvestment Rate = 46.67%

Reinvestment Rate  
 46.67%

**Expected Growth in EBIT (1-t)**  
 $.4667 \times .1364 = .0636$   
**6.36%**

Return on Capital  
 13.64%

Stable Growth  
 $g = 4\%$ ; Beta = 3.00;  
 ROC = 12.54%  
 Reinvestment Rate = 31.90%

Terminal Value<sub>5</sub> =  $289 / (.1254 - .04) = 3,403$

Firm Value: 2,571  
 + Cash 125  
 - Debt: 900  
 = Equity 1,796  
 - Illiq Discount 12.5%  
 Adj Value 1,571

Year	1	2	3	4	5	Term Yr
EBIT (1-t)	\$319	\$339	\$361	\$384	\$408	425
- Reinvestment	\$149	\$158	\$168	\$179	\$191	136
=FCFF	\$170	\$181	\$193	\$205	\$218	289

Discount at Cost of Capital (WACC) =  $16.26\% (.70) + 3.30\% (.30) = 12.37\%$

**Cost of Equity**  
**16.26%**

**Cost of Debt**  
 $(4.5\% + 1.00)(1 - .40) = 3.30\%$   
 Synthetic rating = A-

**Weights**  
 E = 70% D = 30%

**Riskfree Rate:**  
 Riskfree rate = 4.50%  
 (10-year T.Bond rate)

**Total Beta**  
 2.94

**Risk Premium**  
 4.00%

1/3 of risk is market risk

Adjusted for owner non-diversification

Market Beta: 0.98

Mature risk premium  
 4%

Country Risk Premium  
 0%

*Aswath Damodaran*

Unlevered Beta for Sectors: 0.78

Firm's D/E Ratio: 30/70

# Lesson 1: In private businesses, risk in the eyes of the “beholder” (buyer)

Private business owner with entire wealth invested in the business

Venture capitalist, with multiple holdings in the sector.

Public company investor with diversified portfolio

---


Exposed to all risk in the company. Total beta measures exposure to total risk. Total Beta = Market Beta/ Correlation of firm with market

Partially diversified. Diversify away some firm specific risk but not all. Beta will fall between total and market beta.

Firm-specific risk is diversified away. Market or macro risk exposure captured in a market beta or betas.



# Private Owner versus Publicly Traded Company Perceptions of Risk in an Investment



# Total Risk versus Market Risk

- Adjust the beta to reflect total risk rather than market risk. This adjustment is a relatively simple one, since the R squared of the regression measures the proportion of the risk that is market risk.
  - Total Beta = Market Beta / Correlation of the sector with the market
- To estimate the beta for Kristin Kandy, we begin with the bottom-up unlevered beta of food processing companies:
  - Unlevered beta for publicly traded food processing companies = 0.78
  - Average correlation of food processing companies with market = 0.333
  - Unlevered total beta for Kristin Kandy =  $0.78/0.333 = 2.34$
  - Debt to equity ratio for Kristin Kandy =  $0.3/0.7$  (assumed industry average)
  - Total Beta =  $2.34 ( 1 - (1-.40)(30/70)) = 2.94$
  - Total Cost of Equity =  $4.50\% + 2.94 (4\%) = 16.26\%$

## Lesson 2: With financials, trust but verify..

- Different Accounting Standards: The accounting statements for private firms are often based upon different accounting standards than public firms, which operate under much tighter constraints on what to report and when to report.
- Intermingling of personal and business expenses: In the case of private firms, some personal expenses may be reported as business expenses.
- Separating “Salaries” from “Dividends”: It is difficult to tell where salaries end and dividends begin in a private firm, since they both end up with the owner.
- The Key person issue: In some private businesses, with a personal component, the cashflows may be intertwined with the owner being part of the business.

## Lesson 3: Illiquidity is a clear and present danger..

- In private company valuation, illiquidity is a constant theme. All the talk, though, seems to lead to a rule of thumb. The illiquidity discount for a private firm is between 20-30% and does not vary across private firms.
- But illiquidity should vary across:
  - Companies: Healthier and larger companies, with more liquid assets, should have smaller discounts than money-losing smaller businesses with more illiquid assets.
  - Time: Liquidity is worth more when the economy is doing badly and credit is tough to come by than when markets are booming.
  - Buyers: Liquidity is worth more to buyers who have shorter time horizons and greater cash needs than for longer term investors who don't need the cash and are willing to hold the investment.


# And it is not just in private businesses..

- Assume that you are valuing a closely held company in a lightly traded market. Will the possibility of illiquidity affect your valuation of the company?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
- If it will affect your valuation, how will it show up?
- If it will not affect your valuation, how would it show up in your investment process?

# VI. Valuation in the midst of a crisis

- If your concept of valuation is downloading last year's financials for a company into a spread sheet and then using historical growth rates, with some mean reversion thrown in, to forecast future numbers, you are probably feeling lost right now, and with good reason.
- It is also not a time to wring our hands, complain that there is too much uncertainty and argue that the fundamentals don't matter.
  - If you do so, you will be drawn to the dark side of investing, where fundamentals don't matter (paradigm shifts, anyone?), new pricing metrics get invented and you are at the mercy of mood and momentum.
- Ironically, it is precisely at times like these that you need to go back to basics.

# A Post-Corona Version





**The Story**

Zoom is poised to take advantage of an explosion in the online meeting/seminar market, as the crisis changes behavior for the long term on both fronts. While there will be multiple players in the markets, some with deep pockets (Cisco's Webex, Microsoft's team and Google's whatever), Zoom will grab a dominant market shares, both because of its first mover advantages and networking benefits. As it grows, it will benefit from economies of scale and its margins will converge on those of software companies collectively. Its cost of capital reflects its business services model, but since it is young and not fully formed, there remains a chance of failure.

**The Assumptions**

	Base year	Years 1-5	Years 6-10		After year 10	Link to story
Revenues (a)	\$ 623	55.00%	→ 2.00%		2.00%	Growing online market + Mkt share
Operating margin (b)	9.70%	9.70%	→ 22.25%		22.25%	Software company margins
Tax rate	25.00%	25.00%	→ 25.00%		25.00%	Global/US marginal tax rate
Reinvestment (c)		Sales to capital ratio 2.25		RIR =	29.34%	Drop from current level + higher than industry
Return on capital	23.64%	Marginal ROIC =	51.27%		6.82%	Low capital intensity + High margin model
Cost of capital (d)		7.72%	→ 6.82%		6.82%	Close to average company's cost of capital

**The Cash Flows**

	Revenues	Operating Margin	EBIT	EBIT (1-t)	Reinvestment	FCFF
1	\$ 965	12.21%	\$ 118	\$ 88	\$ 152	\$ (64)
2	\$ 1,496	14.72%	\$ 220	\$ 165	\$ 236	\$ (71)
3	\$ 2,319	17.23%	\$ 400	\$ 300	\$ 366	\$ (66)
4	\$ 3,594	19.74%	\$ 710	\$ 532	\$ 567	\$ (35)
5	\$ 5,571	22.25%	\$ 1,240	\$ 930	\$ 879	\$ 51
6	\$ 8,045	22.25%	\$ 1,790	\$ 1,342	\$ 1,099	\$ 243
7	\$ 10,764	22.25%	\$ 2,395	\$ 1,796	\$ 1,208	\$ 588
8	\$ 13,261	22.25%	\$ 2,951	\$ 2,213	\$ 1,110	\$ 1,103
9	\$ 14,932	22.25%	\$ 3,322	\$ 2,492	\$ 743	\$ 1,749
10	\$ 15,230	22.25%	\$ 3,389	\$ 2,542	\$ 133	\$ 2,409
Terminal year	\$ 15,535	22.25%	\$ 3,457	\$ 2,593	\$ 761	\$ 1,832

**The Value**

Terminal value	\$ 38,036		
PV(Terminal value)	\$ 18,541		
PV (CF over next 10 years)	\$ 3,043		
Value of operating assets =	\$ 21,583		
Adjustment for distress	\$ 1,727	Probability of failure =	10.00%
- Debt & Mnority Interests	\$ 119		
+ Cash & Other Non-operating assets	\$ 855		
Value of equity	\$ 20,593		
- Value of equity options	\$ 1,121		
Number of shares	276.40		
Value per share	\$ 70.45	Stock was trading at =	\$146.48

Company	Base Year Numbers	Valuation Story	Valuation Inputs	Value per Share (Simulation)		Pricing per share	
Facebook	Revenues = \$75 B	<b>User Base pays off:</b> Immense & Intense user base allows for continued ad growth & new business potential.	Rev Growth = 10%	10th:	\$ 267.77		
	EBIT = \$27.9 B		Target Margin = 40%	25th:	\$ 293.89	Price =	\$262.59
	Oper. margin =44.3%		Sales to capital = 2.64	Median:	\$ 327.68	Under/Over =	Under valued
	Rev Growth (LTM) = 13.02%		Cost of capital = 6.08%	75th:	\$ 364.79	% under/over	-19.86%
				90th:	\$ 398.85	IRR	7.16%
Amazon	Revenues = \$ 322 B	<b>Disruption Platform rolls on:</b> Continue to expand into new businesses, delaying profitability to deliver higher growth.	Rev Growth = 20%	10th:	\$1,479.65		
	EBIT = \$16.7 B		Target Margin = 12%	25th:	\$ 1,969.46	Price =	\$3,260.48
	Oper. margin = 7.99%		Sales to capital = 1.94	Median:	\$ 2,778.22	Under/Over =	Over valued
	Rev Growth (LTM) = 31.58%		Cost of capital = 6.11%	75th:	\$ 3,617.74	% under/over	17.36%
				90th:	\$ 4,295.58	IRR	5.77%
Netflix	Revenues = \$ 22.6 B	<b>Streaming Player:</b> Wiith new competitors, will continue to add subscribers, but struggle to control content costs.	Value/Existing Subscriber = \$446.	10th:	\$ 312.79		
	# Subscribers = 192.3 mil		Growth in Subscribers = 12%	25th:	\$ 372.49	Price =	\$484.53
	Growth in LTM = 27.3%		Growth in Content Costs = 5%	Median:	\$ 445.53	Under/Over =	Over valued
	Cost/New Subscriber = \$103		Cost of capital (Existing)= 6.5%	75th:	\$ 519.34	% under/over	8.75%
	Content Cost = \$9.95 B		Cost of capital (New) = 7.5%	90th:	\$ 585.58	IRR	6.16%
Google/ Alphabet	Revenues = \$166 B	<b>More than a Search Engine:</b> While the search box will continue to be the money-maker, other bets will start to pay off in growth.	Rev Growth = 8%	10th:	\$ 1,165.57		
	EBIT = \$33.4 B		Target Margin = 24%	25th:	\$ 1,267.31	Price =	\$1,544.61
	Oper. margin = 23.8%		Sales to capital = 2.64	Median:	\$ 1,406.96	Under/Over =	Over valued
	Rev Growth (LTM) = 5.22%		Cost of capital = 6.25%	75th:	\$ 1,551.26	% under/over	9.78%
				90th:	\$ 1,676.02	IRR	5.87%
Apple	Revenues = \$274 B	<b>Cash Machine revs up:</b> The iPhone will keep the cash machine going up, but services business will be growth driver.	Rev Growth = 8%	10th:	\$ 285.67		
	EBIT = \$52.6 B		Target Margin = 26%	25th:	\$ 312.28	Price =	\$462.83
	Oper. margin = 25.9%		Sales to capital =4.00	Median:	\$ 350.22	Under/Over =	Over valued
	Rev Growth (LTM) = 7.07%		Cost of capital = 6.58%	75th:	\$ 390.66	% under/over	32.15%
				90th:	\$ 425.04	IRR	5.30%
Microsoft	Revenues = \$143 B	<b>Old company Reborn:</b> Cloud/software business mix will continue to deliver growth with high margins.	Rev Growth = 12%	10th:	\$ 143.98		
	EBIT = \$52.6 B		Target Margin = 40%	25th:	\$ 157.81	Price =	\$209.70
	Oper. margin =40.1%		Sales to capital = 1.44	Median:	\$ 176.66	Under/Over =	Over valued
	Rev Growth (LTM) = 13.65%		Cost of capital = 7.11%	75th:	\$ 196.77	% under/over	18.70%
				90th:	\$ 214.83	IRR	6.32%






# NARRATIVE AND NUMBERS: VALUATION AS A BRIDGE



Work on your weak side...

# Valuation as a bridge

## *Number Crunchers*




## *Story Tellers*



# From story to numbers and beyond..


143





# Step 1a: Survey the landscape

- Every valuation starts with a narrative, a story that you see unfolding for your company in the future.
- In developing this narrative, you will be making assessments of
  - ▣ Your company (its products, its management and its history).
  - ▣ The market or markets that you see it growing in.
  - ▣ The competition it faces and will face.
  - ▣ The macro environment in which it operates.





