VALUATION: FOUR LESSONS TO TAKE AWAY!

July 2015
Aswath Damodaran

1. Don't mistake accounting for finance

Valued based upon motive for investment – some marked to market, some recorded at cost and some at quasi-cost

Assets are recorded at original cost, adjusted for depreciation.

The Balance Sheet

Assets		Liabilities	
Long Lived Real Assets	Fixed Assets	Current Liabilties	Short-term liabilities of the firm
Short-lived Assets	Current Assets	Debt	Debt obligations of firm
Investments in securities & assets of other firms	Financial Investments	Other Liabilities	Other long-term obligations
Assets which are not physical, like patents & trademarks	Intangible Assets	Equity	Equity investment in firm

True intangible assets like brand name, patents and customer did not show up. The only intangible asset of any magnitude (goodwill) is a plug variable that is of consequence only if you do an acquisition.

Equity reflects original capital invested and historical retained earnings.

2

The financial balance sheet

Recorded at intrinsic value (based upon cash flows and risk), not at original cost

| Assets		Liabilities	
Existing Investments Generate cashflows today Includes long lived (fixed) and short-lived(working capital) assets	Assets in Place	Debt	Fixed Claim on cash flows Little or No role in management *Fixed Maturity* *Tax Deductible*
Expected Value that will be created by future investments	Growth Assets	Equity	Residual Claim on cash flows Significant Role in management *Perpetual Lives*

Value will depend upon magnitude of growth investments and excess returns on these investments

Intrinsic value of equity, reflecting intrinsic value of assets, net of true value of debt outstanding.

2. Don't mistake modeling for valuation

What is the **value added** by growth assets?
Equity: Growth in equity earnings/ cashflows
Firm: Growth in operating earnings/ cashflows

What are the **cashflows from existing assets**?
- Equity: Cashflows after debt payments
- Firm: Cashflows before debt payments

How **risky are the cash flows** from both existing assets and growth assets?
Equity: Risk in equity in the company
Firm: Risk in the firm's operations

When will the firm become a **mature firm**, and what are the potential roadblocks?

Aswath Damodaran

# Intrinsic value is simple: We choose to make it complex

For cash flow generating assets, the intrinsic value will be a function of the magnitude of the expected cash flows on the asset over its lifetime and the uncertainty about receiving those cash flows.

1. *The IT Proposition*: If "it" does not affect the cash flows or alter risk (thus changing discount rates), "it" cannot affect value.

2. *The DUH Proposition*: For an asset to have value, the expected cash flows have to be positive some time over the life of the asset.

3. *The DON'T FREAK OUT Proposition*: Assets that generate cash flows early in their life will be worth more than assets that generate cash flows later; the latter may however have greater growth and higher cash flows to compensate.

Aswath Damodaran

DCF as a tool for intrinsic valuation

Value of growth
The future cash flows will reflect expectations of how quickly earnings will grow in the future (as a positive) and how much the company will have to reinvest to generate that growth (as a negative). The net effect will determine the value of growth.
Expected Cash Flow in year t = E(CF) = Expected Earnings in year t - Reinvestment needed for growth

Cash flows from existing assets
The base earnings will reflect the earnings power of the existing assets of the firm, net of taxes and any reinvestment needed to sustain the base earnings.

Steady state
The value of growth comes from the capacity to generate excess returns. The length of your growth period comes from the strength & sustainability of your competitive advantages.

$$\text{Value of asset} = \frac{E(CF_1)}{(1+r)} + \frac{E(CF_2)}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{E(CF_3)}{(1+r)^3} ..... + \frac{E(CF_n)}{(1+r)^n}$$

Risk in the Cash flows
The risk in the investment is captured in the discount rate as a beta in the cost of equity and the default spread in the cost of debt.

Aswath Damodaran

1. Cash Flows

To get to cash flow	Here is why
Operating Earnings	This is the earnings before interest & taxes you generate from your existing assets. Operating Earnings = Revenues * Operating Margin Measures the operating efficiency of your assets & can be grown either by growing revenues and/or improving margins.
(minus) Taxes	These are the taxes you would pay on your operating income and are a function of the tax code under which you operate & your fidelity to that code.
(minus) Reinvestment	Reinvestment is designed to generate future growth and can be in long term and short term assets. Higher growth usually requires more reinvestment, and the efficiency of growth is a function of how much growth you can get for your reinvestment.
Free Cash Flow to the Firm	This is a pre-debt cash flow that will be shared by lenders (as interest & principal payments) and by equity investors (as dividends & buybacks).

2. Discount rates

Expected Return on a Risky Investment = Cost of Equity

=

| **Risk free Rate** Rate of return on a long term, default free bond. | + | **Beta** Relative measure of risk added to a diversified portfolio. | X | **Equity Risk Premium** Premium investors demand over and above the risk free rate for investing in equities as a class. |

Will vary across currencies and across time.

Determined by the business or businesses that you operate in, with more exposure to macro economic risk translating into a higher beta.

*Function of the countries that you do business in and how much value you derive from each country.*

Aswath Damodaran

Risk free Rates in different currencies

Riskfree Rates: January 2015

Aswath Damodaran

ERP : Jan 2015

Western Europe

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium	Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Andorra	8.15%	2.40%	Italy	8.60%	2.85%
Austria	5.75%	0.00%	Jersey	6.35%	0.60%
Belgium	6.65%	0.90%	Liechtenstein	5.75%	0.00%
Cyprus	15.50%	9.75%	Luxembourg	5.75%	0.00%
Denmark	5.75%	0.00%	Malta	7.55%	1.80%
Finland	5.75%	0.00%	Netherlands	5.75%	0.00%
France	6.35%	0.60%	Norway	5.75%	0.00%
Germany	5.75%	0.00%	Portugal	9.50%	3.75%
Greece	17.00%	11.25%	Spain	8.60%	2.85%
Guernsey	6.35%	0.60%	Sweden	5.75%	0.00%
Iceland	9.05%	3.30%	Switzerland	5.75%	0.00%
Ireland	8.15%	2.40%	Turkey	9.05%	3.30%
Isle of Man	6.35%	0.60%	UK	6.35%	0.60%
W. Europe	**6.88%**	**1.13%**			

North America

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Canada	5.75%	0.00%
US	5.75%	0.00%
North America	**5.75%**	**0.00%**

