# A DETOUR: ASSET BASED VALUATION

Value assets, not cash flows?

## What is asset based valuation?

- In intrinsic valuation, you value a business based upon the cash flows you expect that business to generate over time.
- In relative valuation, you value a business based upon how similar businesses are priced.
- In asset based valuation, you value a business by valuing its individual assets. These individual assets can be tangible or intangible.

## Why would you do asset based valuation?

- Liquidation: If you are liquidating a business by selling its assets piece meal, rather than as a composite business, you would like to estimate what you will get from each asset or asset class individually.
- Accounting mission: As both US and international accounting standards have turned to "fair value" accounting, accountants have been called upon to redo balance sheet to reflect the assets at their fair rather than book value.
- Sum of the parts: If a business is made up of individual divisions or assets, you may want to value these parts individually for one of two groups:
  - Potential acquirers may want to do this, as a precursor to restructuring the business.
  - Investors may be interested because a business that is selling for less than the sum of its parts may be "cheap".

## How do you do asset based valuation?

- Intrinsic value: Estimate the expected cash flows on each asset or asset class, discount back at a risk adjusted discount rate and arrive at an intrinsic value for each asset.
- Relative value: Look for similar assets that have sold in the recent past and estimate a value for each asset in the business.
- Accounting value: You could use the book value of the asset as a proxy for the estimated value of the asset.

## When is asset-based valuation easiest to do?

- Separable assets: If a company is a collection of separable assets (a set of real estate holdings, a holding company of different independent businesses), asset-based valuation is easier to do. If the assets are interrelated or difficult to separate, asset-based valuation becomes problematic. Thus, while real estate or a long term licensing/franchising contract may be easily valued, brand name (which cuts across assets) is more difficult to value separately.
- Stand alone earnings/ cash flows: An asset is much simpler to value if you can trace its earnings/cash flows to it. It is much more difficult to value when the business generates earnings, but the role of individual assets in generating these earnings cannot be isolated.
- <u>Active market for similar assets</u>: If you plan to do a relative valuation, it is easier if you can find an active market for "similar" assets which you can draw on for transactions prices.

## I. Liquidation Valuation

- In liquidation valuation, you are trying to assess how much you would get from selling the assets of the business today, rather than the business as a going concern.
- Consequently, it makes more sense to price those assets (i.e., do relative valuation) than it is to value them (do intrinsic valuation). For assets that are separable and traded (example: real estate), pricing is easy to do. For assets that are not, you often see book value used either as a proxy for liquidation value or as a basis for estimating liquidation value.
  - To the extent that the liquidation is urgent, you may attach a discount to the estimated value.

## II. Accounting Valuation: Glimmers from FAS

- 113
- <u>The ubiquitous "market participant"</u>: Through FAS 157, accountants are asked to attach values to assets/liabilities that market participants would have been willing to pay/ receive.
- Tilt towards relative value: "The definition focuses on the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability (an exit price), not the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability (an entry price)." The hierarchy puts "market prices", if available for an asset, at the top with intrinsic value being accepted only if market prices are not accessible.
- Split mission: While accounting fair value is titled towards relative valuation, accountants are also required to back their relative valuations with intrinsic valuations. Often, this leads to reverse engineering, where accountants arrive at values first and develop valuations later.

## III. Sum of the parts valuation

- You can value a company in pieces, using either relative or intrinsic valuation. Which one you use will depend on who you are and your motives for doing the sum of the parts valuation.
- If you are long term, passive investor in the company, your intent may be to find market mistakes that you hope will get corrected over time. If that is the case, you should do an intrinsic valuation of the individual assets.
- If you are an activist investor that plans to acquire the company or push for change, you should be more focused on relative valuation, since your intent is to get the company to split up and gain the increase in value.