# Low Growth


# The Auto Business

# Low Margins

Year	Revenues (\$)	% Growth Rate
2005	1,274,716.60	
2006	1,421,804.20	11.54%
2007	1,854,576.40	30.44%
2008	1,818,533.00	-1.94%
2009	1,572,890.10	-13.51%
2010	1,816,269.40	15.47%
2011	1,962,630.40	8.06%
2012	2,110,572.20	7.54%
2013	2,158,603.00	2.28%
2014	2,086,124.80	-3.36%
Rounded Average =		5.63%

+

The Automobile Business: Pre-tax Operating Margins in 2015




Auto Business-2015	
Average	-2.11%
Median	4.46%
10th percentile	-13.26%
25th percentile	-1.63%
75th percentile	7.99%
90th percentile	14.32%

# High & Increasing Reinvestment

# Bad Business

The Reinvestment Burden: Investment as % of Sales for Auto Business



=

	ROIC	Cost of capital	ROIC - Cost of capital
2004	6.82%	7.93%	-1.11%
2005	10.47%	7.02%	3.45%
2006	4.60%	7.97%	-3.37%
2007	7.62%	8.50%	-0.88%
2008	3.48%	8.03%	-4.55%
2009	-4.97%	8.58%	-13.55%
2010	5.16%	8.03%	-2.87%
2011	7.55%	8.15%	-0.60%
2012	7.80%	8.55%	-0.75%
2013	7.83%	8.47%	-0.64%
2014	6.47%	7.53%	-1.06%


Only once in the last 10 years have auto companies collectively earned more than their cost of capital

# What makes Ferrari different?

*Ferrari sold only 7,255 cars in all of 2014*

*Ferrari had a profit margin of 18.2%, in the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, partly because of its high prices and partly because it spends little on advertising.*

*Ferrari: Geographical Sales (2014)*



*Ferrari sales (in units) have grown very little in the last decade & have been stable*

*Ferrari has not invested in new plants.*

# Step 1b: Create a narrative for the future

- Every valuation starts with a narrative, a story that you see unfolding for your company in the future.
- In developing this narrative, you will be making assessments of your company (its products, its management), the market or markets that you see it growing in, the competition it faces and will face and the macro environment in which it operates.
  - ▣ Rule 1: Keep it simple.
  - ▣ Rule 2: Keep it focused.

# The Uber Narrative

In June 2014, my initial narrative for Uber was that it would be


1. An urban car service business: I saw Uber primarily as a force in urban areas and only in the car service business.
2. Which would expand the business moderately (about 40% over ten years) by bringing in new users.
3. With local networking benefits: If Uber becomes large enough in any city, it will quickly become larger, but that will be of little help when it enters a new city.
4. Maintain its revenue sharing (20%) system due to strong competitive advantages (from being a first mover).
5. And its existing low-capital business model, with drivers as contractors and very little investment in infrastructure.

# The Ferrari Narrative

- Ferrari will stay an exclusive auto club, deriving its allure from its scarcity and the fact that only a few own Ferraris.
- By staying exclusive, the company gets three benefits:
  - ▣ It can continue to charge nose bleed prices for its cars and sell them with little or no advertising.
  - ▣ It does not need to invest in new assembly plants, since it does not plan to ramp up production.
  - ▣ It sells only to the super rich, who are unaffected by overall economic conditions or market crises.

# Step 2: Check the narrative against history, economic first principles & common sense

151





# The Impossible, The Implausible and the Improbable

152

## The Impossible

### Bigger than the economy

Assuming Growth rate for company in perpetuity > Growth rate for economy

### Bigger than the total market

Allowing a company's revenues to grow so much that it has more than a 100% market share of whatever business it is in.

### Profit margin > 100%

Assuming earnings growth will exceed revenue growth for a long enough period, and pushing margins above 100%

### Depreciation without cap ex

Assuming that depreciation will exceed cap ex in perpetuity.

## The Implausible

### Growth without reinvestment

Assuming growth forever without reinvestment.


### Profits without competition

Assuming that your company will grow and earn higher profits, with no competition.

### Returns without risk

Assuming that you can generate high returns in a business with no risk.


## The Improbable





# Uber: Possible, Plausible and Probable

## Uber (My narrative))



# The Runaway Story: When you want a story to be true...

- With a runaway business story, you usually have three ingredients:
  1. Charismatic, likeable Narrator: The narrator of the business story is someone that you want to see succeed, either because you like the narrator or because he/she will be a good role model.
  2. Telling a story about disrupting a much business, where you dislike the status quo: The status quo in the business that the story is disrupting is dissatisfying (to everyone involved)>
  3. With a societal benefit as bonus: And if the story holds, society and humanity will benefit.
- Since you want this story to work out, you stop asking questions, because the answers may put the story at risk.

# The Impossible: The Runaway Story

## The Story



+


## The Checks (?)

Board Member	Designation	Age
Henry Kissinger	Former Secretary of State	92
Bill Perry	Former Secretary of Defense	88
George Schultz	Former Secretary of State	94
Bill Frist	Former Senate Majority Leader	63
Sam Nunn	Former Senator	77
Gary Roughead	Former Navy Admiral	64
James Mattis	Former Marine Corps General	65
Dick Kovocovich	Former CEO of Wells Fargo	72
Riley Bechtel	Former CEO of Bechtel	63
William Foege	Epidemiologist	79
Elizabeth Holmes	Founder & CEO, Theranos	31
Sunny Balwani	President & COO, Theranos	NA

+

Money

Companies valued at \$1 billion or more by venture-capital firms



Valuations as of October 2015

Select companies from the chart or table for more detail.

# The Improbable: Willy Wonkitis

## Tesla: Summary 15-year DCF Analysis (DCF valuation as of mid-year 2013)


	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Unit Volume	24,298	36,883	64,684	86,713	149,869	214,841	291,861	384,747	466,559	550,398	643,850	726,655	820,645	922,481	1,034,215	1,137,780
% Growth		52%	79%	34%	73%	43%	36%	32%	21%	18%	17%	13%	13%	12%	12%	10%
Automotive Revenue Per Unit (\$)	93,403	85,342	83,432	78,932	65,465	58,258	56,407	55,553	55,991	56,586	56,969	57,540	58,138	58,603	59,002	59,554
% Growth		-9%	-2%	-5%	-17%	-11%	-3%	-2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Automotive Sales	2,462	3,321	5,613	7,051	10,025	12,720	16,685	21,595	26,347	31,357	36,897	42,022	47,949	54,283	61,221	67,980
Development Service Sales	16	40	42	44	46	49	51	54	56	59	62	65	68	72	75	79
<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>2,478</b>	<b>3,361</b>	<b>5,655</b>	<b>7,095</b>	<b>10,072</b>	<b>12,768</b>	<b>16,736</b>	<b>21,648</b>	<b>26,403</b>	<b>31,416</b>	<b>36,959</b>	<b>42,087</b>	<b>48,017</b>	<b>54,355</b>	<b>61,296</b>	<b>68,059</b>
% Growth		36%	60%	25%	42%	27%	31%	29%	22%	19%	18%	14%	14%	13%	13%	11%
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>1,042</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>2,150</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>4,066</b>	<b>4,857</b>	<b>5,723</b>	<b>6,328</b>	<b>7,182</b>	<b>8,144</b>	<b>9,688</b>	<b>10,874</b>	<b>12,099</b>
% Margin	6.0%	12.4%	16.3%	14.7%	15.7%	16.8%	18.7%	18.8%	18.4%	18.2%	17.1%	17.1%	17.0%	17.8%	17.7%	17.8%
D&A	103	158	172	203	301	353	389	537	606	696	811	938	1,088	1,260	1,451	1,661
% of Capex	41%	79%	59%	65%	62%	69%	78%	86%	79%	77%	79%	76%	76%	76%	76%	77%
<b>EBIT</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>1,285</b>	<b>1,796</b>	<b>2,749</b>	<b>3,529</b>	<b>4,252</b>	<b>5,027</b>	<b>5,517</b>	<b>6,244</b>	<b>7,056</b>	<b>8,429</b>	<b>9,423</b>	<b>10,439</b>
% Margin	1.8%	7.7%	13.2%	11.8%	12.8%	14.1%	16.4%	16.3%	16.1%	16.0%	14.9%	14.8%	14.7%	15.5%	15.4%	15.3%
Net Interest Income (Expense)	(27)	(1)	9	33	47	90	108	155	199	278	358	445	542	651	784	934
Other Income	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Pretax Income</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>872</b>	<b>1,332</b>	<b>1,886</b>	<b>2,857</b>	<b>3,684</b>	<b>4,451</b>	<b>5,305</b>	<b>5,875</b>	<b>6,688</b>	<b>7,598</b>	<b>9,080</b>	<b>10,207</b>	<b>11,373</b>
Income Taxes	3	2	14	34	86	262	462	641	807	1,003	1,134	1,317	1,470	1,761	2,028	2,323
% Effective Rate	6%	1%	2%	4%	6%	14%	16%	17%	18%	19%	19%	20%	19%	19%	20%	20%
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>1,246</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>2,395</b>	<b>3,043</b>	<b>3,644</b>	<b>4,303</b>	<b>4,741</b>	<b>5,372</b>	<b>6,128</b>	<b>7,319</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>9,050</b>
<b>Plus</b>																
After-tax Interest Expense (Income)	27	1	(9)	(33)	(47)	(90)	(108)	(154)	(199)	(278)	(357)	(444)	(541)	(650)	(782)	(932)
Depreciation of PP&E	103	158	172	203	301	353	389	537	606	696	811	938	1,088	1,260	1,451	1,661
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Less</b>																
Change in Working Capital	(155)	(14)	(157)	(167)	(172)	(325)	(163)	(81)	(28)	(299)	(356)	(328)	(219)	(329)	(365)	(376)
% of Change in Sales		-2%	-7%	-12%	-6%	-12%	-4%	-2%	-1%	-6%	-6%	-6%	-4%	-5%	-5%	-6%
Capital Expenditures	250	200	312	312	486	510	497	623	765	906	1,078	1,236	1,437	1,660	1,898	2,149
% of Sales	10%	6%	6%	4%	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Unlevered Free Cash Flow</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>863</b>	<b>1,186</b>	<b>1,702</b>	<b>2,343</b>	<b>2,884</b>	<b>3,314</b>	<b>4,113</b>	<b>4,472</b>	<b>4,959</b>	<b>5,456</b>	<b>6,597</b>	<b>7,315</b>	<b>8,005</b>

EBITDA	12,099
Sales	68,059
Net Debt (Cash)	(260)
Tesla Diluted Shares	142


Exit EBITDA High	12.0 x	Exit PPG High	5.0%	Exit P/Sales High	180%
Exit EBITDA Low	8.0 x	Exit PPG Low	3.0%	Exit P/Sales Low	130%

Discount Rate High	13.0%	FY Month of Valuation	1.0 (Beginning of this Month)
Discount Rate Low	9.0%	Month of FY End	12.0 (End of this Month)

# Step 3: Connect your narrative to key drivers of value



# Ferrari: From story to numbers






# Step 4: Value the company (Uber)

159

## Uber: Intrinsic valuation - June 8, 2014 (in US \$)





# Ferrari: The “Exclusive Club” Value

Stay Super Exclusive: Revenue growth is low

	Base year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Terminal year
Revenue growth rate		4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	3.34%	2.68%	2.02%	1.36%	0.70%	0.70%
Revenues	€ 2,763	€ 2,874	€ 2,988	€ 3,108	€ 3,232	€ 3,362	€ 3,474	€ 3,567	€ 3,639	€ 3,689	€ 3,714	€ 3,740
EBIT (Operating) margin	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%	18.20%
EBIT (Operating income)	€ 503	€ 523	€ 544	€ 566	€ 588	€ 612	€ 632	€ 649	€ 662	€ 671	€ 676	€ 681
Tax rate	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%
EBIT(1-t)	€ 334	€ 348	€ 361	€ 376	€ 391	€ 407	€ 420	€ 431	€ 440	€ 446	€ 449	€ 452
- Reinvestment		€ 78	€ 81	€ 84	€ 87	€ 91	€ 79	€ 66	€ 51	€ 35	€ 18	€ 22
FCFF		€ 270	€ 281	€ 292	€ 303	€ 316	€ 341	€ 366	€ 389	€ 411	€ 431	€ 431
Cost of capital		6.96%	6.96%	6.96%	6.96%	6.96%	6.96%	6.97%	6.98%	6.99%	7.00%	7.00%
PV(FCFF)		€ 252	€ 245	€ 238	€ 232	€ 225	€ 228	€ 228	€ 227	€ 224	€ 220	
Terminal value	€ 6,835											
PV(Terminal value)	€ 3,485											
PV (CF over next 10 years)	€ 2,321											
Value of operating assets =	€ 5,806											
- Debt	€ 623											
- Minority interests	€ 13											
+ Cash	€ 1,141											
Value of equity	€ 6,311											

High Prices  
+ No selling  
cost =  
Preserve  
current  
operating  
margin

Minimal  
Reinvestment  
due to low  
growth

The super  
rich are not  
sensitive to  
economic  
downturns

# Step 5: Keep the feedback loop

161

1. Not just car service company.: Uber is a car company, not just a car service company, and there may be a day when consumers will subscribe to a Uber service, rather than own their own cars. It could also expand into logistics, i.e., moving and transportation businesses.
2. Not just urban: Uber can create new demands for car service in parts of the country where taxis are not used (suburbia, small towns).
3. Global networking benefits: By linking with technology and credit card companies, Uber can have global networking benefits.