Latin America

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Argentina	17.00%	11.25%
Belize	19.25%	13.50%
Bolivia	11.15%	5.40%
Brazil	8.60%	2.85%
Chile	6.65%	0.90%
Colombia	8.60%	2.85%
Costa Rica	9.50%	3.75%
Ecuador	15.50%	9.75%
El Salvador	11.15%	5.40%
Guatemala	9.50%	3.75%
Honduras	15.50%	9.75%
Mexico	7.55%	1.80%
Nicaragua	15.50%	9.75%
Panama	8.60%	2.85%
Paraguay	10.25%	4.50%
Peru	7.55%	1.80%
Suriname	11.15%	5.40%
Uruguay	8.60%	2.85%
Venezuela	17.00%	11.25%
Latin America	**9.95%**	**4.20%**

Africa

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Angola	10.25%	4.50%
Botswana	7.03%	1.28%
Burkina Faso	15.50%	9.75%
Cameroon	14.00%	8.25%
Cape Verde	14.00%	8.25%
Congo (DR)	15.50%	9.75%
Congo (Republic)	11.15%	5.40%
Côte d'Ivoire	12.50%	6.75%
Egypt	17.00%	11.25%
Ethiopia	12.50%	6.75%
Gabon	11.15%	5.40%
Ghana	14.00%	8.25%
Kenya	12.50%	6.75%
Morocco	9.50%	3.75%
Mozambique	12.50%	6.75%
Namibia	9.05%	3.30%
Nigeria	11.15%	5.40%
Rwanda	14.00%	8.25%
Senegal	12.50%	6.75%
South Africa	8.60%	2.85%
Tunisia	11.15%	5.40%
Uganda	12.50%	6.75%
Zambia	12.50%	6.75%
Africa	**11.73%**	**5.98%**

Eastern Europe

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium	Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Albania	12.50%	6.75%	Montenegro	11.15%	5.40%
Armenia	10.25%	4.50%	Poland	7.03%	1.28%
Azerbaijan	9.05%	3.30%	Romania	9.05%	3.30%
Belarus	15.50%	9.75%	Russia	8.60%	2.85%
Bosnia	15.50%	.75%	Serbia	12.50%	6.75%
Bulgaria	8.60%	2.85%	Slovakia	7.03%	1.28%
Croatia	9.50%	3.75%	Slovenia	9.50%	3.75%
Czech Repub	6.80%	1.05%	Ukraine	20.75%	15.00%
Estonia	6.80%	1.05%	**E. Europe**	**9.08%**	**3.33%**
Georgia	11.15%	5.40%			
Hungary	9.50%	3.75%			
Kazakhstan	8.60%	2.85%			
Latvia	8.15%	2.40%			
Lithuania	8.15%	2.40%			
Macedonia	11.15%	5.40%			
Moldova	15.50%	9.75%			

Middle East

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Abu Dhabi	6.50%	0.75%
Bahrain	8.60%	2.85%
Israel	6.80%	1.05%
Jordan	12.50%	6.75%
Kuwait	6.50%	0.75%
Lebanon	14.00%	8.25%
Oman	6.80%	1.05%
Qatar	6.50%	0.75%
Ras Al Khaimah	7.03%	1.28%
Saudi Arabia	6.65%	0.90%
Sharjah	7.55%	1.80%
UAE	6.50%	0.75%
Middle East	**6.85%**	**1.10%**

Asia

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Bangladesh	11.15%	5.40%
Cambodia	14.00%	8.25%
China	6.65%	0.90%
Fiji	12.50%	6.75%
Hong Kong	6.35%	0.60%
India	9.05%	3.30%
Indonesia	9.05%	3.30%
Japan	6.80%	1.05%
Korea	6.65%	0.90%
Macao	6.50%	0.75%
Malaysia	7.55%	1.80%
Mauritius	8.15%	2.40%
Mongolia	14.00%	8.25%
Pakistan	17.00%	11.25%
Papua New Guinea	12.50%	6.75%
Philippines	8.60%	2.85%
Singapore	5.75%	0.00%
Sri Lanka	12.50%	6.75%
Taiwan	6.65%	0.90%
Thailand	8.15%	2.40%
Vietnam	12.50%	6.75%
Asia	**7.26%**	**1.51%**

Australia & NZ

Country	Total ERP	Country risk premium
Australia	5.75%	0.00%
Cook Islands	12.50%	6.75%
New Zealand	5.75%	0.00%
Australia & NZ	**5.75%**	**0.00%**

Black #: Total ERP
Red #: Country risk premium
AVG: GDP weighted average

Determinants of Betas

Beta of Equity

Beta of Firm

Nature of product or service offered by company:
Other things remaining equal, the more discretionary the product or service, the higher the beta.

Operating Leverage (Fixed Costs as percent of total costs):
Other things remaining equal the greater the proportion of the costs that are fixed, the higher the beta of the company.

Financial Leverage:
Other things remaining equal, the greater the proportion of capital that a firm raises from debt,the higher its equity beta will be

Implciations
Highly levered firms should have highe betas than firms with less debt.

Implications
1. Cyclical companies should have higher betas than non-cyclical companies.
2. Luxury goods firms should have higher betas than basic goods.
3. High priced goods/service firms should have higher betas than low prices goods/services firms.
4. Growth firms should have higher betas.

Implications
1. Firms with high infrastructure needs and rigid cost structures shoudl have higher betas than firms with flexible cost structures.
2. Smaller firms should have higher betas than larger firms.
3. Young firms should have

11

Bottom-up Betas

Step 1: Find the business or businesses that your firm operates in.

Possible Refinements

Step 2: Find publicly traded firms in each of these businesses and obtain their regression betas. Compute the simple average across these regression betas to arrive at an average beta for these publicly traded firms. Unlever this average beta using the average debt to equity ratio across the publicly traded firms in the sample.
Unlevered beta for business = Average beta across publicly traded firms/ (1 + (1- t) (Average D/E ratio across firms))

If you can, adjust this beta for differences between your firm and the comparable firms on operating leverage and product characteristics.

Step 3: Estimate how much value your firm derives from each of the different businesses it is in.

While revenues or operating income are often used as weights, it is better to try to estimate the value of each business.

Step 4: Compute a weighted average of the unlevered betas of the different businesses (from step 2) using the weights from step 3.
Bottom-up Unlevered beta for your firm = Weighted average of the unlevered betas of the individual business

If you expect the business mix of your firm to change over time, you can change the weights on a year-to-year basis.

Step 5: Compute a levered beta (equity beta) for your firm, using the market debt to equity ratio for your firm.
Levered bottom-up beta = Unlevered beta (1+ (1-t) (Debt/Equity))

If you expect your debt to equity ratio to change over time, the levered beta will change over time.

