Risk free Rates in January 2020

106

					Ris	sk j	fre	e I	Rat	tes	by	Си	irre	псу	ı ir.	ı Ja	inι	iar	y 2	020): (Gοι	ver	nn	nen	t E	Bon	d I	Bas	ed	Esi	tin	nat	е					
35.00%																																							
80.00%																																							
25.00%																																							
20.00%																																							
.5.00%																																							
0.00%																																						H	
5.00%																														1					L				
0.00%					_	_			_		_			l																									
-5.00%	Croatian Kuna	Bulgarian Lev	Swiss Franc	Ja panese Yen	Euro	Danish Krone	Thai Baht	namese Dong	wedish Krona	Taiwanese \$	Israeli Shekel	Barian Furrin Britich Dound	Czech Koruna	ΗKŚ	Korean Won	Aust ralian \$	wegian Krone	Polish Zloty	NZ Ş Canadian é	Singapore S	S SN	Qatari Dinar	/asian Ringgit	celand Krona	Romanian Lev	Chinese Yuan	Chilean Peso	Durction Bublo	Brazilian Reai	ombian Peso	Peruvian Sol	Indian Rupee	nesian Rupiah	kistani Rupee	Mexican Peso	ligerian Naira	African Rand	Enyan Shilling Turkish Lira	nbian kwacha
	0	_		7		_		Vietr	S		-		- 0				Nor						Maly	-	Ľ.	-				0			Indor	Pal	~	Z	South	Ϋ́	Zan
														De	e fa ul	t Spr	ea d	base	ed on	ratir	ng	R	isk fr	ee R	late														

Measurement of the equity risk premium

- The equity risk premium is the premium that investors demand for investing in an average risk investment, relative to the riskfree rate.
- As a general proposition, this premium should be
 - greater than zero
 - increase with the risk aversion of the investors in that market
 - increase with the riskiness of the "average" risk investment

What is your risk premium?

- Assume that stocks are the only risky assets and that you are offered two investment options:
 - a riskless investment (say a Government Security), on which you can make 3%
 - a mutual fund of all stocks, on which the returns are uncertain
- How much of an expected return would you demand to shift your money from the riskless asset to the mutual fund?
 - a. Less than 3%
 - b. Between 3% 5%
 - c. Between 5% 7%
 - d. Between 7% -9%
 - e. Between 9%- 11%
 - f. More than 11%

Risk Aversion and Risk Premiums

- 109
- If this were the entire market, the risk premium would be a weighted average of the risk premiums demanded by each and every investor.
- The weights will be determined by the wealth that each investor brings to the market. Thus, Warren Buffett's risk aversion counts more towards determining the "equilibrium" premium than yours' and mine.
- As investors become more risk averse, you would expect the "equilibrium" premium to increase.

Risk Premiums do change..

- Go back to the previous example. Assume now that you are making the same choice but that you are making it in the aftermath of a stock market crash (it has dropped 25% in the last month). Would you change your answer?
 - a. I would demand a larger premium
 - b. I would demand a smaller premium
 - c. I would demand the same premium

110

Estimating Risk Premiums in Practice

- Survey investors on their desired risk premiums and use the average premium from these surveys.
- Assume that the actual premium delivered over long time periods is equal to the expected premium - i.e., use historical data
- Estimate the implied premium in today's asset prices.

1. The Survey Approach

112

- Surveying all investors in a market place is impractical.
- However, you can survey a few individuals and use these results. In practice, this translates into surveys of the following:

Group Surveyed	Survey done by	Estimated ERP	Notes
Individual Investors	Securities Industries Association	8.3% (2004)	One year premium
Institutional Investors	Merrill Lynch	4.8% (2013)	Monrthly updates
CFOs	Campbell Harvey & Graham	4.48% (2012)	5-8% response rate
Analysts	Pablo Fernandez	5.0% (2011)	Lowest standard deviation
Academics	Pablo Fernandez	5.7% (2011)	Higher for emerging markets

- □ The limitations of this approach are:
 - There are no constraints on reasonability (the survey could produce negative risk premiums or risk premiums of 50%)
 - The survey results are more reflective of the past than the future.
 - **They** <u>tend to be short term</u>; even the longest surveys do not go beyond one year.