# Valuing Bill Gurley's Uber narrative


	<i>Uber (Gurley)</i>	<i>Uber (Gurley Mod)</i>	<i>Uber (Damodaran)</i>
Narrative	Uber will <u>expand the car service market substantially</u> , bringing in mass transit users & non-users from the suburbs into the market, and use its <u>networking advantage to gain a dominant market share</u> , while maintaining its revenue slice at 20%.	Uber will <u>expand the car service market substantially</u> , bringing in mass transit users & non-users from the suburbs into the market, and use its <u>networking advantage to gain a dominant market share</u> , while cutting prices and margins (to 10%).	Uber will expand the car service market moderately, primarily in urban environments, and use its <u>competitive advantages to get a significant but not dominant market share</u> and maintain its revenue slice at 20%.
Total Market	\$300 billion, growing at 3% a year	\$300 billion, growing at 3% a year	\$100 billion, growing at 6% a year
Market Share	40%	40%	10%
Uber's revenue slice	20%	10%	20%
Value for Uber	\$53.4 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market (\$10 billion+)	\$28.7 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market (\$6 billion+)	\$5.9 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market (\$2-3 billion)

# Different narratives, Different Numbers

<i>Total Market</i>	<i>Growth Effect</i>	<i>Network Effect</i>	<i>Competitive Advantages</i>	<i>Value of Uber</i>
A4. Mobility Services	B4. Double market size	C5. Strong global network effects	D4. Strong & Sustainable	\$90,457
A3. Logistics	B4. Double market size	C5. Strong global network effects	D4. Strong & Sustainable	\$65,158
A4. Mobility Services	B3. Increase market by 50%	C3. Strong local network effects	D3. Semi-strong	\$52,346
A2. All car service	B4. Double market size	C5. Strong global network effects	D4. Strong & Sustainable	\$47,764
A1. Urban car service	B4. Double market size	C5. Strong global network effects	D4. Strong & Sustainable	\$31,952
A3. Logistics	B3. Increase market by 50%	C3. Strong local network effects	D3. Semi-strong	\$14,321
A1. Urban car service	B3. Increase market by 50%	C3. Strong local network effects	D3. Semi-strong	\$7,127
A2. All car service	B3. Increase market by 50%	C3. Strong local network effects	D3. Semi-strong	\$4,764
A4. Mobility Services	B1. None	C1. No network effects	D1. None	\$1,888
A3. Logistics	B1. None	C1. No network effects	D1. None	\$1,417
A2. All car service	B1. None	C1. No network effects	D1. None	\$1,094
A1. Urban car service	B1. None	C1. No network effects	D1. None	\$799



# The Ferrari Counter Narrative



# Ferrari: The “Rev-it-up” Alternative

Get less exclusive: Double number of cars sold over next decade

	Base year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Terminal year
Revenue growth rate		12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	9.74%	7.48%	5.22%	2.96%	0.70%	0.70%
Revenues	€ 2,763	€ 3,095	€ 3,466	€ 3,882	€ 4,348	€ 4,869	€ 5,344	€ 5,743	€ 6,043	€ 6,222	€ 6,266	€ 6,309
EBIT (Operating) margin	18.20%	17.81%	17.42%	17.04%	16.65%	16.26%	15.87%	15.48%	15.10%	14.71%	14.32%	14.32%
EBIT (Operating income)	€ 503	€ 551	€ 604	€ 661	€ 724	€ 792	€ 848	€ 889	€ 912	€ 915	€ 897	€ 904
Tax rate	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%	33.54%
EBIT(1-t)	€ 334	€ 366	€ 401	€ 439	€ 481	€ 526	€ 564	€ 591	€ 606	€ 608	€ 596	€ 600
- Reinvestment		€ 233	€ 261	€ 293	€ 328	€ 367	€ 334	€ 281	€ 211	€ 126	€ 31	€ 35
FCFF		€ 133	€ 140	€ 147	€ 153	€ 159	€ 230	€ 310	€ 395	€ 482	€ 566	€ 565
Cost of capital		8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	7.90%	7.80%	7.70%	7.60%	7.50%	7.50%
PV(FCFF)		€ 123	€ 120	€ 117	€ 113	€ 108	€ 145	€ 181	€ 215	€ 244	€ 266	
Terminal value	€ 8,315											
PV(Terminal value)	€ 3,906											
PV (CF over next 10 years)	€ 1,631											
Value of operating assets =	€ 5,537											
- Debt	€ 623											
- Minority interests	€ 13											
+ Cash	€ 1,141											
Value of equity	€ 6,042											

Lower Prices +  
Some selling  
cost = Lower  
operating  
margin

Reinvestment  
reflects  
higher sales

The very  
rich are  
more  
sensitive to  
economic  
conditions

# And the world is full of feedback.. My Ferrari afterthought!





# Step 6: Be ready to modify narrative as events unfold

167

Narrative Break/End	Narrative Shift	Narrative Change (Expansion or Contraction)
Events, external (legal, political or economic) or internal (management, competitive, default), that can cause the narrative to break or end.	Improvement or deterioration in initial business model, changing market size, market share and/or profitability.	Unexpected entry/success in a new market or unexpected exit/failure in an existing market.
Your valuation estimates (cash flows, risk, growth & value) are no longer operative	Your valuation estimates will have to be modified to reflect the new data about the company.	Valuation estimates have to be redone with new overall market potential and characteristics.
Estimate a probability that it will occur & consequences	Monte Carlo simulations or scenario analysis	Real Options

## Henkel

### The Story

Henkel is a stolid (solid and conservatively run) company that has an established presence and brand name power in each of its three markets (industrial adhesives, laundry detergents and household goods) but is unlikely to stray too far (geographically, leverage-wise or business-wise) from its existing domains.

### The Assumptions

	Base year	Years 1-5	Years 6-10		After year 10	Link to story
Revenues (a)	\$ 18,074	4.20%	4.2% -> 2.2%		0.03%	Solid and slow growth
Operating margin (b)	15.25%	15.25%	12.80%		12.80%	Strong presence and brand name
Tax rate	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%		25.00%	Not a risk taker when it comes to taxes
Reinvestment (c)		Sales to capital ratio	1.52	RIR =	0.43%	Nor with reinvestment
Return on capital	15.26%	Marginal ROIC =	8.37%		7.00%	Global competition will wear on returns
Cost of capital (d)		7.48%	7.00%		7.00%	Or with business & leverage risk

### The Cash Flows

	Revenues	Operating Margin	EBIT	EBIT (1-t)	Reinvestment	FCFF
1	€ 18,833	15.00%	€ 2,826	€ 2,119	€ 499	€ 1,620
2	€ 19,624	14.76%	€ 2,896	€ 2,172	€ 520	€ 1,652
3	€ 20,448	14.51%	€ 2,968	€ 2,226	€ 542	€ 1,684
4	€ 21,307	14.27%	€ 3,040	€ 2,280	€ 565	€ 1,715
5	€ 22,202	14.02%	€ 3,114	€ 2,335	€ 589	€ 1,746
6	€ 22,949	13.78%	€ 3,162	€ 2,372	€ 492	€ 1,880
7	€ 23,530	13.53%	€ 3,185	€ 2,389	€ 382	€ 2,006
8	€ 23,930	13.29%	€ 3,180	€ 2,385	€ 263	€ 2,122
9	€ 24,137	13.04%	€ 3,149	€ 2,361	€ 136	€ 2,225
10	€ 24,144	12.80%	€ 3,090	€ 2,318	€ 5	€ 2,313
Terminal year	€ 24,151	12.80%	€ 3,091	€ 2,319	€ 10	€ 2,309

### The Value

Terminal value	€ 33,122		
PV(Terminal value)	€ 16,316		
PV (CF over next 10 years)	€ 12,741		
Value of operating assets =	€ 29,057		
Adjustment for distress	€ -	Probability of failure =	0.00%
- Debt & Mnority Interests	€ 2,186		
+ Cash & Other Non-operating assets	€ 2,305		
Value of equity	€ 29,176		
- Value of equity options	€ -		
Number of shares	399.83		
Value per share	€ 72.97	Stock was trading at =	\$118.22



# Valuation as a Craft

You can never master a craft... just keep working on it..

## Uber

### Uber: Personal Mobility Player?

Uber is primarily a ride sharing company, with ambitions of being a global logistics player. Its revenue growth has been astonishing, though it is starting to slow, but it remains a big money loser, as it searches for a business model that delivers more stickiness. In this story, Uber uses a combination of economies of scale and a more capital intensive business model to create a pathway to profitability. Along the way, it will become a less risky company, though its losses leave it exposed to a 5% chance of failure.

#### The Assumptions

	Base year	Years 1-5	Years 6-10	After year 10	Story link
Total Market	\$400,000	Grow 10.39% a year		Grows 2.75% a year	Global logistics
Gross Market Share	12.45%	6.71%>30%		30%	Global Network benefits
Revenue Share	20.13%	Unchanged		20.13%	Market dominance keeps billing share high.
Operating Margin	-24.39%	-24.39% ->20%		15.00%	Full employee & more regulations
Reinvestment	NA	Sales to capital ratio of 4.00		Reinvestment rate = 7.5%	Low capital investment model
Cost of capital	NA	9.97%	9,97%->8.24%	8.24%	At 75th percentile of US firms
Risk of failure	<b>5% chance of failure, if pricing meltdown leads to capital being cut off</b>				Cash on hand + Capital access

#### The Cash Flows

	Total Market	Market Share	Revenues	EBIT (1-t)	Reinvestment	FCFF
1	\$ 441,560	14.20%	\$ 12,627	\$ (2,369)	\$ 650	\$ (3,019)
2	\$ 487,438	15.96%	\$ 15,661	\$ (2,057)	\$ 759	\$ (2,816)
3	\$ 538,083	17.71%	\$ 19,189	\$ (1,441)	\$ 882	\$ (2,323)
4	\$ 593,990	19.47%	\$ 23,281	\$ (438)	\$ 1,023	\$ (1,461)
5	\$ 655,705	21.22%	\$ 28,017	\$ 1,050	\$ 1,184	\$ (134)
6	\$ 723,833	22.98%	\$ 33,485	\$ 3,139	\$ 1,367	\$ 1,771
7	\$ 799,039	24.73%	\$ 39,787	\$ 5,292	\$ 1,576	\$ 3,716
8	\$ 882,059	26.49%	\$ 47,037	\$ 5,292	\$ 1,813	\$ 3,479
9	\$ 973,705	28.24%	\$ 55,365	\$ 6,229	\$ 2,082	\$ 4,147
10	\$1,074,873	30.00%	\$ 64,915	\$ 7,303	\$ 2,387	\$ 4,915
Terminal year	\$1,101,745	30.00%	\$ 66,537	\$ 7,485	\$ 936	\$ 6,550

#### The Value

Terminal value	\$ 114,108		
PV(Terminal value)	\$ 46,258		
PV (CF over next 10 years)	\$ 501		
Value of operating assets =	\$ 46,759		
Probability of failure	5%		
Value in case of failure	\$ -		
Adjusted Value for operating assets	\$ 44,421		
+ Cash on hand	\$ 6,406		
+ Cross holdings	\$ 8,700		
+ IPO Proceeds	\$ 9,000		
- Debt	\$ 6,869		
Value of equity	\$ 61,658		
Value per share	\$ 27.67		

# Push back on Uber Valuation


- Input disagreement: Lots of inputs and assumptions and I could be wrong on any or all of them..
- Model debate: DCF was designed for old economy companies and not suited to new economy firms that are more focused on accumulating users & subscribers, making them stick with the firm and sell them products & services over long periods.
- DCF is flexible: DCF models are much more flexible than most people give them credit for, and that they can be modified to reflect other frameworks. If you have a problem with a DCF value, it should not be with the model but with the person using that model.

# User/ Subscriber/Member Based Valuation

- A user, subscriber or member has value only because he/she generates revenues for the company. The key to valuing a unit then becomes identifying the link to cash flows and value.
- To **value users**, you have to value an individual user first and then estimate the cost of acquiring new users.
  - The value of an existing user is the present value of the expected cash flows that you will generate from that user, over the lifetime that he or she remains a user.
  - The value of a new user will be the value of a user, net of the cost of acquiring a user.
  - The aggregate value of users will be the sum of the values of existing and new users.
- To get to the **value of a company**, you have to net out the other centralized/non-user specific costs that it will face.