MPT Quadrant

Accounting Risk Quadrant

The CAPM Beta
Regression beta of stock returns at firm versus stock returns on market index

APM/ Multi-factor Models
Estimate 'betas' against multiple macro risk factors, using past price data

Accounting Earnings Volatility
How volatile is your company's earnings, relative to the average company's earnings?

Sector-average Beta
Average regression beta across all companies in the business(es) that the firm operates in.

Accounting Earnings Beta
Regression beta of changes in earnings at firm versus changes in earnings for market index

Price Variance Model
Standard deviation, relative to the average across all stocks

Relative Risk Measure
How risky is this asset, relative to the average risk investment?

Balance Sheet Ratios
Risk based upon balance sheet ratios (debt ratio, working capital, cash, fixed assets) that measure risk

Debt cost based
Estimate cost of equity based upon cost of debt and relative volatility

Price based, Model Agnostic Quadrant

Implied Beta/ Cost of equity
Estimate a cost of equity for firm or sector based upon price today and expected cash flows in future

Proxy measures
Use a proxy for risk (market cap, sector).

Composite Risk Measures
Use a mix of quantitative (price, ratios) & qualitative analysis (management quality) to estimate relative risk

Intrinsic Risk Quadrant

13   Aswath Damodaran

3. Expected Growth

Expected Growth

Net Income — Operating Income

| Retention Ratio= 1 - Dividends/Net Income | X | Return on Equity Net Income/Book Value of Equity | | Reinvestment Rate = (Net Cap Ex + Chg in WC/EBIT(1-t) | X | Return on Capital = EBIT(1-t)/Book Value of Capital |

- **Quality growth is rare** and requires that a firm be able to reinvest a lot and reinvest well (earnings more than your cost of capital) at the same time.
- **The larger you get**, the more difficult it becomes to maintain quality growth.
- You can **grow while destroying value** at the same time.

And its value...

Excess Return (ROC minus Cost of Capital) for firms with market capitalization> $50 million: Global in 2014

Globally, 11,722 firms (54%) out of 21,711 firms earned less than their cost of capital in 2014

Legend:
- <-5%
- -5% - 0%
- 0 -5%
- 5 -10%
- >10%

Aswath Damodaran

4. The Terminal Value

Move towards a marginal tax rate

Are you reinvesting enough to sustain your stable growth rate?
Reinv Rate = g/ ROC
Is the ROC that of a stable company?

$$\text{Terminal Value}_n = \frac{\text{EBIT}_{n+1} \ (1 - \text{tax rate}) \ (1 - \text{Reinvestment Rate})}{\text{Cost of capital - Expected growth rate}}$$

This is a mature company. Its cost of capital should reflect that.

This growth rate should be less than the nomlnal growth rate of the economy

Aswath Damodaran

If your job is assessing value, here are your challenges…

Value of Growth

Company's history	**Competitors**	**Market potential**
Look at **past growth in revenues & earnings** and **how much the company has had to invest** to generate this growth.	Look at the **growth, profitability & reinvestment at competitors** & determine your competitive advantages	Make a judgment on the **size, growth potential & profitablity of the overall market** served by the company.

Cash flows from existing assets
Based on the **current financial statements** of the company, make assessments of earnings and cash flows from existing assets.

$$\text{Value of asset} = \frac{E(CF_1)}{(1+r)} + \frac{E(CF_2)}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{E(CF_3)}{(1+r)^3} \dots + \frac{E(CF_n)}{(1+r)^n}$$

Steady state
Look at the **largest and most mature companies in** your peer group to make a judgment on when stablity will come to your company & what it will look like.

Risk in the Cash Flows

Past earnings	**Past market prices**	**Peer group**
Look at the variability of past earnings and the sources of the variability.	If your company has been traded historically, get a measure of the variability in stock prices	Look at the costs of funding faced by peer group companies, similar to yours.

Aswath Damodaran

Disney - November 2013

Current Cashflow to Firm
EBIT(1-t)= 10,032(1-.31)=   6,920
- (Cap Ex - Deprecn)   3,629
- Chg Working capital   103
= FCFF   3,188
Reinvestment Rate = 3,732/6920
            =53.93%
Return on capital = 12.61%

Reinvestment Rate
53.93%

Return on Capital
12.61%

Stable Growth
g = 2.5%; Beta = 1.00;
Debt %= 20%; k(debt)=3.75
Cost of capital =7.29%
Tax rate=36.1%; ROC= 10%;
Reinvestment Rate=2.5/10=25%

Expected Growth
.5393*.1261=.068 or 6.8%

First 5 years

Growth declines
gradually to 2.75%

Terminal Value$_{10}$= 9,086/(.0729-.025) = 189,738

Op. Assets   125,484
+ Cash: 3,931
+ Non op inv   2,849
- Debt   15,961
- Minority Int 2,721
=Equity   113,582
-Options   869
Value/Share $ 62.26

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
EBIT * (1 - tax rate)	$7,391	$7,893	$8,430	$9,003	$9,615	$10,187	$10,704	$11,156	$11,531	$11,819
- Reinvestment	$3,985	$4,256	$4,546	$4,855	$5,185	$4,904	$4,534	$4,080	$3,550	$2,955
FCFF	$3,405	$3,637	$3,884	$4,148	$4,430	$5,283	$6,170	$7,076	$7,981	$8,864

Term Yr
12,114
3,029
9,086

Cost of capital declines
gradually to 7.29%

Cost of Capital (WACC) = 8.52% (0.885) + 2.40% (0.115) = 7.81%

In November 2013,
Disney was trading at
$67.71/share

Cost of Equity
8.52%

Cost of Debt
(2.75%+1.00%)(1-.361)
= 2.40%
Based on actual A rating

Weights
E = 88.5% D = 11.5%

Riskfree Rate:
Riskfree rate = 2.75%

\+

Beta
1.0013

X

ERP for operations
5.76%

Unlevered Beta for
Sectors: 0.9239

D/E=13.10%

18   Aswath Damodaran

So, what's different about a young start up?

Figure 3: Estimation Issues - Young and Start-up Companies

Making judgments on revenues/ profits difficult because you cannot draw on history. If you have no product/service, it is difficult to gauge market potential or profitability. The company's entire value lies in future growth but you have little to base your estimate on.

Cash flows from existing assets non-existent or negative.

What is the value added by growth assets?

What are the cashflows from existing assets?

When will the firm become a mature fiirm, and what are the potential roadblocks?

Different claims on cash flows can affect value of equity at each stage.

How risky are the cash flows from both existing assets and growth assets?

Limited historical data on earnings, and no market prices for securities makes it difficult to assess risk.