2. The Historical Premium Approach

- This is the default approach used by most to arrive at the premium to use in the model
- In most cases, this approach does the following
 - Defines a time period for the estimation (1928-Present, last 50 years...)
 - Calculates average returns on a stock index during the period
 - Calculates average returns on a riskless security over the period
 - Calculates the difference between the two averages and uses it as a premium looking forward.
- □ The limitations of this approach are:
 - it assumes that the risk aversion of investors has not changed in a systematic way across time. (The risk aversion may change from year to year, but it reverts back to historical averages)
 - it assumes that the riskiness of the "risky" portfolio (stock index) has not changed in a systematic way across time.

Historical ERP: A Historical Snapshot

	Arithme	tic Average	Geomet	ric Average	
	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds	
1928-2019	8.18%	6.43%	6.35%	4.83%	Historical
Std Error	2.08%	2.20%			premium for
1970-2019	7.26%	4.50%	5.93%	3.52%	the US
Std Error	2.38%	2.73%			
2010-2019	13.51%	9.67%	12.93%	9.31%	
Std Error	3.85%	4.87%			

□ If you are going to use a historical risk premium, make it

- Long term (because of the standard error)
- Consistent with your choice of risk free rate
- A "compounded" average

No matter which estimate you use, recognize that it is backward looking, is noisy and may reflect selection bias.

3. A Forward Looking ERP

- 115
- For a start: If you know the price paid for an asset and have estimates of the expected cash flows on the asset, you can estimate the IRR of these cash flows. If you paid the price, this is your expected return.
- Stock Price & Risk: If you assume that stocks are correctly priced in the aggregate and you can estimate the expected cashflows from buying stocks, you can estimate the expected rate of return on stocks by finding that discount rate that makes the present value equal to the price paid.
- Implied ERP: Subtracting out the riskfree rate should yield an implied equity risk premium. This implied equity premium is a forward-looking number and can be updated as often as you want.

Implied ERP in November 2013: Watch what I pay, not what I say..

 If you can observe what investors are willing to pay for stocks, you can back out an expected return from that price and an implied equity risk premium.



The bottom line on Equity Risk Premiums in November 2013

Mature Markets: In November 2013, the number that we chose to use as the equity risk premium for all mature markets was 5.5%. This was set equal to the implied premium at that point in time and it was much higher than the historical risk premium of 4.20% prevailing then (1928-2012 period).

	Arithmet	ic Average	Geometr	ric Average
	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds	Stocks - T. Bills	Stocks - T. Bonds
1928-2012	7.65%	5.88%	5.74%	4.20%
	2.20%	2.33%		
1962-2012	5.93%	3.91%	4.60%	2.93%
	2.38%	2.66%		
2002-2012	7.06%	3.08%	5.38%	1.71%
	5.82%	8.11%		

For emerging markets, we will use the melded default spread approach (where default spreads are scaled up to reflect additional equity risk) to come up with the additional risk premium that we will add to the mature market premium. Thus, markets in countries with lower sovereign ratings will have higher risk premiums that 5.5%.

Emerging Market ERP = 5.5% + Country Default Spread* $\left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{Equity}}}{\sigma_{\text{Country Bond}}}\right)$

What about equity risk premiums for other markets?

- 118
- Historical data for markets outside the United States is available for much shorter time periods. The problem is even greater in emerging markets.
- The historical premiums that emerge from this data reflects this data problem and there is much greater error associated with the estimates of the premiums.
- You could try to compute implied equity risk premiums but getting the inputs, especially for long term growth are difficult to do.

One solution: Bond default spreads as CRP – November 2013

In November 2013, the equity risk premium for the US was 5.50% Using the default spread on the sovereign bond or based upon the sovereign rating and adding that spread to the mature market premium (4.20% for the US) gives you a total ERP for a country.

Country	Rating	Default Spread (Country Risk Premium)	US ERP	Total ERP for country
India	Baa3	2.25%	5.50%	7.75%
China	Aa3	0.80%	5.50%	6.30%
Brazil	Baa2	2.00%	5.50%	7.50%

□ If you prefer CDS spreads:

Country	Sovereign CDS Spread	US ERP	Total ERP for country
India	4.20%	5.50%	9.70%
China	1.20%	5.50%	6.70%
Brazil	2.59%	5.50%	8.09%

Beyond the default spread? Equities are riskier than bonds

While default risk spreads and equity risk premiums are highly correlated, one would expect equity spreads to be higher than debt spreads. One approach to scaling up the premium is to look at the relative volatility of equities to bonds and to scale up the default spread to reflect this:

Country Risk Premium = Country Default Spread * $\left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{Equity}}}{\sigma_{\text{Country Bond}}}\right)$

 <u>Brazil</u>: The annualized standard deviation in the Brazilian equity index over the previous year is 21 percent, whereas the annualized standard deviation in the Brazilian C-bond is 14 percent.