# Uber User Economics

Figure 4: The Mechanics of Uber's Business





# Uber's Income Statement (from Prospectus)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
<b>Revenue</b>	\$ 3,845	\$ 7,932	\$ 11,270
<b>Costs and expenses</b>			
Cost of revenue, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below	2,228	4,160	5,623
Operations and support	881	1,354	1,516
Sales and marketing	1,594	2,524	3,151
Research and development	864	1,201	1,505
General and administrative	981	2,263	2,082
Depreciation and amortization	320	510	426
<b>Total costs and expenses</b>	<b>6,868</b>	<b>12,012</b>	<b>14,303</b>

# Uber: Deconstructing the Financials

## Costs of Servicing Existing Users

Year	Gross Billings	Net Revenue	Operating Expenses	Net Revenue/Gross Billings	Operating Expense/Net Revenue
2016	\$ 19,236.00	\$ 3,219.00	\$ 3,109.00	16.73%	96.58%
2017	\$ 34,409.00	\$ 7,191.00	\$ 5,514.00	20.90%	76.68%
2018	\$ 49,799.00	\$ 10,025.00	\$ 7,139.00	20.13%	71.21%

## Costs of Adding New Users

Year	# Users added	Selling Expenses	Cost/New user
2016	21	1594	\$ 75.90
2017	23	2524	\$ 109.74
2018	23	3151	\$ 137.00

## Corporate Expenses

Year	R&D	G&A	Depreciation	Total	As % of Net Revenue
2016	\$ 864.00	\$ 981.00	\$ 320.00	\$ 2,165.00	67.26%
2017	\$ 1,201.00	\$ 2,263.00	\$ 510.00	\$ 3,974.00	55.26%
2018	\$ 1,505.00	\$ 2,082.00	\$ 426.00	\$ 4,013.00	40.03%

# Uber's Existing User Value

**Growth rate in Operating Expenses**  
Assumed that 90% of operating expenses are variable, growing at revenue growth rate. Overall expenses grow 10.95%/year

**Growth rate in Revenues**  
Assumed 12% growth in annual revenues/user over next 15 years

**User Lifetime**  
Assumed to be 15 years, with an annual renewal probability of 95%.

	Base Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Membership Survival	1.0000	0.9500	0.9025	0.8574	0.8145	0.7738	0.7351	0.6983	0.6634	0.6302	0.5987	0.5688	0.5404	0.5133	0.4877	0.4633
Gross Billings	\$ 547.24	\$ 612.91	\$ 686.46	\$ 768.84	\$ 861.10	\$ 964.43	\$ 1,080.16	\$ 1,209.78	\$ 1,354.95	\$ 1,517.54	\$ 1,699.65	\$ 1,903.61	\$ 2,132.04	\$ 2,387.89	\$ 2,674.43	\$ 2,995.36
Net Revenues	\$ 110.16	\$ 123.38	\$ 138.19	\$ 154.77	\$ 173.35	\$ 194.15	\$ 217.45	\$ 243.54	\$ 272.76	\$ 305.50	\$ 342.16	\$ 383.21	\$ 429.20	\$ 480.70	\$ 538.39	\$ 602.99
Operating Expenses	\$ 65.12	\$ 72.25	\$ 80.16	\$ 88.94	\$ 98.67	\$ 109.48	\$ 121.47	\$ 134.77	\$ 149.52	\$ 165.90	\$ 184.06	\$ 204.22	\$ 226.58	\$ 251.39	\$ 278.92	\$ 309.46
Operating Profit/user	\$ 45.05	\$ 51.14	\$ 58.03	\$ 65.84	\$ 74.67	\$ 84.67	\$ 95.98	\$ 108.77	\$ 123.24	\$ 139.60	\$ 158.09	\$ 179.00	\$ 202.62	\$ 229.31	\$ 259.47	\$ 293.54
Survival adjusted Operating Profit		\$ 48.58	\$ 52.37	\$ 56.45	\$ 60.82	\$ 65.52	\$ 70.55	\$ 75.96	\$ 81.76	\$ 87.98	\$ 94.66	\$ 101.81	\$ 109.49	\$ 117.72	\$ 126.54	\$ 135.99
After-tax Operating Profit/user	\$ 33.79	\$ 36.44	\$ 39.28	\$ 42.34	\$ 45.62	\$ 49.14	\$ 52.92	\$ 56.97	\$ 61.32	\$ 65.99	\$ 70.99	\$ 76.36	\$ 82.12	\$ 88.29	\$ 94.90	\$ 101.99
Present Value		\$ 33.66	\$ 33.53	\$ 33.38	\$ 33.23	\$ 33.07	\$ 32.90	\$ 32.73	\$ 32.55	\$ 32.36	\$ 32.16	\$ 31.96	\$ 31.75	\$ 31.54	\$ 31.32	\$ 31.10
Annual Growth Rate (Revenues)	12.00%															
Annual Growth Rate (Op Exp)	10.95%															
Risk-adjusted discount rate	8.24%															
Life of user =	15.00															
Value per existing user =	\$ 487.25															
Number of existing users =	91.00															
<b>Value of Existing Users</b>	<b>\$ 44,339.77</b>															

**Survival-adjusted PV**  
PV of after-tax operating income, adjusted for drop out rate over time.

**Risk Adjusted Discount Rate**  
Used a 8.24% cost of capital, set at the median cost of capital for US companies, adjusted for inflation difference.

# Uber's New User Value

*Value Added by New Users at Uber*

**Base year Value/ New User**  
 Value of User = \$487.25  
 Cost of adding New User = \$113.71  
 Value added by new user = \$373.54

**User Growth rates**  
 Years 1-5: 12%  
 Years 6-10: 6%

**Cost of capital**  
 Used 9.97%, the 75th percentile of US companies

	Base Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Total Users	91.00	101.92	114.15	127.85	143.19	160.37	170.00	180.20	191.01	202.47	214.62
New Users	0.00	15.47	17.33	19.41	21.73	24.34	17.64	18.70	19.82	21.01	22.27
Value per new user	\$373.54	\$379.14	\$384.83	\$390.60	\$396.46	\$402.40	\$408.44	\$414.57	\$420.78	\$427.10	\$433.50
Value added by new users		\$5,865.27	\$6,667.64	\$7,579.77	\$8,616.68	\$9,795.45	\$7,205.30	\$7,752.18	\$8,340.57	\$8,973.62	\$9,654.72
Terminal Value (new users)											\$31,603.73
Present Value		\$ 5,333.52	\$ 5,513.45	\$ 5,699.46	\$ 5,891.74	\$ 6,090.50	\$ 4,073.87	\$ 3,985.70	\$ 3,899.44	\$ 3,815.05	\$ 15,950.37
<b>Value Added by New Users</b>	<b>\$ 60,253.08</b>										

**Beyond year 10**  
 User growth continues at 2.5% a year

# Uber Corporate Expense Value (Drag)

	Base Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Corporate Expenses	-\$3,330.93	-\$3,564.10	-\$3,813.59	-\$4,080.54	-\$4,366.17	-\$4,671.81	-\$4,998.83	-\$5,348.75	-\$5,723.16	-\$6,123.78	-\$6,552.45
After-tax Corporate Expenses		\$(2,673.07)	\$(2,860.19)	\$(3,060.40)	\$(3,274.63)	\$(3,503.85)	\$(3,749.12)	\$(4,011.56)	\$(4,292.37)	\$(4,592.84)	\$(4,914.34)
Terminal Value (Corporate Exp)											\$(87,756.02)
PV of Corporate Expenses		-\$2,469.58	-\$2,441.29	-\$2,413.32	-\$2,385.67	-\$2,358.34	-\$2,331.33	-\$2,304.62	-\$2,278.22	-\$2,252.12	-\$41,981.99
Value Drag of Corporate Expenses	<b>-\$63,216.48</b>										

**Base Year Expenses**  
From Prospectus for 2018

**Growth rate of 7%**  
Economies of scale

**Tax Rate**  
Assumed =25%

**Cost of capital**  
Used 8.24%,  
median US  
company cost of  
capital

# Uber Valuation

Existing Users			New Users			Corporate Expenses			
Inputs			Inputs			Inputs			
Net Revenue/User =	\$ 110.16		Cost of acquiring user =	\$ 113.71		Corporate Expenses	\$ 2,812.72		
Operating Expense/User=	\$ 65.12		Value of new user =	\$ 373.54		CAGR - Next 10 years	7.00%		
Operating Profit/User =	\$ 45.05		Growth rate in net users (1-5)	12.00%		Discount Rate =	8.24%		
CAGR in Revenue/User	12.00%		Growth rate in net users (6-10)	6.00%					
Annual Renewal Rate =	95.00%		Discount Rate	9.97%					
User Life =	15								
Discount Rate =	8.24%								
Output			Output			Output			
Value/User =	\$ 487.25		# Users in year 10 =	214.62					
# Existing Users =	91.00		# Net New Users (10 years)	123.62					
<b>Value of Existing Users =</b>	<b>\$44,339.77</b>	+	<b>Value of New Users =</b>	<b>\$60,253.08</b>	-	<b>PV of Corporate Expenses</b>	<b>\$(63,216.48)</b>	=	<b>Value of Operating Assets</b>
<i>Existing users will stick with Uber and increase how much they spend on its services, the longer they stay. Operating expenses are mostly variable, but there will be mild economies of scale.</i>			<i>Uber will continue to add new users, but at a decreasing pace, with a cost of acquiring a new user staying stable (with the current cost increasing at the inflation rate). The new user spending profile will mirror existing users.</i>			<i>Uber's corporate expenses will continue to grow, notwithstanding economies of scale, as the company increases spending moderately on autonomous cars.</i>			<b>\$ 41,376.37</b>
									<b>+ Cash</b>
									<b>\$ 15,407.00</b>
									<b>+ Cross Holdings</b>
									<b>\$ 8,700.00</b>
									<b>- Debt</b>
									<b>\$ 6,869.00</b>
									<b>Value of equity</b>
									<b>\$ 58,614.37</b>
									<b># Shares</b>
									<b>2235.26</b>
									<b>Value/Share</b>
									<b>\$ 26.22</b>

*Aswath Damodaran*

# RELATIVE VALUATION (PRICING)

Aswath Damodaran



# Relative valuation is pervasive...

- Most asset valuations are relative.
- Most equity valuations on Wall Street are relative valuations.
  - Almost 85% of equity research reports are based upon a multiple and comparables.
  - More than 50% of all acquisition valuations are based upon multiples
  - Rules of thumb based on multiples are not only common but are often the basis for final valuation judgments.
- While there are more discounted cashflow valuations in consulting and corporate finance, they are often relative valuations masquerading as discounted cash flow valuations.
  - The objective in many discounted cashflow valuations is to back into a number that has been obtained by using a multiple.
  - The terminal value in a significant number of discounted cashflow valuations is estimated using a multiple.

# The Reasons for the allure...

- “If you think I’ m crazy, you should see the guy who lives across the hall”

Jerry Seinfeld talking about Kramer in a Seinfeld episode

- “ A little inaccuracy sometimes saves tons of explanation”


H.H. Munro

- “ If you are going to screw up, make sure that you have lots of company”

Ex-portfolio manager


# Pricing versus Valuation

183



# Test 1: Are you pricing or valuing?

184



**5369 La Jolla Mesa Dr**  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
Status: Active

**\$995,000**  
Price

**3**  
Beds


**2.5**  
Baths

**1,440** Sq. Ft.  
\$691 / Sq. Ft.

**Built:** 1955   **Lot Size:** 3,000 Sq. Ft.   **On Redfin:** 12 days

Favorite   X-Out   Share...   Tour Home


Overview   Property Details   Tour Insights   Property History   Public Records   Activity   Schools   Neighborhood & Offer Insights   Similar Homes



**Lisa Padilla**  
REDFIN Real Estate Agent


★★★★★  
47 client reviews


**\$8,726 commission refund**

 **Go Tour This Home**

[Ask Lisa a Question](#) or [Start an Offer](#)

1 of 4 Redfin Agents in this area



1 of 25      [Play Video](#)

# Test 2: Are you pricing or valuing?

185

Europe  
Switzerland  
  
Biotechnology  
Biotechnology

Reuters  
BION.S

Bloomberg  
BION SW

Exchange  
SWX  
Ticker  
BION

Price at 12 Aug 2013 (CHF)	124.00
Price Target (CHF)	164.50
52-week range (CHF)	128.40 - 84.90

## Strong sector and stock-picking continue

### Impressive performance

Over the past two years, BB Biotech shares have roughly tripled, which could tempt investors to take profits. However, this performance has been well backed by a deserved revival of the biotech industry, encouraging fundamental news, M&A, and increased money flow into health care stocks. In addition, BBB returned to index outperformance by modifying its stock-picking approach. Hence, despite excellent performance, the shares still trade at a 23% discount to the net asset value of the portfolio. Hence, the shares are an attractive value vehicle to capture growth opportunities in an attractive sector.

### Biotech industry remains attractive


With the re-rating of the pharma sector, investors have also showed increased interest in biotech stocks. Established biotech stocks have delivered encouraging financial results and approvals, while there has also been substantial industry consolidation, which is not surprising in times of "cheap" money and high liquidity. BB Biotech remains an attractive vehicle to capture the future potential of the biotech sector. In addition, investors benefit from a 23% discount to NAV and attractive cash distribution policy of 5% yield p.a. Hence, we reiterate our Buy on BB Biotech shares.

### Key changes

Target Price 106.50 to 164.50 ↑ 54.5%

Source: Deutsche Bank


### Price/price relative



Performance (%)	1m	3m	12m
Absolute	-1.4	5.4	37.4

# The tool for pricing: A multiple

186





# The Four Steps to Deconstructing Multiples

- Define the multiple
  - In use, the same multiple can be defined in different ways by different users. When comparing and using multiples, estimated by someone else, it is critical that we understand how the multiples have been estimated
- Describe the multiple
  - Too many people who use a multiple have no idea what its cross sectional distribution is. If you do not know what the cross sectional distribution of a multiple is, it is difficult to look at a number and pass judgment on whether it is too high or low.
- Analyze the multiple
  - It is critical that we understand the fundamentals that drive each multiple, and the nature of the relationship between the multiple and each variable.
- Apply the multiple
  - Defining the comparable universe and controlling for differences is far more difficult in practice than it is in theory.