Will the firm make it through the gauntlet of market demand and competition? Even if it does, assessing when it will become mature is difficult because there is so little to go on.

What is the value of equity in the firm?

19

The Dark Side will beckon.. Don't be tempted..

- With young start up companies, you will be told that it is "too difficult" or even "impossible" to value these companies, because there is so little history and so much uncertainty in the future.

- Instead, you will be asked to come over to the "dark side", where
  - You will see value metrics that you have never seen before
  - You will hear "macro" stories, justifying value
  - You will be asked to play the momentum game

- While all of this behavior is understandable, none of it makes the uncertainty go away. You have a choice. You can either hide from uncertainty or face up to it.

Aswath Damodaran

Twitter: Setting the table in October 2013

	Last 10K	Trailing 12 month
Revenues	$316.93	$534.46
Operating Income	($77.06)	($134.91)
Adjusted Operating Income		$7.66
Invested Capital		$955.00
Adjusted Operating Margin		1.44%
Sales/ Invested Capital		$0.56

Twitter: Priming the Pump for Valuation

1. Make small revenues into big revenues

	2011		2012		2013	
	%	$	%	$	%	$
Google	32.09%	$27.74	31.46%	$32.73	33.24%	$38.83
Facebook	3.65%	$3.15	4.11%	$4.28	5.04%	$5.89
Yahoo!	3.95%	$3.41	3.37%	$3.51	3.10%	$3.62
Microsoft	1.27%	$1.10	1.63%	$1.70	1.78%	$2.08
IAC	1.15%	$0.99	1.39%	$1.45	1.47%	$1.72
AOL	1.17%	$1.01	1.02%	$1.06	0.95%	$1.11
Amazon	0.48%	$0.41	0.59%	$0.61	0.71%	$0.83
Pandora	0.28%	$0.24	0.36%	$0.37	0.50%	$0.58
Twitter	0.16%	$0.14	0.28%	$0.29	0.50%	$0.58
Linkedin	0.18%	$0.16	0.25%	$0.26	0.32%	$0.37
Millennial Media	0.05%	$0.04	0.07%	$0.07	0.10%	$0.12
Other	55.59%	$48.05	55.47%	$57.71	52.29%	$61.09
Total Market	100%	$86.43	100.00%	$104.04	100.00%	$116.82

		Annual growth rate in Global Advertising Spending				
		2.00%	2.50%	3.00%	3.50%	4.00%
Online advertising share of market	20%	$124.78	$131.03	$137.56	$144.39	$151.52
	25%	$155.97	$163.79	$171.95	$180.49	$189.40
	30%	$187.16	$196.54	$206.34	$216.58	$227.28
	35%	$218.36	$229.30	$240.74	$252.68	$265.16
	40%	$249.55	$262.06	$275.13	$288.78	$303.04

My estimate for 2023: Overall online advertising market will be close to $200 billion and Twitter will have about 5.7% ($11.5 billion)

2. Make losses into profits

Company	Operating Margin
Google Inc. (NasdaqGS:GOOG)	22.82%
Facebook, Inc. (NasdaqGS:FB)	29.99%
Yahoo! Inc. (NasdaqGS:YHOO)	13.79%
Netlfix	3.16%
Groupon	2.53%
LinkedIn Corporation (NYSE:LNKD)	5.18%
Pandora Media, Inc. (NYSE:P)	-9.13%
Yelp, Inc. (NYSE:YELP)	-6.19%
OpenTable, Inc. (NasdaqGS:OPEN)	24.90%
RetailMeNot	45.40%
Travelzoo Inc. (NasdaqGS:TZOO)	15.66%
Zillow, Inc. (NasdaqGS:Z)	-66.60%
Trulia, Inc. (NYSE:TRLA)	-6.79%
Aggregate	20.40%

My estimate for Twitter: Operating margin of 25% in year 10

3. Reinvest for growth

	Sales/ Invested Capital
Twitter (2013)	1.10
Advertising Companies	1.40
Social Media Companies	1.05

My estimate for Twitter: Sales/Capital will be 1.50 for next 10 years

Aswath Damodaran

Sweating the small stuff: Risk and Required Return

Risk in the discount rate

My estimate for Twitter

Cost of capital = 11.12% (.981) + 5.16% (.019) = 11.01%

Cost of Equity
11.12%

Cost of Debt
(2.5%+5.5%)(1-.40)
= 5.16%

Weights
E = 98.11% D = 1.89%

Riskfree Rate:
Riskfree rate = 2.5%

+

Beta
1.40

X

Risk Premium
6.15%

75% from US(5.75%) + 25%
from rest of world (7.23%)

90% advertising
(1.44) + 10% info
svcs (1.05)

D/E=1.71%

Cost of Capital: US - Nov '13

Value	
2,500.	
2,000.	
1,500.	
1,000.	
500.	
0.	

<6% | 6%-7% | 7%-8% | 8%-9% | 9-10% | 10-11% | 11-12% | >12%

0%   *Survival Risk*   100%

Probability that the firm will not make it as a going concern

*Certain to make it
as going concern*

*Certain
to fail*

*My assumption for
Twitter*

23

Twitter Pre-IPO Valuation: October 27, 2013

Starting numbers

	Last 10K	Trailing 12 month
Revenues	$316.93	$534.46
Operating income	-$77.06	-$134.91
Adjusted Operating Income		$7.67
Invested Capital		$955.00
Adjusted Operatng Margin		1.44%
Sales/ Invested Capital		0.56
Interest expenses	$2.49	$5.30

Revenue growth of 51.5% a year for 5 years, tapering down to 2.5% in year 10

Pre-tax operating margin increases to 25% over the next 10 years

Sales to capital ratio of **1.50** for incremental sales

Stable Growth
g = 2.5%; Beta = 1.00;
Cost of capital = 8%
ROC= **12**%;
Reinvestment Rate=2.5%/12% = 20.83%

Terminal Value$_{10}$= 1466/(.08-.025) = $26,657

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	$ 810	$1,227	$1,858	$2,816	$4,266	$6,044	$7,973	$9,734	$10,932	$11,205
Operating Income	$ 31	$ 75	$ 158	$ 306	$ 564	$ 941	$1,430	$1,975	$ 2,475	$ 2,801
Operating Income after tax	$ 31	$ 75	$ 158	$ 294	$ 395	$ 649	$ 969	$1,317	$ 1,624	$ 1,807
- Reinvestment	$ 183	$ 278	$ 421	$ 638	$ 967	$1,186	$1,285	$1,175	$ 798	$ 182
FCFF	$(153)	$ (203)	$ (263)	$ (344)	$ (572)	$ (537)	$ (316)	$ 143	$ 826	$ 1,625