### Let's try this

### United Technologies: Raw Data - 2009

115

Division	Business	Revenues	EBITDA	Pre-tax Operating Income	Capital Expenditures	Depreciation	Total Assets
Carrier	Refrigeration systems	\$14,944	\$1,510	\$1,316	\$191	\$194	\$10,810
Pratt & Whitney	Defense	\$12,965	\$2,490	\$2,122	\$412	\$368	\$9,650
Otis	Construction	\$12,949	\$2,680	\$2,477	\$150	\$203	\$7,731
UTC Fire & Security	Security	\$6,462	\$780	\$542	\$95	\$238	\$10,022
Hamilton Sundstrand	Manufacturing	\$6,207	\$1,277	\$1,099	\$141	\$178	\$8,648
Sikorsky	Aircraft	\$5,368	\$540	\$478	\$165	\$62	\$3,985

The company also had corporate expenses, unallocated to the divisions of \$408 million in the most recent year.

# United Technologies: Relative Valuation Median Multiples

Division	Business	EBITDA	EV/EBITDA for sector	Value of Business
Carrier	Refrigeration systems	\$1,510	5.25	\$7,928
Pratt & Whitney	Defense	\$2 <i>,</i> 490	8.00	\$19,920
Otis	Construction	\$2,680	6.00	\$16,080
UTC Fire & Security	Security	\$780	7.50	\$5,850
Hamilton Sundstrand	Industrial Products	\$1,277	5.50	\$7,024
Sikorsky	Aircraft	\$540	9.00	\$4,860
Sum of the parts value for				
business =				\$61,661

## United Technologies: Relative Valuation Plus Scaling variable & Choice of Multiples

Division	Business	Revenues	EBITDA	Operating Income	Capital Invested
Carrier	Refrigeration systems	\$14,944	\$1,510	\$1,316	\$6,014
Pratt & Whitney	Defense	\$12,965	\$2,490	\$2,122	\$5,369
Otis	Construction	\$12,949	\$2,680	\$2,477	\$4,301
UTC Fire & Security	Security	\$6,462	\$780	\$542	\$5,575
Hamilton Sundstrand	Industrial Products	\$6,207	\$1,277	\$1,099	\$4,811
Sikorsky	Aircraft	\$5,368	\$540	\$478	\$2,217
Total		\$58,895	\$9,277	\$8,034	\$28,287

Business	Best Multiple	Regression	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Refrigeration systems	EV/EBITDA	EV/EBITDA = 5.35 – 3.55 Tax Rate + 14.17 ROC	42%
Defense	EV/Revenues	EV/Revenues = 0.85 + 7.32 Pre-tax Operating Margin	47%
Construction	EV/EBITDA	EV/EBITDA = 3.17 - 2.87 Tax Rate + 14.66 ROC	36%
Security	EV/Capital	EV/Capital = 0.55 + 8.22 ROC	55%
Industrial Products	EV/Revenues	EV/Revenues = 0.51 + 6.13 Pre-tax Operating Margin	48%
Aircraft	EV/Capital	EV/Capital = 0.65 + 6.98 ROC	40%

## United Technologies: Relative Valuation Sum of the Parts value

		Current					
		value for					
	Scaling	scaling		Operating	Tax		Estimated
Division	Variable	variable	ROC	Margin	Rate	Predicted Multiple	Value
						5.35 - 3.55 (.38) + 14.17	
Carrier	EBITDA	\$1,510	13.57%	8.81%	38%	(.1357) =5.92	\$8,944.47
Pratt &							
Whitney	Revenues	\$12,965	24.51%	16.37%	38%	0.85 + 7.32 (.1637) = 2.05	\$26,553.29
						3.17 - 2.87 (.38)+14.66	
Otis	EBITDA	\$2,680	35.71%	19.13%	38%	(.3571) =7.31	\$19,601.70
UTC Fire &							
Security	Capital	\$5,575	6.03%	8.39%	38%	0.55 + 8.22 (.0603) =1.05	\$5,828.76
Hamilton							
Sundstrand	Revenues	\$6,207	14.16%	17.71%	38%	0.51 + 6.13 (.1771) =1.59	\$9,902.44
Sikorsky	Capital	\$2,217	13.37%	8.90%	38%	0.65 + 6.98 (.1337) =1.58	\$3,509.61
Sum of the parts value for operating assets = \$74,230.37							