# Definitional Tests

- Is the multiple consistently defined?
  - Proposition 1: Both the value (the numerator) and the standardizing variable (the denominator) should be to the same claimholders in the firm. In other words, the value of equity should be divided by equity earnings or equity book value, and firm value should be divided by firm earnings or book value.
- Is the multiple uniformly estimated?
  - The variables used in defining the multiple should be estimated uniformly across assets in the “comparable firm” list.
  - If earnings-based multiples are used, the accounting rules to measure earnings should be applied consistently across assets. The same rule applies with book-value based multiples.

# Example 1: Price Earnings Ratio: Definition

PE = Market Price per Share / Earnings per Share

- There are a number of variants on the basic PE ratio in use. They are based upon how the price and the earnings are defined.

Price: is usually the current price

is sometimes the average price for the year

EPS: EPS in most recent financial year

EPS in trailing 12 months (Trailing PE)

Forecasted EPS for next year (Forward PE)

Forecasted EPS in future year

## Example 2: Enterprise Value /EBITDA Multiple

- The enterprise value to EBITDA multiple is obtained by netting cash out against debt to arrive at enterprise value and dividing by EBITDA.


$$\frac{\text{Enterprise Value}}{\text{EBITDA}} = \frac{\text{Market Value of Equity} + \text{Market Value of Debt} - \text{Cash}}{\text{Earnings before Interest, Taxes and Depreciation}}$$

- Why do we net out cash from firm value?
- What happens if a firm has cross holdings which are categorized as:
  - ▣ Minority interests?
  - ▣ Majority active interests?

# Descriptive Tests

- What is the average and standard deviation for this multiple, across the universe (market)?
- What is the median for this multiple?
  - The median for this multiple is often a more reliable comparison point.
- How large are the outliers to the distribution, and how do we deal with the outliers?
  - Throwing out the outliers may seem like an obvious solution, but if the outliers all lie on one side of the distribution (they usually are large positive numbers), this can lead to a biased estimate.
- Are there cases where the multiple cannot be estimated? Will ignoring these cases lead to a biased estimate of the multiple?
- How has this multiple changed over time?

# 1. Multiples have skewed distributions...




## 2. Making statistics “dicey”

193


	Current PE	Trailing PE	Forward PE
Total Number of firms	7584	7584	7584
Firms with PE	2780	2481	2354
Average	109.79	103.25	79.74
Median	18.15	20.30	18.89
10th Percentile	6.95	7.68	8.96
First Quartile	10.41	11.50	12.36
Third Quartile	37.26	40.79	33.20
90th Percentile	95.44	96.80	69.40
Maximum	36157.14	25020.00	42390.00

### 3. Markets have a lot in common : Comparing Global PEs






# 4. Simplistic rules almost always break down...6 times EBITDA was not cheap in the US in 2010



# But it may be in 2021, unless you in Japan or Russia...



# Henkel: A Relative Valuation

Company Name	Exchange: Ticker	PE	PBV	EV/Sales	EV/EBITDA	EV/ Invested Capital
The Procter & Gamble Company	NYSE:PG	21.14	3.81	3.73	13.96	3.20
Colgate-Palmolive Co.	NYSE:CL	45.20	NA	4.45	15.89	NA
Reckitt Benckiser Group plc	LSE:RB.	29.87	6.47	5.31	18.21	5.38
<b>Henkel AG &amp; Co. KGaA</b>	<b>DB:HEN3</b>	<b>22.88</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>2.54</b>	<b>13.66</b>	<b>3.46</b>
Kimberly-Clark Corporation	NYSE:KMB	20.46	136.45	2.63	11.53	6.72
Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget SCA	OM:SCA B	31.56	2.52	1.79	10.88	2.04
The Clorox Company	NYSE:CLX	23.73	51.77	3.00	14.42	7.80
Church & Dwight Co. Inc.	NYSE:CHD	26.85	6.06	3.77	15.96	4.53
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.	NYSE:SPB	27.37	4.58	2.33	13.54	2.15
HRG Group, Inc.	NYSE:HRG	NA	5.48	1.87	9.01	1.60
Energizer Holdings, Inc.	NYSE:ENR	23.42	NA	2.16	11.83	NA
PZ Cussons Plc	LSE:PZC	18.03	2.42	1.68	10.88	2.13
WD-40 Company	NasdaqGS:WDF	28.86	10.81	4.02	19.68	9.95
Central Garden & Pet Company	NasdaqGS:CEN	26.86	2.21	0.88	9.63	1.74
McBride plc	LSE:MCB	18.93	4.70	0.60	7.70	2.55
Orchids Paper Products Company	AMEX:TIS	16.66	2.01	2.10	10.52	1.59
Oil-Dri Corp. of America	NYSE:ODC	17.80	2.09	0.87	8.29	2.24
Suominen Oyj	HLSE:SUY1V	11.69	1.43	0.52	4.78	1.32
Accrol Group Holdings Plc	AIM:ACRL	17.74	NA	1.28	9.29	NA
<b>Median</b>		<b>23.15</b>	<b>4.20</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>11.53</b>	<b>2.39</b>
<b>Henkel versus Median (Under or Over)</b>		<b>-1.16%</b>	<b>-19.54%</b>	<b>17.57%</b>	<b>18.45%</b>	<b>44.70%</b>

# Henkel: Controlling for differences


Company Name	Operating Margin	Net Margin	ROIC	ROE	Net Debt/Market Cap	Expected Growth in EPS	Revenue CAGR (Last 5 years)
The Procter & Gamble Company	22.00%	16.27%	14.23%	18.02%	7.60%	6.30%	-4.57%
Colgate-Palmolive Co.	25.17%	9.04%	53.52%	NA	8.40%	6.93%	-0.75%
Reckitt Benckiser Group plc	27.45%	17.17%	21.34%	21.67%	3.79%	11.00%	0.17%
<b>Henkel AG &amp; Co. KGaA</b>	<b>16.11%</b>	<b>11.15%</b>	<b>16.66%</b>	<b>14.75%</b>	<b>-0.91%</b>	<b>8.96%</b>	<b>3.17%</b>
Kimberly-Clark Corporation	18.92%	10.96%	33.53%	666.89%	16.74%	7.20%	-2.59%
Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget SCA	11.20%	4.62%	7.49%	8.00%	20.54%	8.04%	10.80%
The Clorox Company	18.23%	11.25%	31.23%	218.18%	12.48%	7.05%	1.95%
Church & Dwight Co. Inc.	20.64%	13.12%	16.21%	22.57%	7.15%	9.41%	5.64%
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.	13.58%	5.78%	9.72%	16.74%	46.63%	12.20%	10.10%
HRG Group, Inc.	16.19%	-6.49%	9.37%	-60.24%	179.41%	0.00%	13.00%
Energizer Holdings, Inc.	15.97%	8.07%	45.82%	NA	14.16%	5.77%	NA
PZ Cussons Plc	12.82%	8.24%	13.49%	13.44%	12.05%	0.00%	0.01%
WD-40 Company	18.73%	13.82%	33.50%	37.46%	0.89%	10.00%	2.50%
Central Garden & Pet Company	6.80%	2.54%	8.73%	8.24%	28.87%	11.00%	2.42%
McBride plc	5.03%	2.50%	14.15%	24.81%	28.23%	0.00%	-3.47%
Orchids Paper Products Company	12.85%	9.32%	6.48%	12.09%	35.53%	14.30%	13.60%
Oil-Dri Corp. of America	5.87%	5.18%	14.29%	11.76%	-5.53%	0.00%	2.96%
Suominen Oyj	6.71%	3.69%	11.07%	12.19%	21.64%	-0.20%	19.80%
Accrol Group Holdings Plc	10.52%	4.83%	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	NA
<b>Median</b>	<b>15.97%</b>	<b>8.24%</b>	<b>14.26%</b>	<b>15.75%</b>	<b>13.32%</b>	<b>7.05%</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
<b>Henkel versus Median (Under or Over)</b>	<b>0.84%</b>	<b>35.37%</b>	<b>16.81%</b>	<b>-6.32%</b>	<b>-106.83%</b>	<b>27.09%</b>	<b>26.80%</b>

# Analytical Tests

- What are the fundamentals that determine and drive these multiples?
  - Proposition 2: Embedded in every multiple are all of the variables that drive every discounted cash flow valuation - growth, risk and cash flow patterns.
  - In fact, using a simple discounted cash flow model and basic algebra should yield the fundamentals that drive a multiple
- How do changes in these fundamentals change the multiple?
  - The relationship between a fundamental (like growth) and a multiple (such as PE) is seldom linear. For example, if firm A has twice the growth rate of firm B, it will generally not trade at twice its PE ratio
  - Proposition 3: It is impossible to properly compare firms on a multiple, if we do not know the nature of the relationship between fundamentals and the multiple.

# A Simple Analytical device

200



# PE Ratio: Understanding the Fundamentals

- To understand the fundamentals, start with a basic equity discounted cash flow model.
- With the dividend discount model,

$$P_0 = \frac{DPS_1}{r - g_n}$$

- Dividing both sides by the current earnings per share,

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = PE = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio} * (1 + g_n)}{r - g_n}$$


- If this had been a FCFE Model,

$$P_0 = \frac{FCFE_1}{r - g_n}$$

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = PE = \frac{(FCFE/\text{Earnings}) * (1 + g_n)}{r - g_n}$$




# The Determinants of Multiples...



## Equity Multiples

## Firm Multiples



# Application Tests

- Given the firm that we are valuing, what is a “comparable” firm?
  - While traditional analysis is built on the premise that firms in the same sector are comparable firms, valuation theory would suggest that a comparable firm is one which is similar to the one being analyzed in terms of fundamentals.
  - Proposition 4: There is no reason why a firm cannot be compared with another firm in a very different business, if the two firms have the same risk, growth and cash flow characteristics.
- Given the comparable firms, how do we adjust for differences across firms on the fundamentals?
  - Proposition 5: It is impossible to find an exactly identical firm to the one you are valuing.

# An Example: Comparing PE Ratios across a Sector: PE

<i>Company Name</i>	<i>PE</i>	<i>Growth</i>
<i>PT Indosat ADR</i>	<i>7.8</i>	<i>0.06</i>
<i>Telebras ADR</i>	<i>8.9</i>	<i>0.075</i>
<i>Telecom Corporation of New Zealand ADR</i>	<i>11.2</i>	<i>0.11</i>
<i>Telecom Argentina Stet - France Telecom SA ADR B</i>	<i>12.5</i>	<i>0.08</i>
<i>Hellenic Telecommunication Organization SA ADR</i>	<i>12.8</i>	<i>0.12</i>
<i>Telecomunicaciones de Chile ADR</i>	<i>16.6</i>	<i>0.08</i>
<i>Swisscom AG ADR</i>	<i>18.3</i>	<i>0.11</i>
<i>Asia Satellite Telecom Holdings ADR</i>	<i>19.6</i>	<i>0.16</i>
<i>Portugal Telecom SA ADR</i>	<i>20.8</i>	<i>0.13</i>
<i>Telefonos de Mexico ADR L</i>	<i>21.1</i>	<i>0.14</i>
<i>Matav RT ADR</i>	<i>21.5</i>	<i>0.22</i>
<i>Telstra ADR</i>	<i>21.7</i>	<i>0.12</i>
<i>Gilat Communications</i>	<i>22.7</i>	<i>0.31</i>
<i>Deutsche Telekom AG ADR</i>	<i>24.6</i>	<i>0.11</i>
<i>British Telecommunications PLC ADR</i>	<i>25.7</i>	<i>0.07</i>
<i>Tele Danmark AS ADR</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>0.09</i>
<i>Telekomunikasi Indonesia ADR</i>	<i>28.4</i>	<i>0.32</i>
<i>Cable &amp; Wireless PLC ADR</i>	<i>29.8</i>	<i>0.14</i>
<i>APT Satellite Holdings ADR</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>0.33</i>
<i>Telefonica SA ADR</i>	<i>32.5</i>	<i>0.18</i>
<i>Royal KPN NV ADR</i>	<i>35.7</i>	<i>0.13</i>
<i>Telecom Italia SPA ADR</i>	<i>42.2</i>	<i>0.14</i>
<i>Nippon Telegraph &amp; Telephone ADR</i>	<i>44.3</i>	<i>0.2</i>
<i>France Telecom SA ADR</i>	<i>45.2</i>	<i>0.19</i>
<i>Korea Telecom ADR</i>	<i>71.3</i>	<i>0.44</i>

# PE, Growth and Risk

□ Dependent variable is: PE

□ R squared = 66.2%    R squared (adjusted) = 63.1%

<i>Variable</i>		<i>Coefficient</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>t-ratio</i>	<i>Probability</i>
Constant	13.1151	3.471	3.78	0.0010	
Growth rate		121.223	19.27	6.29	≤ 0.0001
Emerging Market	-13.853	1	3.606	-3.84	0.0009
Emerging Market is a dummy:				1 if emerging market 0 if not	

□ Is Indosat cheap?

$$PE = 13.13 + 121.22 (.06) - 13.85 (1) = 6.55$$

At 7.8 times earnings, Indosat is over valued.