Operating assets	$9,705
+ Cash	321
+ IPO Proceeds	1295
- Debt	214
Value of equity	11,106
- Options	713
Value in stock	10,394
/ # of shares	582.46
Value/share	$17.84

Terminal year (11)
EBIT (1-t) $ 1,852
- Reinvestment $ 386
FCFF $ 1,466

Cost of capital = 11.12% (.981) + 5.16% (.019) = 11.01%

Cost of capital decreases to 8% from years 6-10

Cost of Equity
11.12%

Cost of Debt
(2.5%+5.5%)(1-.40)
= 5.16%

Weights
E = 98.1% D = 1.9%

Riskfree Rate:
Riskfree rate = 2.5%

+

Beta
1.40

X

Risk Premium
6.15%

75% from US(5.75%) + 25% from rest of world (7.23%)

90% advertising (1.44) + 10% info svcs (1.05)

D/E=1.71%

A sobering reminder: You will be "wrong" and it is okay

- No matter how careful you are in getting your inputs and how well structured your model is, your estimate of value will change both as new information comes out about the company, the business and the economy.

- As information comes out, you will have to adjust and adapt your model to reflect the information. Rather than be defensive about the resulting changes in value, recognize that this is the essence of risk.

- Remember that it is not just your value that is changing, but so is the price, and the price will change a great deal more than the value.

Aswath Damodaran

Left brain, meet right brain!

Favored Tools
- Accounting statements
- Excel spreadsheets
- Statistical Measures
- Pricing Data

A Good Valuation

Favored Tools
- Anecdotes
- Experience (own or others)
- Behavioral evidence

The Numbers People ←——————→ The Narrative People

Illusions/Delusions
1. Precision: Data is precise
2. Objectivity: Data has no bias
3. Control: Data can control reality

Illusions/Delusions
1. Creativity cannot be quantified
2. If the story is good, the investment will be.
3. Experience is the best teacher

Step 1: Create a narrative

- Every valuation starts with a narrative, a story that you see unfolding for your company in the future.

- In developing this narrative, you will be making assessments of your company (its products, its management), the market or markets that you see it growing in, the competition it faces and will face and the macro environment in which it operates.

My narrative for Uber: Uber will expand the car service market moderately, primarily in urban environments, and use its <u>competitive advantages</u> to get a <u>significant but not dominant market share</u> and maintain its profit margins.

27

Step 2: Check the narrative against history, economic first principles & common sense

Probability of occurrence

Cannot assess　　　　　　　　　　*Low*　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　*Increasing*

"IT" IS POSSIBLE
This could happen, but you are not sure what "this" is, when it will happen and what it will look like when it does.

Gauge market potential & test products

"IT" IS PLAUSIBLE
This is something that you can make a reasoned argument could happen, though you have no tangible evidence for it happening (yet).

Product success & Financial results

IT IS PROBABLE
This is something that you expect to happen, with some basis or evidence for that expectation. There can be substantial uncertainty in your expectations.

VALUATION RESPONSE
Value as an option, with the value increasing with the size of the possible market and the exclusivity of your firm's access to that market.

VALUATION RESPONSE
Show as expected growth, adjusting for risk in your expected return. Value will increase with size of the market and your firm's competitive advantages.

VALUATION RESPONSE
Show in base year numbers and expected cash flows, adjusting for risk in your expected return.

Aswath Damodaran

Step 3: Connect your narrative to key drivers of value

Total Market	**Big market** (China, Retailing, Autos) narratives will show up as a big number here
X	
Market Share	**Networking and Winner-take-all** narratives show up as a high market share
=	
Revenues (Sales)	**Strong competitive edge** narratives show up as a combination of high market share and high operating margin (operating income as % of sales)
-	
Operating Expenses	
=	
Operating Income	
-	
Taxes	
=	
After-tax Operating Income	**Easy scaling** (where companies can grow quickly, easily and at low cost) narratives will show up as low reinvestment given growth in sales.
-	
Reinvestment	
=	
After-tax Cash Flow	**Low risk** narratives show up as a lower discount rate or a lower probability of failure.
Adjust for time value & risk	
Adjusted for operating risk with a discount rate and for failure with a probability of failure.	**VALUE OF OPERATING ASSETS**

Step 4: Value the company

Uber: Intrinsic valuation - June 8, 2014 (in US $)

Stable Growth (after year 10)
Expected growth rate = 2.50%
Cost of capital = 8%
Return on capital= 25%
Reinvestment Rate= 2.5%/25% = 10%

Terminal Value$_{10}$= 793/(.08-025) = $14,418

Global taxi market is $100 billlion currently, expected to grow 6% a year for next ten years.

Uber will keep 20% of the gross cab receipts as its revenues

Uber's market share of this market will increase to 10% over the next 10 years.

Term yr
EBIT (1-t) $881
- Reinv   88
FCFF $793

Uber's operating expenses will amount to 60% of its revenues. (Operating margin=40%)

Uber will pay a tax rate of 30% on its income, increasing to 40% over the next 10 years

Uber will generate $5 in incremental revenues for every dollar of incremental capital.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Overall market	$106,000	$112,360	$119,102	$126,248	$133,823	$141,852	$150,363	$159,385	$168,948	$179,085
Share of market (gross)	3.63%	5.22%	6.41%	7.31%	7.98%	8.49%	8.87%	9.15%	9.36%	10.00%
Revenues as percent of gross	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%
Annual Revenue	$769	$1,173	$1,528	$1,846	$2,137	$2,408	$2,666	$2,916	$3,163	$3,582
Operating margin	7.00%	10.67%	14.33%	18.00%	21.67%	25.33%	29.00%	32.67%	36.33%	40.00%
Operating Income	$54	$125	$219	$332	$463	$610	$773	$953	$1,149	$1,433
Effective tax rate	31%	32%	33%	34%	35%	36%	37%	38%	39%	40%
- Taxes	$17	$40	$72	$113	$162	$220	$286	$362	$448	$573
After-tax operating income	$37	$85	$147	$219	$301	$390	$487	$591	$701	$860
Sales/Capital Ratio	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
- Reinvestment	$94	$81	$71	$64	$58	$54	$52	$50	$49	$84
Free Cash Flow to the Firm	-$57	$4	$76	$156	$243	$336	$435	$541	$652	$776

Based on the investment of $1.2 billion made by investors, the imputed value for Uber's operating assets, in June 2014 ,was $17 billion.

Value of operating assets = $6,595

Discount back the cash flows (including terminal value) at the cumulated cost of capital.