Brazil's Equity Risk Premium = 5.50% + 2.00% (21%/14%) = 8.50%

- Using the same approach for China and India:
 - □ China's Equity Risk Premium = 5.50% + 0.80% (18%/10%) = 6.94%
 - □ India's Equity Risk Premium = 5.50% + 2.25% (24%/17%) = 9.10%

A Composite way of estimating ERP for countries

- Step 1: Estimate an equity risk premium for a mature market. If your preference is for a forward looking, updated number, you can estimate an implied equity risk premium for the US (assuming that you buy into the contention that it is a mature market)
 - My estimate: In November 2013, my estimate for the implied premium in the US was 5.5%. That will also be my estimate for a mature market ERP.
- Step 2: Come up with a generic and measurable definition of a mature market.
 - My estimate: Any AAA rated country is mature.
- Step 3: Estimate the additional risk premium that you will charge for markets that are not mature. You have two choices:
 - The default spread for the country, estimated based either on sovereign ratings or the CDS market.
 - A scaled up default spread, where you adjust the default spread upwards for the additional risk in equity markets.

	Andor	ra	7.45%	1.9	5% Liechtenste	in	5.50%	0.009	Albania	12 25%	6 75%			
\sim	Austri	a	5.50%	0.0	0% Luxembour	g	5.50%	0.009	Armenia	10.23%	4.73%	Bangladesh	10 90%	5 40%
	Belgiu	m	6.70%	1.2	0% Malta		7.45%	1.95%	Azerbaijan	8.88%	3.38%	Cambodia	13 75%	8 25%
0	Cypru	s ž	22.00%	16.5	0% Netherland	s	5.50%	0.00%	Belarus	15.63%	10.13%	China	6.94%	1.44%
\mathbf{C}	Denm	ark	5.50%	0.0	0% Norway	~	5.50%	0.009	Bosnia	15.63%	10.13%	Fiii	12.25%	6.75%
\mathbf{b}	Finlan	d	5.50%	0.0	0% Portugal	1	10.90%	5.40%	Bulgaria	8.50%	3.00%	Hong Kong	5.95%	0.45%
	France	2	5.95%	0.4	. <mark>5%</mark> Spain	5	8.88%	3.38%	Croatia	9.63%	4.13%	India	9.10%	3.60%
4	Germ	any	5.50%	0.0	0% Sweden	3	5.50%	0.009	Czech Republic	6.93%	1.43%	Indonesia	8.88%	3.38%
	Greec	e	15.63%	10.1	3% Switzerland	1	5.50%	0.009	Georgia	10.93%	1.43%	Japan 🔬	6.70%	1.20%
	Icelan	d	8.88%	3,3	8% Turkey 🔍	<u>۲</u> ~	8.88%	3.389	Hungary	9.63%	<u> </u>	Korea	6.70%	1.20%
X	Irelan	d	9.63%	4.1	3% United King	gdom	5.95%	0.45%	Kazakhstan	8.50%	3.00%	Macao	6.70%	1.20%
	Italy		8.50%	3.0	0% Western Eu	irope	6.72%	1.229	Latvia	8.50%	3.00%	Malaysia	7.45%	1.95%
Canada		5.	50% 0	.00%	1000		2	11	Lithuania	8.05%	2.55%	Mauritius	8.05%	2.55%
United States	of Am	erica 5.	50% <mark>0</mark>	.00%	Country	TRP	CRP	21	Macedonia	10.90%	5.40%	Mongolia	12.25%	6.75%