## United Technologies: DCF parts valuation Cost of capital, by business

1 -	

	Unlevered	Debt/Equity	Levered	Cost of	After-tax cost	Debt to	Cost of	
Division	Beta	Ratio	beta	equity	of debt	Capital	capital	
Carrier	0.83	30.44%	0.97	9.32%	2.95%	23.33%	7.84%	
Pratt &								
Whitney	0.81	30.44%	0.95	9.17%	2.95%	23.33%	7.72%	
Otis	1.19	30.44%	1.39	12.07%	2.95%	23.33%	9.94%	
UTC Fire &								
Security	0.65	30.44%	0.76	7.95%	2.95%	23.33%	6.78%	
Hamilton								
Sundstrand	1.04	30.44%	1.22	10.93%	2.95%	23.33%	9.06%	
Sikorsky	1.17	30.44%	1.37	11.92%	2.95%	23.33%	9.82%	

## United Technologies: DCF valuation Fundamentals, by business

	Total	Capital		Allocated	Operating income	Return on	Reinvestment
Division	Assets	Invested	Cap Ex	Reinvestment	after taxes	capital	Rate
Carrier	\$10,810	\$6,014	\$191	\$353	\$816	13.57%	43.28%
Pratt &							
Whitney	\$9,650	\$5,369	\$412	\$762	\$1,316	24.51%	57.90%
Otis	\$7,731	\$4,301	\$150	\$277	\$1,536	35.71%	18.06%
UTC Fire							
& Security	\$10,022	\$5,575	\$95	\$176	\$336	6.03%	52.27%
Hamilton							
Sundstrand	\$8,648	\$4,811	\$141	\$261	\$681	14.16%	38.26%
Sikorsky	\$3,985	\$2,217	\$165	\$305	\$296	13.37%	102.95%

# United Technologies, DCF valuation Growth Choices

	Cost of	Return on	Reinvestment	Expected	Length of growth	Stable	Stable
Division	capital	capital	Rate	growth	period	growth rate	ROC
Carrier	7.84%	13.57%	43.28%	5.87%	5	3%	7.84%
Pratt &							
Whitney	7.72%	24.51%	57.90%	14.19%	5	3%	12.00%
Otis	9.94%	35.71%	18.06%	6.45%	5	3%	14.00%
UTC Fire							
& Security	6.78%	6.03%	52.27%	3.15%	0	3%	6.78%
Hamilton							
Sundstrand	9.06%	14.16%	38.26%	5.42%	5	3%	9.06%
Sikorsky	9.82%	13.37%	102.95%	13.76%	5	3%	9.82%

## United Technologies, DCF valuation Values of the parts

1	22
4	

	Cost of	PV of	PV of Terminal	Value of Operating
Business	capital	FCFF	Value	Assets
Carrier	7.84%	\$2,190	\$9,498	\$11,688
Pratt & Whitney	7.72%	\$3,310	\$27,989	\$31,299
Otis	9.94%	\$5,717	\$14,798	\$20,515
UTC Fire &				
Security	6.78%	\$0	\$4,953	\$4,953
Hamilton				
Sundstrand	9.06%	\$1,902	\$6,343	\$8,245
Sikorsky	9.82%	-\$49	\$3,598	\$3,550
Sum				\$80,250

	United Technologies, DCF Sum of the Parts	valuation
23		
	Value of the parts	= \$80,250
	Value of corporate expenses	
	$= \frac{\text{Corporate Expenses}_{\text{Current}}(1-t)(1+g)}{(\text{Cost of capital}_{\text{Company}} - g)}$	$=\frac{408(138)(1.03)}{(.086803)}=$ <b>\$4,587</b>

Value of operating assets (sum of parts DCF) = \$75,663
Value of operating assets (sum of parts RV) = \$74,230
Value of operating assets (company DCF) = \$71,410
Enterprise value (based on market prices) = \$52,261