Adust for probability of failure (10%)
Expected value = $6,595 (.9) = $5,895

Cost of capital for first 5 years = Top decile of US companies = 12%

Cost of capital declines from 12% to 8% from years 6 to 10.

Step 5: Keep the feedback loop

	Uber (Gurley)	*Uber (Gurley Mod)*	*Uber (Damodaran)*
Narrative	Uber will expand the car service market substantially, bringing in mass transit users & non-users from the suburbs into the market, and use its networking advantage to gain a dominant market share, while maintaining its revenue slice at 20%.	Uber will expand the car service market substantially, bringing in mass transit users & non-users from the suburbs into the market, and use its networking advantage to gain a dominant market share, while cutting prices and margins (to 10%).	Uber will expand the car service market moderately, primarily in urban environments, and use its competitive advantages to get a significant but not dominant market share and maintain its revenue slice at 20%.
Total Market	$300 billion, growing at 3% a year	$300 billion, growing at 3% a year	$100 billion, growing at 6% a year
Market Share	40%	40%	10%
Uber's revenue slice	20%	10%	20%
Value for Uber	$53.4 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market ($10 billion+)	$28.7 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market ($6 billion+)	$5.9 billion + Option value of entering car ownership market ($2-3 billion)

Aswath Damodaran

Step 6: Be ready to modify narrative as events unfold

Narrative Break/End	Narrative Shift	Narrative Change (Expansion or Contraction)
Events, external (legal, political or economic) or internal (management, competitive, default), that can cause the narrative to break or end.	Improvement or deterioration in initial business model, changing market size, market share and/or profitability.	Unexpected entry/success in a new market or unexpected exit/failure in an existing market.
Your valuation estimates (cash flows, risk, growth & value) are no longer operative	Your valuation estimates will have to be modified to reflect the new data about the company.	Valuation estimates have to be redone with new overall market potential and characteristics.
Estimate a probability that it will occur & consequences	Monte Carlo simulations or scenario analysis	Real Options

Aswath Damodaran

3. Don't mistake price for value!

Drivers of intrinsic value
- Cashflows from existing assets
- Growth in cash flows
- Quality of Growth

Drivers of price
- Market moods & momentum
- Surface stories about fundamentals

Accounting Estimates

Valuation Estimates

INTRINSIC VALUE

Value

THE GAP
Is there one?
If so, will it close?
If it will close, what will cause it to close?

Price

PRICE

Aswath Damodaran

Test 1: Are you pricing or valuing?

Test 2: Are you pricing or valuing?

Europe
Switzerland

Biotechnology
Biotechnology

	Reuters	Bloomberg	Exchange	Ticker
	BION.S	BION SW	SWX	BION

Price at 12 Aug 2013 (CHF)	124.00
Price Target (CHF)	164.50
52-week range (CHF)	128.40 - 84.90

Strong sector and stock-picking continue

Impressive performance

Over the past two years, BB Biotech shares have roughly tripled, which could tempt investors to take profits. However, this performance has been well backed by a deserved revival of the biotech industry, encouraging fundamental news, M&A, and increased money flow into health care stocks. In addition, BBB returned to index outperformance by modifying its stock-picking approach. Hence, despite excellent performance, the shares still trade at a 23% discount to the net asset value of the portfolio. Hence, the shares are an attractive value vehicle to capture growth opportunities in an attractive sector.

Biotech industry remains attractive

With the re-rating of the pharma sector, investors have also showed increased interest in biotech stocks. Established biotech stocks have delivered encouraging financial results and approvals, while there has also been substantial industry consolidation, which is not surprising in times of "cheap" money and high liquidity. BB Biotech remains an attractive vehicle to capture the future potential of the biotech sector. In addition, investors benefit from a 23% discount to NAV and attractive cash distribution policy of 5% yield p.a. Hence, we reiterate our Buy on BB Biotech shares.

Key changes

Target Price	106.50 to 164.50 ↑	54.5%

Source: Deutsche Bank

Price/price relative

| BB BIOTECH |
| SPI Swiss Performanc (Rebased) |

Performance (%)	1m	3m	12m
Absolute	-1.4	5.4	37.4

Aswath Damodaran

Test 3: Are you pricing or valuing?

	1	2	3	4	5
EBITDA	$100.00	$120.00	$144.00	$172.80	$207.36
- Depreciation	$20.00	$24.00	$28.80	$34.56	$41.47
EBIT	$80.00	$96.00	$115.20	$138.24	$165.89
- Taxes	$24.00	$28.80	$34.56	$41.47	$49.77
EBIT (1-t)	$56.00	$67.20	$80.64	$96.77	$116.12
+ Depreciation	$20.00	$24.00	$28.80	$34.56	$41.47
- Cap Ex	$50.00	$60.00	$72.00	$86.40	$103.68
- Chg in WC	$10.00	$12.00	$14.40	$17.28	$20.74
FCFF	$16.00	$19.20	$23.04	$27.65	$33.18
Terminal Value					$1,658.88
Cost of capital	8.25%	8.25%	8.25%	8.25%	8.25%
Present Value	$14.78	$16.38	$18.16	$20.14	$1,138.35
Value of operating assets today	$1,207.81				
+ Cash	$125.00				
- Debt	$200.00				
Value of equity	**$1,132.81**				

Aswath Damodaran

The determinants of price

Mood and Momentum
Price is determined in large part by mood and momentum, which, in turn, are driven by behavioral factors (panic, fear, greed).

Liquidity & Trading Ease
While the value of an asset may not change much from period to period, liquidity and ease of trading can, and as it does, so will the price.

The Market Price

Incremental information
Since you make money on price changes, not price levels, the focus is on incremental information (news stories, rumors, gossip) and how it measures up, relative to expectations

Group Think
To the extent that pricing is about gauging what other investors will do, the price can be determined by the "herd".