North Ameri	ica	5.	50% <mark>0</mark> .	.00%	Angola	10.90%	6 5.40	%	Moldova	4 5.63%	10.13%	Pakistan	17.50%	12.00%
Argentina		15.63%	10.13	%	Benin	13.75%	6 8.25	%	Montenegro	10.90%	5.40%	Papua NG	12.25%	6.75%
Belize		19.75%	14.25	%1	Botswana	7.15%	6 1.65	%	Poland	7.15%	1.65%	Philippines	9.63%	4.13%
Bolivia		10.90%	5.40	%	Burkina Faso	13.75%	6 8.25	%	Romania	8.88%	3.38%	Singapore	5.50%	0.00%
Brazil		8.50%	3.00	%	Cameroon	13.75%	6 8.25	%	Russia Serbia	8.05%	2.55%	Sri Lanka	12.25%	6.75%
Chile		6.70%	5 1.20	%	Cape Verde	12.25%	6 6.75	%	Slovakia	7 15%	1.65%	Taiwan	6.70%	1.20%
Colombia		8.88%	3.38	%	Egypt	17.50%	6 12.00	%	Slovenia	9.63%	4.13%	Thailand 🗠	8.05%	2.55%
Costa Rica	1	8.88%	3.38	%	Gabon	10.90%	6 5.40	%	Ukraine	15.63%	10.13%	Vietnam	13.75%	8.25%
Ecuador		17.50%	5 12.00	%	Ghana	12.25%	6 6.75	%	E. Europe & Russia	8.60%	3.10%	Asia	7.27%	1.77%
El Salvado	r	10.90%	5.40	%	Kenya	12.25%	6 6.75	%	abrain	0 0 0 0		\sim ·/	·	
Guatemala	a	9.63%	4.13	%	Norocco	9.63%			raol	6.03/		Australia	5.5	0% 0.00%
Honduras		13.75%	8.25	%	Norsihia	12.25%	6 6.75		nden		6 75%	Cook Islands	12.2	5% 6.75%
Mexico		8.05%	2.55	%	Namibia	8.88%	0 3.38 (F.40			6 4 09		New Zealand	5.5	0% 0.00%
Nicaragua		15.63%	10.13	%	Nigeria	10.90%	6 5.40 (9.25		abanon		6 75%	Australia & I	NZ 5.5	0% 0.00%
Panama		8.50%	3.00	%	Rwanga	13.75%	0 8.25		man	6.030				
Paraguay		10.90%	5.40	%	Seriegal				atar	6 / 0.937				
Peru		8.50%	3.00	%		ō.05%			audi Arahia	6 70%	6 0.907 6 1 20%			
Suriname		10.90%	5.40	%	llanda	10.23%		<u>∕</u> ₀ 30 0∕ 11	nited Arah Emirates	6 40%	6 0 90%	$Dlack #. T_{a}$	tal EDD	
Uruguay	wath	Denno	daran	%	Zambia	12.25%		0/ N	liddle Fast	6 889	6 1.38%	Bed #: Cou	iul EKF ntry rich i	nromium
Venezuela		12.25%	6.75	%		11 220		/0 0/		0.007	- 1.00 /	$AVG \cdot GDP$	wejohted	average
Latin Ame	erica	9.44%	3.94	%	AIIICa	11.227	0 3.02	/0				11, 0, 001		arerage

Estimating ERP for Disney: November 2013

- Incorporation: The conventional practice on equity risk premiums is to estimate an ERP based upon where a company is incorporated. Thus, the cost of equity for Disney would be computed based on the US equity risk premium, because it is a US company, and the Brazilian ERP would be used for Vale, because it is a Brazilian company.
- Operations: The more sensible practice on equity risk premium is to estimate an ERP based upon where a company operates. For Disney in 2013:

Region/ Country	Proportion of Disney's Revenues	ERP
US& Canada	82.01%	5.50%
Europe	11.64%	6.72%
Asia-Pacific	6.02%	7.27%
Latin America	0.33%	9.44%
Disney	100.00%	5.76%