# Pricing across the entire market: Why not?

206

- In contrast to the 'comparable firm' approach, the information in the entire cross-section of firms can be used to predict PE ratios.
- The simplest way of summarizing this information is with a multiple regression, with the PE ratio as the dependent variable, and proxies for risk, growth and payout forming the independent variables.

# PE Ratio: Standard Regression for US stocks - January 2021

207

## Model Summary<sup>a</sup>

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.629 <sup>b</sup>	.396	.394	4035.87822

*The regression is run with growth and payout entered as absolute, i.e., 25% is entered as 25)*

- a. Broad Group = United States
- b. Predictors: (Constant), Expected growth rate in EPS- Next 5 years, Beta, Payout ratio

## Coefficients<sup>a,b,c</sup>

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	4.104	2.828		1.451	.147
	Payout ratio	.174	.017	.259	10.087	.000
	Beta	1.714	2.709	.015	.633	.527
	Expected growth rate in EPS- Next 5 years	2.304	.087	.681	26.512	.000

- a. Broad Group = United States
- b. Dependent Variable: Trailing PE
- c. Weighted Least Squares Regression – Weighted by Market Cap (in US \$)

# PE ratio regressions across markets – January 2021

208

Region	Regression – January 2021	R <sup>2</sup>
US	PE = 4.10 + 1.71 Beta + 17.40 Payout + 230.4 g <sub>EPS</sub>	39.4%
Europe	PE = 16.69 + 4.65 Beta + 15.30 Payout + 91.80 g <sub>EPS</sub>	14.5%
Japan	PE = 20.89 – 7.63 Beta + 14.30 Payout + 149.30 g <sub>EPS</sub>	23.8%
Emerging Markets	PE = 17.88 + 0.44 Beta + 3.00 Payout + 113.80 g <sub>EPS</sub>	21.9%
Australia, NZ, Canada	PE = 12.07 + 1.72 Beta + 12.00 Payout + 114.10 g <sub>EPS</sub>	16.1%
<b>Global</b>	<b>PE = 20.04 – 2.57 Beta + 8.70 Payout + 139.20 g<sub>EPS</sub></b>	<b>23.2%</b>

*g<sub>EPS</sub> = Expected Growth: Expected growth in EPS or Net Income: Next 5 years (decimals)*

*Beta: Regression or Bottom up Beta*

*Payout ratio: Dividends/ Net income from most recent year. Set to zero, if net income < 0*



# Choosing Between the Multiples

- As presented in this section, there are dozens of multiples that can be potentially used to value an individual firm.
- In addition, relative valuation can be relative to a sector (or comparable firms) or to the entire market (using the regressions, for instance)
- Since there can be only one final estimate of value, there are three choices at this stage:
  - Use a simple average of the valuations obtained using a number of different multiples
  - Use a weighted average of the valuations obtained using a number of different multiples
  - Choose one of the multiples and base your valuation on that multiple

# Picking one Multiple

- This is usually the best way to approach this issue. While a range of values can be obtained from a number of multiples, the “best estimate” value is obtained using one multiple.
- The multiple that is used can be chosen in one of two ways:
  - Use the multiple that best fits your objective. Thus, if you want the company to be undervalued, you pick the multiple that yields the highest value.
  - Use the multiple that has the highest R-squared in the sector when regressed against fundamentals. Thus, if you have tried PE, PBV, PS, etc. and run regressions of these multiples against fundamentals, use the multiple that works best at explaining differences across firms in that sector.
  - Use the multiple that seems to make the most sense for that sector, given how value is measured and created.

# Conventional usage...

Sector	Multiple Used	Rationale
Cyclical Manufacturing	PE, Relative PE	Often with normalized earnings
Growth firms	PEG ratio	Big differences in growth rates
Young growth firms w/ losses	Revenue Multiples	What choice do you have?
Infrastructure	EV/EBITDA	Early losses, big DA
REIT	P/CFE (where CFE = Net income + Depreciation)	Big depreciation charges on real estate
Financial Services	Price/ Book equity	Marked to market?
Retailing	Revenue multiples	Margins equalize sooner or later




## APPENDIX: THE COVID EFFECT

Look forward, you must!




# Order in Chaos? The Macro Story

# US Equities, during the crisis...




# Macro Review: US Treasuries






# The Price of Bond Market Risk: The COVID effect

Corporate Bond Default Spreads: 2/14 - 11/1




# Macro Review: Oil & Copper

Oil and Copper Prices: February 14, 2020 - November 1, 2020



# Macro Review: Gold & Bitcoin



# Global Equities: By Region

Sub Region	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Africa	775	\$ 551,313	\$ 347,724	\$ 453,676	\$ 450,891	\$ (203,590)	\$ 105,953	\$ (2,785)	\$ (100,422)	-36.93%	30.47%	-0.61%	-18.22%
Australia & NZ	1,544	\$ 1,460,485	\$ 867,789	\$ 1,457,249	\$ 1,377,797	\$ (592,696)	\$ 589,460	\$ (79,452)	\$ (82,688)	-40.58%	67.93%	-5.45%	-5.66%
Canada	2,396	\$ 2,069,846	\$ 1,263,949	\$ 2,025,929	\$ 1,874,426	\$ (805,897)	\$ 761,980	\$ (151,503)	\$ (195,420)	-38.94%	60.29%	-7.48%	-9.44%
China	6,293	\$13,955,224	\$12,367,237	\$16,742,877	\$16,405,890	\$ (1,587,987)	\$ 4,375,641	\$ (336,988)	\$ 2,450,666	-11.38%	35.38%	-2.01%	17.56%
EU & Environs	5,190	\$13,195,783	\$ 8,955,805	\$12,849,117	\$12,356,947	\$ (4,239,979)	\$ 3,893,312	\$ (492,170)	\$ (838,836)	-32.13%	43.47%	-3.83%	-6.36%
Eastern Europe & Russia	494	\$ 820,322	\$ 495,278	\$ 630,915	\$ 543,773	\$ (325,044)	\$ 135,637	\$ (87,142)	\$ (276,549)	-39.62%	27.39%	-13.81%	-33.71%
India	3,314	\$ 2,189,647	\$ 1,510,005	\$ 2,137,221	\$ 2,074,926	\$ (679,642)	\$ 627,215	\$ (62,295)	\$ (114,721)	-31.04%	41.54%	-2.91%	-5.24%
Japan	3,732	\$ 5,857,677	\$ 4,367,763	\$ 5,806,406	\$ 5,793,928	\$ (1,489,914)	\$ 1,438,644	\$ (12,479)	\$ (63,749)	-25.44%	32.94%	-0.21%	-1.09%
Latin America & Caribbean	1,164	\$ 2,420,178	\$ 1,418,615	\$ 1,889,419	\$ 1,764,617	\$ (1,001,563)	\$ 470,804	\$ (124,802)	\$ (655,561)	-41.38%	33.19%	-6.61%	-27.09%
Middle East	1,430	\$ 3,072,356	\$ 2,555,641	\$ 3,130,835	\$ 3,056,482	\$ (516,716)	\$ 575,194	\$ (74,353)	\$ (15,875)	-16.82%	22.51%	-2.37%	-0.52%
Small Asia	8,625	\$ 4,993,589	\$ 3,496,975	\$ 5,048,960	\$ 4,995,842	\$ (1,496,614)	\$ 1,551,985	\$ (53,118)	\$ 2,253	-29.97%	44.38%	-1.05%	0.05%
UK	1,130	\$ 2,899,163	\$ 1,826,761	\$ 2,506,942	\$ 2,306,805	\$ (1,072,402)	\$ 680,181	\$ (200,137)	\$ (592,358)	-36.99%	37.23%	-7.98%	-20.43%
United States	6,357	\$33,844,978	\$22,773,956	\$35,589,058	\$33,525,453	\$(11,071,022)	\$12,815,102	\$ (2,063,605)	\$ (319,524)	-32.71%	56.27%	-5.80%	-0.94%
Global	42,445	\$87,330,562	\$62,247,496	\$74,920,290	\$87,744,240	\$(25,083,065)	\$12,672,794	\$12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%

# Global Equities: By Sector

Primary Sector	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20 - 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20 - 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Communication Services	2,079	\$ 7,291,713	\$ 5,460,948	\$ 7,920,931	\$ 7,605,693	\$ (1,830,765)	\$ 2,459,983	\$ (315,238)	\$ 313,980	-25.11%	45.05%	-3.98%	4.31%
Consumer Discretionary	5,945	\$ 10,153,097	\$ 7,068,864	\$ 11,850,184	\$ 12,063,642	\$ (3,084,232)	\$ 4,781,319	\$ 213,459	\$ 1,910,546	-30.38%	67.64%	1.80%	18.82%
Consumer Staples	2,847	\$ 7,168,482	\$ 5,729,650	\$ 7,641,382	\$ 7,237,898	\$ (1,438,832)	\$ 1,911,731	\$ (403,484)	\$ 69,416	-20.07%	33.37%	-5.28%	0.97%
Energy	1,654	\$ 5,922,675	\$ 3,847,829	\$ 4,991,620	\$ 4,444,401	\$ (2,074,846)	\$ 1,143,792	\$ (547,220)	\$ (1,478,274)	-35.03%	29.73%	-10.96%	-24.96%
Financials	4,356	\$ 14,234,754	\$ 9,514,353	\$ 12,061,179	\$ 11,412,865	\$ (4,720,402)	\$ 2,546,827	\$ (648,315)	\$ (2,821,889)	-33.16%	26.77%	-5.38%	-19.82%
Health Care	3,955	\$ 8,905,753	\$ 6,857,601	\$ 9,949,643	\$ 9,527,764	\$ (2,048,152)	\$ 3,092,042	\$ (421,879)	\$ 622,012	-23.00%	45.09%	-4.24%	6.98%
Industrials	7,560	\$ 10,081,864	\$ 6,865,944	\$ 9,922,741	\$ 9,576,177	\$ (3,215,919)	\$ 3,056,797	\$ (346,564)	\$ (505,687)	-31.90%	44.52%	-3.49%	-5.02%
Information Technology	5,577	\$ 13,560,982	\$ 9,707,739	\$ 15,984,270	\$ 14,992,176	\$ (3,853,242)	\$ 6,276,531	\$ (992,094)	\$ 1,431,194	-28.41%	64.65%	-6.21%	10.55%
Materials	5,705	\$ 4,976,622	\$ 3,514,149	\$ 5,380,546	\$ 5,200,887	\$ (1,462,473)	\$ 1,866,397	\$ (179,658)	\$ 224,265	-29.39%	53.11%	-3.34%	4.51%
Real Estate	1,842	\$ 1,836,062	\$ 1,353,453	\$ 1,679,779	\$ 1,585,009	\$ (482,609)	\$ 326,326	\$ (94,769)	\$ (251,053)	-26.29%	24.11%	-5.64%	-13.67%
Utilities	917	\$ 3,196,558	\$ 2,325,395	\$ 2,884,510	\$ 2,879,452	\$ (871,163)	\$ 559,115	\$ (5,058)	\$ (317,106)	-27.25%	24.04%	-0.18%	-9.92%
Global	42,445	\$ 87,330,562	\$ 62,247,496	\$ 74,920,290	\$ 87,744,240	\$ (25,083,065)	\$ 12,672,794	\$ 12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%