Aswath Damodaran

4. Multiples and Comparable Transactions

Market value of equity

Market value for the firm
Firm value = Market value of equity
+ Market value of debt

Market value of operating assets of firm
Enterprise value (EV) = Market value of equity
+ Market value of debt
- Cash

Step 1: Pick a multiple

Numerator = What you are paying for the asset

$$Multiple = \frac{Numerator = What\ you\ are\ paying\ for\ the\ asset}{Denominator = What\ you\ are\ getting\ in\ return}$$

CHOOSE A MULTIPLE

Revenues
a. Accounting revenues
b. Drivers
- # Customers
- # Subscribers
= # units

Earnings
a. To Equity investors
- Net Income
- Earnings per share
b. To Firm
- Operating income (EBIT)

Cash flow
a. To Equity
- Net Income + Depreciation
- Free CF to Equity
b. To Firm
- EBIT + DA (EBITDA)
- Free CF to Firm

Book Value
a. Equity
= BV of equity
b. Firm
= BV of debt + BV of equity
c. Invested Capital
= BV of equity + BV of debt - Cash

Step 2: Choose comparables

| Narrow versus Broad sector/business | Similar market cap or all companies | Country, Region or Global | Other criteria, subjective & objective |

PICK COMPARABLE FIRMS

Step 3: Tell a story

| Risk
- Lower risk for higher value
- Higher risk for lower value | Growth
- Higher growth for higher value
- Lower growth for lower value | Quality of growth
- Higher barriers to entry/moats for higher value
- Lower barriers to entry for lower value |

SPIN/TELL YOUR STORY

To be a better pricer, here are four suggestions

- Check your multiple or consistency/uniformity
  - In use, the same multiple can be defined in different ways by different users. When comparing and using multiples, estimated by someone else, it is critical that we understand how the multiples have been estimated
- Look at all the data, not just the key statistics
  - Too many people who use a multiple have no idea what its cross sectional distribution is. If you do not know what the cross sectional distribution of a multiple is, it is difficult to look at a number and pass judgment on whether it is too high or low.
- Don't forget the fundamentals ultimately matter
  - It is critical that we understand the fundamentals that drive each multiple, and the nature of the relationship between the multiple and each variable.
- Don't define comparables based only on sector
  - Defining the comparable universe and controlling for differences is far more difficult in practice than it is in theory.

Aswath Damodaran

1. Check the Multiple

- Is the multiple consistently defined?
    - The consistency principle: Both the value (the numerator) and the standardizing variable (the denominator) should be to the same claimholders in the firm. In other words, the value of equity should be divided by equity earnings or equity book value, and firm value should be divided by firm earnings or book value.
    - The cost of mismatching: Assets that are not cheap(expensive) will look cheap (expensive), because your mismatch will skew the numbers.
- Is the multiple uniformly estimated?
    - The uniformity rule: The variables used in defining the multiple should be estimated uniformly across assets in the "comparable firm" list.
    - The cost of ignoring this rule: You will be comparing non-comparable numbers and drawing all the wrong conclusions.

Let's try these definitional rules: PE ratio

PE = Market Price per Share / Earnings per Share

☐ There are a number of variants on the basic PE ratio in use. They are based upon how the price and the earnings are defined.

Price: is usually the current price

is sometimes the average price for the year

EPS: EPS in most recent financial year

EPS in trailing 12 months (Trailing PE)

Forecasted EPS in next year (Forward PE)

Forecasted EPS in future year

☐ Even though PE ratios are consistent at their most general level, there are sub-level consistency tests that you have to meet including:

◻ Should you use primary, diluted or partially diluted earnings per share?

◻ What do you do about cash balances at companies and the effects they have on market capitalization and earnings?

Aswath Damodaran

2. Play Moneyball: Let the numbers talk (not the analysts)

- [ ] What is the average and standard deviation for this multiple, across the universe (market)?
- [ ] What is the median for this multiple?
  - The median for this multiple is often a more reliable comparison point.
- [ ] How large are the outliers to the distribution, and how do we deal with the outliers?
  - Throwing out the outliers may seem like an obvious solution, but if the outliers all lie on one side of the distribution (they usually are large positive numbers), this can lead to a biased estimate.
- [ ] Are there cases where the multiple cannot be estimated? Will ignoring these cases lead to a biased estimate of the multiple?
- [ ] How has this multiple changed over time?

Aswath Damodaran

Multiples have skewed distributions…

PE Ratios for US stocks: January 2015

43

Making statistics "dicey"

	Current PE	Trailing PE	Forward PE
Number of firms	7887	7887	7887
Number with PE	3403	3398	2820
Average	72.13	60.49	35.25
Median	20.88	19.74	18.32
Minimum	0.25	0.4	1.15
Maximum	23,100.	23,100.	5,230.91
Standard deviation	509.6	510.41	139.75
Standard error	8.74	8.76	2.63
Skewness	31.	32.77	25.04
25th percentile	13.578	13.2	14.32
75th percentile	33.86	31.16	25.66

Aswath Damodaran

3. Understand your "implicit" assumptions

- What are the fundamentals that determine and drive these multiples?
  - Proposition 1: Embedded in every multiple are all of the variables that drive every discounted cash flow valuation - growth, risk and cash flow patterns.
  - In fact, using a simple discounted cash flow model and basic algebra should yield the fundamentals that drive a multiple
- How do changes in these fundamentals change the multiple?
  - The relationship between a fundamental (like growth) and a multiple (such as PE) is seldom linear. For example, if firm A has twice the growth rate of firm B, it will generally not trade at twice its PE ratio
  - Proposition 2: It is impossible to properly compare firms on a multiple, if we do not know the nature of the relationship between fundamentals and the multiple.

Aswath Damodaran

PE Ratio: Understanding the Fundamentals

Equity Multiple or Firm Multiple

Equity Multiple

1. Start with an equity DCF model (a dividend or FCFE model)

$$P_0 = \frac{DPS_1}{r - g_n}$$

$$P_0 = \frac{FCFE_1}{\text{Cost of equity} - g_n}$$

2. Isolate the denominator of the multiple in the model
3. Do the algebra to arrive at the equation for the multiple

Firm Multiple

1. Start with a firm DCF model (a FCFF model)

$$EV_0 = \frac{FCFF_1}{\text{Cost of capital} - g_n}$$

2. Isolate the denominator of the multiple in the model
3. Do the algebra to arrive at the equation for the multiple

Aswath Damodaran

The Determinants of Multiples...

Value of Stock = DPS $_1$/(k_e - g)

| PE=Payout Ratio (1+g)/(r-g) | PEG=Payout ratio (1+g)/g(r-g) | PBV=ROE (Payout ratio) (1+g)/(r-g) | PS= Net Margin (Payout ratio) (1+g)/(r-g) |

PE=f(g, payout, risk)   PEG=f(g, payout, risk)   PBV=f(ROE,payout, g, risk)   PS=f(Net Mgn, payout, g, risk)

Equity Multiples

Firm Multiples

V/FCFF=f(g, WACC)   V/EBIT(1-t)=f(g, RIR, WACC   V/EBIT=f(g, RIR, WACC, t   VS=f(Oper Mgn, RIR, g, WACC)

| Value/FCFF=(1+g)/ (WACC-g) | Value/EBIT(1-t) = (1+g) (1- RIR)/(WACC-g) | Value/EBIT=(1+g)(1- RiR)/(1-t)(WACC-g) | VS= Oper Margin (1- RIR) (1+g)/(WACC-g) |

Value of Firm = FCFF $_1$/(WACC -g)

Aswath Damodaran

4. Define "comparable" broadly & control for differences

- Given the firm that we are valuing, what is a "comparable" firm?
  - While traditional analysis is built on the premise that firms in the same sector are comparable firms, valuation theory would suggest that a comparable firm is one which is similar to the one being analyzed in terms of fundamentals.
  - Proposition 4: There is no reason why a firm cannot be compared with another firm in a very different business, if the two firms have the same risk, growth and cash flow characteristics.