ERP for Companies: November 2013

	Vale
In November 2013, the mature market premium used was	
5.5%	

Company	Region/ Country	Weight	ERP
Bookscape	United States	100%	5.50%
	US & Canada	4.90%	5.50%
	Brazil	16.90%	8.50%
	Rest of Latin	1 70%	10.00%
	America	1.7070	10.0970
Vala	China	37.00%	6.94%
Vale	Japan	10.30%	6.70%
	Rest of Asia	8.50%	8.61%
	Europe	17.20%	6.72%
	Rest of World	3.50%	10.06%
	Company	100.00%	7.38%
	India	23.90%	9.10%
	China	23.60%	6.94%
	UK	11.90%	5.95%
Fata Motors	United States	10.00%	5.50%
	Mainland Europe	11.70%	6.85%
	Rest of World	18.90%	6.98%
	Company	100.00%	7.19%
Baidu	China	100%	6.94%
	Germany	35.93%	5.50%
	North America	24.72%	5.50%
Doutscho Bonk	Rest of Europe	28.67%	7.02%
Deutsche Dahk	Asia-Pacific	10.68%	7.27%
	South America	0.00%	9.44%
	Company	100.00%	6.12%

The Anatomy of a Crisis: Implied ERP from September 12, 2008 to January 1, 2009



Aswath Damodaran

125

125

An Updated Implied ERP



Implied Premiums in the US: 1960-2019



A Composite way of estimating ERP for

countries

F C C C

	Andorra (Principal	lity of)	7.08%	1.88%	Italy	7.37%	2.17%	;				Country		PRS Composite Risk	Score ERP	CRP
	Austria	.,,	5 59%	0.39%	Jersey (States of)	5 89%	0.69%					Algeria		63	11.62	% 6.42%
\bigcirc	Ralaium		5 900	0.60%	Linghtonstain	5 200	0.000					Gambia		63.75	11.62	% 6.42%
$\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}$	Beigium		3.60%	0.00%	Liechtenstein	5.20%	0.00%	2	A Ib amia	0.640	4 4 4 67	Guinea		57	15.06	% 9.86%
\mathbf{C}	Cyprus		8.16%	2.96%	Luxembourg	5.20%	0.00%	2	Aibania	9.04%	4.44%	Guinea-Biss	au	63.25	11.62	% 6.42%
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	Denmark		5.20%	0.00%	Malta	6.04%	0.84%	2	Armenia	8.15%	2.060	Guyana		63.75	11.62	% 6.42%
\mathbf{C}	Finland		5.59%	0.39%	Netherlands	5.20%	0.00%		Azerbaijan Balamaa	8.10%	2.90%	Iran		62.5	14.08	% 6.42%
	France		5.69%	0.49%	Norway	5.20%	0.00%	,	Beania and Harragovina	11.62%	6.42%	Korea, D.P.R		50.5	17.03	% 11.83%
JI	Germany		5 20%	0.00%	Portugal	7 37%	2 17%		Bosnia and Herzegovina Bulgaria	7.08%	0.42%	Liberia		49.5	21.71	% 16.51%
L C	Graza		0.640	A AA0	Spain	6 770	1.570		Graatia	9 160	2.06%	Libya Madagascar	,	69.5	8.16	% 2.96% % 5.43%
	Greece	<u> </u>	9.04%	4.44%	Spain	0.//%	1.57%	- /	Croata Croate Papublia	5 900	2.90%	Malawi		63.5	11.62	% 6.42%
••	Guernsey (States o	of)	6.77%	1.57%	Sweden	5.20%	0.00%	2 /	Estopia	5.00%	0.00%	Myanmar		64	11.62	% 6.42%
D	Iceland		6.04%	0.84%	Switzerland	5.20%	0.00%	C	Georgia	9 160	2.06%	Sierra Leone	1	57	15.06	% 9.86%
2	Ireland		6.04%	0.84%	Turkey	9.64%	4.44%	1	Hungary	0.10%	2.90%	Sudan		39.75	21.71	% 16.51%
H	Isle of Man		5.69%	0.49%	United Kingdom	5.69%	0.49%	The state	Karakhatan	7.37%	2.17%	Syria		53	17.03	% 11.83%