# Global Equities: Industry Winners & Losers

		Worst Performing Industries (2/14- 11/1)											
		Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
Industry	Number of firms	2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Oil/Gas (Production and Exploration)	705	\$ 683,665	\$ 316,958	\$ 477,802	\$ 383,653	\$ (366,707)	\$ 160,844	\$ (94,149)	\$ (300,012)	-53.64%	50.75%	-19.70%	-43.88%
R. E. I. T.	80	\$ 108,606	\$ 49,179	\$ 68,474	\$ 64,681	\$ (59,427)	\$ 19,296	\$ (3,793)	\$ (43,925)	-54.72%	39.24%	-5.54%	-40.44%
Oil/Gas Distribution	197	\$ 644,032	\$ 355,094	\$ 480,384	\$ 424,030	\$ (288,938)	\$ 125,290	\$ (56,354)	\$ (220,002)	-44.86%	35.28%	-11.73%	-34.16%
Reinsurance	35	\$ 180,669	\$ 98,290	\$ 141,359	\$ 122,608	\$ (82,379)	\$ 43,069	\$ (18,752)	\$ (58,061)	-45.60%	43.82%	-13.27%	-32.14%
Aerospace/Defense	235	\$1,219,943	\$ 674,529	\$ 939,969	\$ 830,117	\$ (545,414)	\$ 265,440	\$ (109,851)	\$ (389,825)	-44.71%	39.35%	-11.69%	-31.95%
Air Transport	161	\$ 558,878	\$ 315,543	\$ 418,144	\$ 389,947	\$ (243,335)	\$ 102,601	\$ (28,196)	\$ (168,930)	-43.54%	32.52%	-6.74%	-30.23%
Broadcasting	139	\$ 164,223	\$ 93,880	\$ 128,388	\$ 122,024	\$ (70,343)	\$ 34,508	\$ (6,364)	\$ (42,199)	-42.83%	36.76%	-4.96%	-25.70%
Insurance (General)	222	\$ 833,814	\$ 508,265	\$ 694,490	\$ 620,410	\$ (325,549)	\$ 186,225	\$ (74,079)	\$ (213,404)	-39.04%	36.64%	-10.67%	-25.59%
Bank (Money Center)	629	\$6,844,013	\$4,651,769	\$5,397,921	\$5,107,604	\$ (2,192,245)	\$ 746,152	\$ (290,317)	\$ (1,736,409)	-32.03%	16.04%	-5.38%	-25.37%
Food Wholesalers	149	\$ 94,803	\$ 51,326	\$ 77,791	\$ 71,464	\$ (43,477)	\$ 26,465	\$ (6,327)	\$ (23,339)	-45.86%	51.56%	-8.13%	-24.62%
		Best Performing Industries (2/14- 11/1)											
		Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
Industry	Number of firms	2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Software (Internet)	133	\$ 226,460	\$ 166,483	\$ 355,195	\$ 334,679	\$ (59,977)	\$ 188,712	\$ (20,516)	\$ 108,219	-26.48%	113.35%	-5.78%	47.79%
Retail (Online)	307	\$2,454,579	\$1,989,255	\$3,728,349	\$3,585,642	\$ (465,324)	\$ 1,739,094	\$ (142,707)	\$ 1,131,063	-18.96%	87.42%	-3.83%	46.08%
Healthcare Information and Technology	374	\$ 768,829	\$ 604,390	\$1,029,307	\$1,054,953	\$ (164,439)	\$ 424,917	\$ 25,646	\$ 286,124	-21.39%	70.31%	2.49%	37.22%
Precious Metals	796	\$ 419,259	\$ 297,645	\$ 611,235	\$ 557,335	\$ (121,614)	\$ 313,590	\$ (53,900)	\$ 138,076	-29.01%	105.36%	-8.82%	32.93%
Furn/Home Furnishings	333	\$ 330,673	\$ 253,089	\$ 383,318	\$ 406,726	\$ (77,583)	\$ 130,229	\$ 23,408	\$ 76,053	-23.46%	51.46%	6.11%	23.00%
Transportation	262	\$ 548,150	\$ 415,190	\$ 675,026	\$ 668,808	\$ (132,960)	\$ 259,836	\$ (6,218)	\$ 120,658	-24.26%	62.58%	-0.92%	22.01%
Software (Entertainment)	297	\$2,530,194	\$1,843,089	\$3,075,331	\$3,059,148	\$ (687,105)	\$ 1,232,242	\$ (16,183)	\$ 528,954	-27.16%	66.86%	-0.53%	20.91%
Electrical Equipment	890	\$ 894,227	\$ 678,000	\$1,041,728	\$1,070,976	\$ (216,228)	\$ 363,728	\$ 29,248	\$ 176,749	-24.18%	53.65%	2.81%	19.77%
Computers/Peripherals	328	\$2,216,118	\$1,565,486	\$2,912,841	\$2,626,303	\$ (650,632)	\$ 1,347,355	\$ (286,538)	\$ 410,185	-29.36%	86.07%	-9.84%	18.51%
Semiconductor	539	\$2,059,092	\$1,457,139	\$2,419,298	\$2,407,639	\$ (601,953)	\$ 962,159	\$ (11,659)	\$ 348,547	-29.23%	66.03%	-0.48%	16.93%

# No place to hide...

	Equities				Treasuries		Corporate Bonds			Commodities			Currencies		Precious Metals		Cryptos		Real Estate
	S&P 500	S&P 600	S&P Euro 350	S&P Em Mkt BMI	3 month T.Bill	10-yr T.Bond	AAA	BBB	CCC & lower	Brent Crude	Copper	S&P WCI	\$ vs Developed	\$ vs Emerging	Gold Price per oz	Silver Price per oz	Bitcoin	Ehtereum	S&P Real Estate
S&P 500	1.0000																		
S&P 600	0.8885	1.0000																	
S&P Euro 350	0.7047	0.7379	1.0000																
S&P Em Mkt BMI	0.5991	0.5697	0.6261	1.0000															
3 month T.Bill	-0.0576	-0.0759	-0.0736	-0.0982	1.0000														
10-yr T.Bond	-0.4780	-0.4568	-0.4007	-0.2907	0.1300	1.0000													
AAA	0.4652	0.4229	0.4343	0.5591	-0.0649	-0.2957	1.0000												
BBB	0.4825	0.4362	0.4679	0.5321	-0.0689	-0.3043	0.7765	1.0000											
CCC & lower	0.6010	0.5993	0.6544	0.5703	-0.0975	-0.4074	0.5661	0.6867	1.0000										
Brent Crude	0.3340	0.2770	0.3502	0.3454	-0.0607	-0.2029	0.1303	0.1865	0.2768	1.0000									
Copper	0.3681	0.3807	0.4359	0.3812	-0.0943	-0.1952	0.2710	0.3155	0.3742	0.3301	1.0000								
S&P WCI	0.3949	0.3858	0.4334	0.4465	-0.1079	-0.2536	0.2870	0.3608	0.3901	0.6676	0.3835	1.0000							
\$ vs Developed	-0.1718	-0.2315	-0.2863	-0.2767	0.0805	-0.1883	-0.2850	-0.3608	-0.3423	-0.0935	-0.3899	-0.1296	1.0000						
\$ vs Emerging	-0.5381	-0.5659	-0.6338	-0.4779	0.0728	0.2579	-0.3987	-0.5496	-0.6486	-0.2640	-0.4768	-0.3916	0.5965	1.0000					
Gold Price per oz	0.1704	0.1069	0.1341	0.2228	0.0173	-0.0458	0.1932	0.1814	0.1132	0.0957	0.1251	0.0717	-0.2234	-0.1914	1.0000				
Silver Price per oz	0.2375	0.2082	0.2092	0.3345	-0.0620	-0.1302	0.2124	0.2752	0.2452	0.1181	0.1936	0.1949	-0.3116	-0.3229	0.7740	1.0000			
Bitcoin	0.4291	0.4223	0.4101	0.3439	-0.0362	-0.0708	0.1234	0.1142	0.2584	0.2091	0.1660	0.2992	-0.2172	-0.3194	0.1029	0.1550	1.0000		
Ehtereum	0.4496	0.4264	0.3816	0.3283	0.0248	-0.0831	0.1292	0.1375	0.2889	0.1868	0.1647	0.2608	-0.1912	-0.2930	0.1221	0.1693	0.8478	1.0000	
S&P Real Estate	0.8923	0.8492	0.6301	0.5237	-0.0101	-0.4441	0.4808	0.5154	0.6085	0.2655	0.2985	0.3216	-0.1981	-0.5263	0.1965	0.2619	0.3460	0.3674	1.0000





# A Reallocation of Value: The Micro Stories

# Value versus Growth: A pre-crisis lead in..

Value vs Growth: US Stocks, by decade

	<i>Lowest PBV</i>	<i>Highest PBV</i>	<i>Difference</i>	<i>Lowest PE</i>	<i>Highest PE</i>	<i>Difference</i>
1930-39	6.04%	4.27%	1.77%	NA	NA	NA
1940-49	22.96%	7.43%	15.53%	NA	NA	NA
1950-59	25.06%	20.92%	4.14%	34.33%	19.16%	15.17%
1960-69	13.23%	9.57%	3.66%	15.27%	9.79%	5.48%
1970-79	17.05%	3.89%	13.16%	14.83%	2.28%	12.54%
1980-89	24.48%	12.94%	11.54%	18.38%	14.46%	3.92%
1990-99	20.17%	21.88%	-1.71%	21.61%	22.03%	-0.41%
2000-09	8.59%	-0.49%	9.08%	13.84%	0.61%	13.23%
<b>2010-19</b>	<b>11.27%</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>-5.39%</b>	<b>11.35%</b>	<b>17.09%</b>	<b>-5.75%</b>

*The under performance of value has played out not only in the mutual fund business, but it has also brought many legendary value investors down to earth. We were told that this was temporary, and that a crisis would put value back on top again...*

# PE and Market Returns – During Crisis

decile(Trailing PE)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Bottom decile	2,333	\$ 1,755,010	\$ 1,422,217	\$ 1,774,142	\$ 1,698,243	\$ (332,794)	\$ 351,925	\$ (75,899)	\$ (56,767)	-18.96%	24.74%	-4.28%	-3.23%
2nd decile	2,334	\$ 2,971,983	\$ 2,262,564	\$ 2,842,712	\$ 2,708,398	\$ (709,418)	\$ 580,147	\$ (134,314)	\$ (263,585)	-23.87%	25.64%	-4.72%	-8.87%
3rd decile	2,334	\$ 3,798,983	\$ 2,781,595	\$ 3,659,654	\$ 3,537,429	\$ (1,017,388)	\$ 878,059	\$ (122,225)	\$ (261,554)	-26.78%	31.57%	-3.34%	-6.88%
4th decile	2,334	\$ 6,130,592	\$ 4,337,513	\$ 5,772,392	\$ 5,875,533	\$ (1,793,079)	\$ 1,434,879	\$ 103,141	\$ (255,059)	-29.25%	33.08%	1.79%	-4.16%
5th decile	2,334	\$ 7,435,170	\$ 5,185,493	\$ 7,227,482	\$ 6,924,120	\$ (2,249,677)	\$ 2,041,989	\$ (303,362)	\$ (511,050)	-30.26%	39.38%	-4.20%	-6.87%
6th decile	2,333	\$ 8,806,792	\$ 6,406,899	\$ 8,908,535	\$ 8,588,767	\$ (2,399,893)	\$ 2,501,636	\$ (319,768)	\$ (218,025)	-27.25%	39.05%	-3.59%	-2.48%
7th decile	2,334	\$ 18,241,633	\$ 13,447,184	\$ 20,211,926	\$ 19,102,554	\$ (4,794,449)	\$ 6,764,742	\$ (1,109,373)	\$ 860,921	-26.28%	50.31%	-5.49%	4.72%
8th decile	2,334	\$ 10,112,133	\$ 7,327,847	\$ 10,526,888	\$ 10,222,756	\$ (2,784,286)	\$ 3,199,041	\$ (304,132)	\$ 110,623	-27.53%	43.66%	-2.89%	1.09%
9th decile	2,334	\$ 10,213,139	\$ 7,649,640	\$ 11,653,529	\$ 11,044,945	\$ (2,563,499)	\$ 4,003,889	\$ (608,584)	\$ 831,806	-25.10%	52.34%	-5.22%	8.14%
Top decile	2,334	\$ 5,154,457	\$ 3,549,939	\$ 5,701,200	\$ 5,467,262	\$ (1,604,519)	\$ 2,151,261	\$ (233,938)	\$ 312,805	-31.13%	60.60%	-4.10%	6.07%
Negative Earnings	19,107	\$ 12,710,669	\$ 7,876,605	\$ 11,990,144	\$ 11,357,769	\$ (4,834,064)	\$ 4,113,539	\$ (632,375)	\$ (1,352,899)	-38.03%	52.22%	-5.27%	-10.64%
Global	42,445	\$ 87,330,562	\$ 62,247,496	\$ 74,920,290	\$ 87,744,240	\$ (25,083,065)	\$ 12,672,794	\$ 12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%

# Dividends and Market Returns

quintile(Dividend Yield)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	5/22/20	8/14/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 5/22	5/22 - 8/14	2/14 - 8/14	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 5/22	5/22 - 8/14	2/14 - 8/14
Non-dividend paying	26,088	\$ 24,416,274	\$ 17,683,438	\$ 22,096,476	\$ 26,078,982	\$(6,732,837)	\$ 4,413,038	\$ 3,982,506	\$ 1,662,708	-27.58%	24.96%	18.02%	6.81%
Bottom quintile	3,576	\$ 12,298,324	\$ 8,992,480	\$ 10,976,052	\$ 13,261,223	\$(3,305,844)	\$ 1,983,572	\$ 2,285,171	\$ 962,899	-26.88%	22.06%	20.82%	7.83%
2nd quintile	3,576	\$ 17,146,283	\$ 12,023,053	\$ 14,984,816	\$ 17,827,271	\$(5,123,230)	\$ 2,961,763	\$ 2,842,455	\$ 680,988	-29.88%	24.63%	18.97%	3.97%
3rd quintile	3,577	\$ 16,104,332	\$ 11,183,049	\$ 13,128,188	\$ 14,992,988	\$(4,921,283)	\$ 1,945,139	\$ 1,864,800	\$(1,111,344)	-30.56%	17.39%	14.20%	-6.90%
4th quintile	3,576	\$ 13,396,923	\$ 9,275,069	\$ 10,471,358	\$ 11,969,783	\$(4,121,854)	\$ 1,196,289	\$ 1,498,425	\$(1,427,140)	-30.77%	12.90%	14.31%	-10.65%
Top quintile	3,577	\$ 7,036,275	\$ 4,963,652	\$ 5,553,475	\$ 6,219,322	\$(2,072,623)	\$ 589,823	\$ 665,847	\$ (816,954)	-29.46%	11.88%	11.99%	-11.61%