- Given the comparable firms, how do we adjust for differences across firms on the fundamentals?
  - Proposition 5: It is impossible to find an exactly identical firm to the one you are valuing.

Aswath Damodaran

Pricing Twitter: Start with the "comparables"

Company	Market Cap	Enterprise value	Revenues	EBITDA	Net Income	Number of users (millions)	EV/User	EV/Revenue	EV/EBITDA	PE
Facebook	$173,540.00	$160,090.00	$7,870.00	$3,930.00	$1,490.00	1230.00	$130.15	20.34	40.74	116.47
Linkedin	$23,530.00	$19,980.00	$1,530.00	$182.00	$27.00	277.00	$72.13	13.06	109.78	871.48
Pandora	$7,320.00	$7,150.00	$655.00	-$18.00	-$29.00	73.40	$97.41	10.92	NA	NA
Groupon	$6,690.00	$5,880.00	$2,440.00	$125.00	-$95.00	43.00	$136.74	2.41	47.04	NA
Netflix	$25,900.00	$25,380.00	$4,370.00	$277.00	$112.00	44.00	$576.82	5.81	91.62	231.25
Yelp	$6,200.00	$5,790.00	$233.00	$2.40	-$10.00	120.00	$48.25	24.85	2412.50	NA
Open Table	$1,720.00	$1,500.00	$190.00	$63.00	$33.00	14.00	$107.14	7.89	23.81	52.12
Zynga	$4,200.00	$2,930.00	$873.00	$74.00	-$37.00	27.00	$108.52	3.36	39.59	NA
Zillow	$3,070.00	$2,860.00	$197.00	-$13.00	-$12.45	*34.50*	$82.90	14.52	NA	NA
Trulia	$1,140.00	$1,120.00	$144.00	-$6.00	-$18.00	*54.40*	$20.59	7.78	NA	NA
Tripadvisor	$13,510.00	$12,860.00	$945.00	$311.00	$205.00	*260.00*	$49.46	13.61	41.35	65.90
						Average	$130.01	11.32	350.80	267.44
						Median	$97.41	10.92	44.20	116.47

Aswath Damodaran

Read the tea leaves: See what the market cares about

	Market Cap	Enterprise value	Revenues	EBITDA	Net Income	Number of users (millions)
Market Cap	1.					
Enterprise value	0.9998	1.				
Revenues	0.8933	0.8966	1.			
EBITDA	0.9709	0.9701	0.8869	1.		
Net Income	0.8978	0.8971	0.8466	0.9716	1.	
Number of users (millions)	0.9812	0.9789	0.8053	0.9354	0.8453	1.

Twitter had 240 million users at the time of its IPO. What price would you attach to the company?

Aswath Damodaran

Use the "market metric" and "market price"

□ The most important variable, in late 2013, in determining market value and price in this sector (social media, ill defined as that is) is the number of users that a company has.

□ Looking at comparable firms, it looks like the market is paying about $100/user in valuing social media companies, with a premium for "predictable" revenues (subscriptions) and user intensity.

□ Twitter has about 240 million users and can be valued based on the $100/user:

□ Enterprise value = 240 * 100 = $24 billion

Aswath Damodaran

4. Don't mistake luck for skill!

Fund, *Manager(s)*	Management Firm, Location	Strategy	ASSETS, IN BILLIONS	YTD TOTAL RETURN*	2012 RETURN
1 Glenview Capital Opportunity, *Larry Robbins*	Glenview Capital Management, U.S.	Long/short	$1.8	84.2%	54.3%
2 Matrix Capital Management, *David Goel*	Matrix Capital Management, U.S.	Long/short	1.6	56.0	20.0
3 Paulson Recovery, *John Paulson*	Paulson & Co., U.S.	Long equity	2.4	45.0	4.9
4 Lansdowne Developed Markets SIF *Stuart Roden, Peter Davies, Jonathon Regis*	Lansdowne Partners, U.K.	Long biased	1.5	44.5	34.5
5 The Children's Investment, *Christopher Hohn*	The Children's Investment Fund Mgmt., U.K.	Activist	7.3	39.7	30.0
6 Owl Creek Overseas, *Jeffrey Altman, Daniel Krueger, Jeffrey Lee*	Owl Creek Asset Management, U.S.	Event driven/multistrategy	3.2	38.1	11.1
7 Glenview Capital Partners, *Larry Robbins*	Glenview Capital Management, U.S.	Long/short	3.2	37.4	24.2
8 Trian Partners, *Nelson Peltz, Peter May, Ed Garden*	Trian Fund Management, U.S.	Activist	7.8	34.9	0.9
9 Palomino, *David Tepper*	Appaloosa Management, U.S.	Opportunistic	7.3	31.5	29.3
10 Pelham Long/Short, *Ross Turner*	Pelham Capital Management, U.K.	Long/short	3.2	30.3	18.4

Aswath Damodaran

But here is the big picture

Annualized Excess Return of HFRI Equity Hedge Index after
S&P 500, Size, Quality, and Style Factors (Rolling 60 Months)
Jan 1990 - Jul 2013

Aswath Damodaran

The Impossible Quest: Searching for "smart" money

- □ We are constantly told that there is "smart" money out there, i.e., investors who have figured out ways to beat the market consistently.
    - ◘ Can you name one category of investors that you would list as "smart" money?
    - ◘ Can you name individual investors that you would call "smart" money"
- □ It is every active investor's dream to be one of the "smart money" group. What do you need to bring to the game to have a good chance of succeeding?
    - a. Lots of money to invest
    - b. Smarts (High IQ, College Pedigree)
    - c. Information access (Better data, More data, Proprietary data)
    - d. Information processing (Better models, Bigger computers)
    - e. Trading platform (High speed trading)
    - f. Something else (What?)

Aswath Damodaran

And the final lesson..

Aswath Damodaran