# Momentum and Damage

Mkt Cap Change (2/14/19)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Bottom decile	3,865	\$ 462,520	\$ 297,389	\$ 506,127	\$ 488,936	\$ (165,130)	\$ 208,738	\$ (17,191)	\$ 26,416	-35.70%	70.19%	-3.40%	5.71%
2nd decile	3,866	\$ 1,780,767	\$ 1,241,333	\$ 1,669,163	\$ 1,568,755	\$ (539,434)	\$ 427,831	\$ (100,408)	\$ (212,012)	-30.29%	34.47%	-6.02%	-11.91%
3rd decile	3,866	\$ 4,241,671	\$ 2,830,604	\$ 3,689,012	\$ 3,448,320	\$ (1,411,067)	\$ 858,408	\$ (240,693)	\$ (793,352)	-33.27%	30.33%	-6.52%	-18.70%
4th decile	3,866	\$ 4,977,529	\$ 3,394,232	\$ 4,515,909	\$ 4,280,305	\$ (1,583,297)	\$ 1,121,677	\$ (235,604)	\$ (697,224)	-31.81%	33.05%	-5.22%	-14.01%
5th decile	3,866	\$ 7,198,682	\$ 5,249,535	\$ 6,574,856	\$ 6,208,734	\$ (1,949,147)	\$ 1,325,321	\$ (366,122)	\$ (989,948)	-27.08%	25.25%	-5.57%	-13.75%
6th decile	3,865	\$ 7,655,049	\$ 5,368,099	\$ 7,273,459	\$ 6,986,724	\$ (2,286,949)	\$ 1,905,359	\$ (286,735)	\$ (668,324)	-29.88%	35.49%	-3.94%	-8.73%
7th decile	3,866	\$ 12,828,994	\$ 9,104,464	\$ 12,419,292	\$ 11,814,370	\$ (3,724,531)	\$ 3,314,828	\$ (604,922)	\$ (1,014,624)	-29.03%	36.41%	-4.87%	-7.91%
8th decile	3,865	\$ 20,073,481	\$ 14,079,065	\$ 20,107,930	\$ 19,647,460	\$ (5,994,415)	\$ 6,028,865	\$ (460,471)	\$ (426,021)	-29.86%	42.82%	-2.29%	-2.12%
9th decile	3,867	\$ 15,805,455	\$ 11,408,965	\$ 17,957,190	\$ 17,231,036	\$ (4,396,490)	\$ 6,548,225	\$ (726,154)	\$ 1,425,581	-27.82%	57.40%	-4.04%	9.02%
Top decile	3,866	\$ 8,719,161	\$ 6,325,292	\$ 11,563,695	\$ 10,896,992	\$ (2,393,869)	\$ 5,238,403	\$ (666,703)	\$ 2,177,831	-27.46%	82.82%	-5.77%	24.98%

# Debt and Value



- The question of whether to borrow money is always a trade off between its tax benefits on the good side and the distress risk it exposes you to on the bad side.
- During this crisis, not surprisingly, companies that have borrowed more money, on a relative basis, have been more damaged than companies that are less indebted.




# The Debt Load..

decile(Net Debt/EBITDA)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Bottom decile	2,561	\$ 2,526,331	\$ 1,977,910	\$ 3,094,261	\$ 3,051,935	\$ (548,421)	\$ 1,116,352	\$ (42,326)	\$ 525,604	-21.71%	56.44%	-1.37%	20.81%
2nd decile	2,562	\$ 4,637,694	\$ 3,571,187	\$ 5,462,377	\$ 5,388,956	\$ (1,066,507)	\$ 1,891,190	\$ (73,421)	\$ 751,263	-23.00%	52.96%	-1.34%	16.20%
3rd decile	2,562	\$ 6,936,438	\$ 5,317,075	\$ 8,154,861	\$ 7,974,930	\$ (1,619,363)	\$ 2,837,786	\$ (179,930)	\$ 1,038,492	-23.35%	53.37%	-2.21%	14.97%
4th decile	2,562	\$ 7,230,286	\$ 5,495,109	\$ 8,377,444	\$ 7,951,663	\$ (1,735,178)	\$ 2,882,335	\$ (425,781)	\$ 721,376	-24.00%	52.45%	-5.08%	9.98%
5th decile	2,562	\$ 14,151,922	\$ 10,635,051	\$ 16,283,389	\$ 15,578,894	\$ (3,516,871)	\$ 5,648,338	\$ (704,495)	\$ 1,426,972	-24.85%	53.11%	-4.33%	10.08%
6th decile	2,562	\$ 10,105,796	\$ 7,328,795	\$ 10,362,916	\$ 9,890,546	\$ (2,777,001)	\$ 3,034,121	\$ (472,370)	\$ (215,249)	-27.48%	41.40%	-4.56%	-2.13%
7th decile	2,562	\$ 11,100,170	\$ 7,596,739	\$ 10,529,678	\$ 9,922,860	\$ (3,503,432)	\$ 2,932,939	\$ (606,818)	\$ (1,177,311)	-31.56%	38.61%	-5.76%	-10.61%
8th decile	2,562	\$ 8,377,931	\$ 5,541,497	\$ 7,739,240	\$ 7,280,637	\$ (2,836,434)	\$ 2,197,743	\$ (458,603)	\$ (1,097,294)	-33.86%	39.66%	-5.93%	-13.10%
9th decile	2,562	\$ 5,026,529	\$ 3,291,975	\$ 4,525,750	\$ 4,361,870	\$ (1,734,554)	\$ 1,233,775	\$ (163,880)	\$ (664,658)	-34.51%	37.48%	-3.62%	-13.22%
Top decile	2,562	\$ 2,463,013	\$ 1,557,556	\$ 2,133,395	\$ 2,004,414	\$ (905,457)	\$ 575,840	\$ (128,982)	\$ (458,599)	-36.76%	36.97%	-6.05%	-18.62%
Negative EBITDA	16,826	\$ 14,774,452	\$ 9,934,604	\$ 13,605,293	\$ 13,121,071	\$ (4,839,848)	\$ 3,670,689	\$ (484,222)	\$ (1,653,381)	-32.76%	36.95%	-3.56%	-11.19%
Global	42,445	\$ 87,330,562	\$ 62,247,496	\$ 74,920,290	\$ 87,744,240	\$ (25,083,065)	\$ 12,672,794	\$ 12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%



# The Corporate Life Cycle




# COVID: Young versus Old

decile(Age of company)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20- 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Bottom decile	3,503	\$ 2,421,697	\$ 1,713,634	\$ 2,826,698	\$ 2,888,084	\$ (708,063)	\$ 1,113,064	\$ 61,385	\$ 466,387	-29.24%	64.95%	2.17%	19.26%
2nd decile	3,693	\$ 3,275,844	\$ 2,354,837	\$ 3,704,221	\$ 3,622,988	\$ (921,007)	\$ 1,349,384	\$ (81,233)	\$ 347,144	-28.12%	57.30%	-2.19%	10.60%
3rd decile	3,088	\$ 3,796,174	\$ 2,844,897	\$ 4,896,346	\$ 4,665,097	\$ (951,277)	\$ 2,051,449	\$ (231,250)	\$ 868,923	-25.06%	72.11%	-4.72%	22.89%
4th decile	4,717	\$ 9,227,590	\$ 7,076,659	\$10,375,119	\$10,052,954	\$ (2,150,930)	\$ 3,298,460	\$ (322,165)	\$ 825,364	-23.31%	46.61%	-3.11%	8.94%
5th decile	3,455	\$ 5,548,369	\$ 4,314,115	\$ 6,673,329	\$ 6,269,467	\$ (1,234,254)	\$ 2,359,214	\$ (403,862)	\$ 721,098	-22.25%	54.69%	-6.05%	13.00%
6th decile	3,802	\$ 4,439,174	\$ 3,334,530	\$ 4,656,948	\$ 4,556,857	\$ (1,104,644)	\$ 1,322,418	\$ (100,091)	\$ 117,683	-24.88%	39.66%	-2.15%	2.65%
7th decile	4,041	\$ 8,847,988	\$ 6,290,382	\$ 9,540,459	\$ 8,938,124	\$ (2,557,606)	\$ 3,250,077	\$ (602,335)	\$ 90,136	-28.91%	51.67%	-6.31%	1.02%
8th decile	3,964	\$ 8,798,192	\$ 6,036,256	\$ 9,023,653	\$ 8,510,063	\$ (2,761,936)	\$ 2,987,397	\$ (513,590)	\$ (288,129)	-31.39%	49.49%	-5.69%	-3.27%
9th decile	3,796	\$10,117,591	\$ 7,027,533	\$ 9,792,003	\$ 9,924,204	\$ (3,090,058)	\$ 2,764,470	\$ 132,201	\$ (193,387)	-30.54%	39.34%	1.35%	-1.91%
Top decile	3,816	\$27,265,362	\$18,635,738	\$24,952,610	\$23,458,255	\$ (8,629,624)	\$ 6,316,872	\$ (1,494,355)	\$ (3,807,106)	-31.65%	33.90%	-5.99%	-13.96%
Missing	4,570	\$ 3,592,582	\$ 2,618,915	\$ 3,827,218	\$ 3,641,685	\$ (973,666)	\$ 1,208,302	\$ (185,533)	\$ 49,103	-27.10%	46.14%	-4.85%	1.37%
Global	42,445	\$87,330,562	\$62,247,496	\$74,920,290	\$87,744,240	\$ (25,083,065)	\$12,672,794	\$12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%

# COVID: High Growth versus Low Growth

decile (Est. Annual Revenue)	Number of firms	Market Cap (\$ Millions)				\$ Change in Market Cap				% Change in Market Cap			
		2/14/20	3/20/20	8/28/20	11/1/20	2/14 - 3/20	3/20 - 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1	2/14 - 3/20	3/20 - 9/1	9/1-11/1	2/14 - 11/1
Bottom decile	1,358	\$ 8,033,306	\$ 4,958,887	\$ 6,421,657	\$ 5,814,363	\$ (3,074,419)	\$ 1,462,770	\$ (607,294)	\$ (2,218,943)	-38.27%	29.50%	-9.46%	-27.62%
2nd decile	1,357	\$ 7,372,479	\$ 4,733,248	\$ 6,206,061	\$ 5,850,825	\$ (2,639,231)	\$ 1,472,813	\$ (355,236)	\$ (1,521,654)	-35.80%	31.12%	-5.72%	-20.64%
3rd decile	1,358	\$ 9,513,228	\$ 6,361,069	\$ 8,483,436	\$ 7,986,898	\$ (3,152,158)	\$ 2,122,367	\$ (496,538)	\$ (1,526,330)	-33.13%	33.36%	-5.85%	-16.04%
4th decile	1,353	\$ 10,851,227	\$ 7,463,872	\$ 9,981,228	\$ 9,407,862	\$ (3,387,354)	\$ 2,517,356	\$ (573,366)	\$ (1,443,364)	-31.22%	33.73%	-5.74%	-13.30%
5th decile	1,360	\$ 10,077,446	\$ 7,379,681	\$ 9,934,055	\$ 9,473,061	\$ (2,697,765)	\$ 2,554,374	\$ (460,994)	\$ (604,385)	-26.77%	34.61%	-4.64%	-6.00%
6th decile	1,360	\$ 8,893,613	\$ 6,421,250	\$ 8,932,329	\$ 8,636,950	\$ (2,472,364)	\$ 2,511,079	\$ (295,379)	\$ (256,664)	-27.80%	39.11%	-3.31%	-2.89%
7th decile	1,349	\$ 8,263,269	\$ 6,019,432	\$ 9,694,771	\$ 9,021,311	\$ (2,243,837)	\$ 3,675,339	\$ (673,460)	\$ 758,042	-27.15%	61.06%	-6.95%	9.17%
8th decile	1,357	\$ 6,949,329	\$ 5,137,478	\$ 7,972,869	\$ 7,738,244	\$ (1,811,851)	\$ 2,835,391	\$ (234,625)	\$ 788,914	-26.07%	55.19%	-2.94%	11.35%
9th decile	1,372	\$ 8,461,941	\$ 6,697,267	\$ 11,446,466	\$ 11,552,523	\$ (1,764,674)	\$ 4,749,199	\$ 106,056	\$ 3,090,582	-20.85%	70.91%	0.93%	36.52%
Top decile	1,361	\$ 3,197,077	\$ 2,428,102	\$ 5,230,861	\$ 5,246,910	\$ (768,975)	\$ 2,802,759	\$ 16,049	\$ 2,049,834	-24.05%	115.43%	0.31%	64.12%
Global	42,445	\$ 87,330,562	\$ 62,247,496	\$ 74,920,290	\$ 87,744,240	\$ (25,083,065)	\$ 12,672,794	\$ 12,823,950	\$ 413,679	-28.72%	20.36%	17.12%	0.47%

# The Strong get stronger... The FANGAM stocks...





# A Unifying Theory: The Resilience of Risk Capital


# Venture Capital: The COVID effect

VC Investing during COVID: By Type



# IPOs: The COVID effect

IPOs by Quarter: Including COVID quarters





# A closing thought...