					Western Europe	6.01%	0.91%		Kazakristan	10.62%	2.17%	Yemen, Rep	ublic	54.5	17.03	% 11.83%
,					western Europe	0.01/0	0.01%		Kyrgyzstan Latvia	6 290	1.19%	Zimbabwe		50.5	17.03	% 11.83%
					Country		FRP	CRP	Latvia	6 290	1.10%		Bangla	desh	8.75%	3.55%
Canada		5.20%	6 0.00)%	Angola		11.62%	6.42%	Maaadania	0.36%	1.10%	• 4	Cambo	dia	10.63%	5.43%
United Stat	205	5 200	6 0.00	10%	Panjn		10.62%	5 42%	Maldava	0./3%	5.33%	-	China		5.89%	0.69%
United Stat		5.20%	0.00	170	Botamana		6.04%	0.940	Montenagra	0.64%	0.42%		Fiji		8.75%	3.55%
North Ame	rica	5.20%	0.00	0%	Botswana Beething English		0.04%	0.84%	Montenegro Deland	9.04%	4.44%	/	Hong K	Cong	5.69%	0.49%
		N	5	N	Burkina Faso		10.65%	5.45%	Poland	0.04%	0.84%	0	India		7.08%	1.88%
Caribbean	10.62% 5.42%	1	1	7	Cameroon		10.63%	5.45%	Romania	7.37%	2.17%	2	Indones	sia	7.08%	1.88%
		0	-	h	Cape Verde		10.63%	5.43%	Kussia	9.750	2.17%	N	Japan		5.89%	0.69%
Arge	entina	14.08%	8.88%		Congo (Democratic Republic		12.59% 7.39%		Serbia	8./3%	3.33%	1 /	Korea		5.69%	0.49%
Beliz	79	11.629	6 4 2 9		Congo (Republic of)		14.08%	8.88%	Slovakia	6.04%	0.84%	319	Macao		5.80%	0.60%
Boli	via	8 759	6 3 559		Côte d'Ivoire		8.75%	3.55%	Slovenia	0.//%	1.57%	122	Malays	ia	6.38%	1.18%
Braz	ril	8 169	L 2 969		Egypt		10.63%	5.43%	Tajikistan	11.02%	0.42%	"ho	Maldiv	es	10.63%	5.43%
Chil		5.800	L 0.600		Ethiopia		9.64%	4.44%	Ukraine	12.59%	1.39%		Mauriti	us	6.77%	1.57%
Cala	e mbio	7.090	1 990		Gabon		12.59%	7.39%	Uzbekistan	7.08%	1.88%		Mongo	lia	11.62%	6.42%
Cold	ta Dian	0.640	4 4 4 4 6		Ghana		11.62%	6.42%	Eastern Europe & Russia	7.34% 2.14%		0	Pakista	n	11.62%	6.42%
Cost	ia Kica	9.04%	6 4.44 %	0 z	Kenya		10.63%	5.43%					Papua 1	New Guinea	10.63%	5.43%
Ecua	auor	11.02%	0.42%		Mali		11.62%	6.42%				-	Philipp	ines	7.08%	1.88%
El Sa	aivador tamala	14.06%	0.007	o 1	Morocco		7.66%	2.46%	Abu Dhabi	5.69%	0.49%		Singapo	ore	5.20%	0.00%
Gua	icinala iduras	0.640	4.40%	0 L	Mozambique		14.08%	8.88%	Bahrain	10.63%	5.43%		Solomo	on Islands	11.62%	6.42%
Hon	iuuras	9.04%	4.44%	0 z	Namibia		8.16%	2.96%	Iraq	12.59%	7.39%		Sri Lan	ka	10.63%	5.43%
Mex		0.38%	0 1.18%	0 7	Niger		11.62%	6.42%	Israel	5.89%	0.69%		Taiwan		5.80%	0.60%
Nica	aragua	10.03%	0 3.43%	o]	Nigeria		10.63%	5.43%	Jordan	9.64%	4.44%		Thailan	d	6.77%	1.57%
Pana	ama	0.77%	0 1.57%	0 7	Rwanda		10.63%	5.43%	Kuwait	5.69%	0.49%		Vietnar		8.75%	3.55%
Para	iguay	7.00%	0 2.40%	0	Senegal		8.75%	3.55%	Lebanon	14.08%	8.88%		Asia		6.21%	1.01%
Peru	1	0.38%	0 1.18%	0	South Africa		7.37%	2.17%	Oman	7,66%	2.46%	1 –	7.570		0.21/0	2102/0
Suri	name	10.63%	b 5.43%	6	Swaziland		10.63%	5.43%	Oatar	5.80%	0.60%		Australia	1		5.20%
Uruş	guay	9.64%	b 4.44%	6	Tanzania		9.64%	4.44%	Rag Al Khaimah	12 50%	7 300	<u> </u>	Cook Isl	ands		9.64%
Ven	ezuela	22.89%	6 17.699	%	Togo		11.62%	6.42%	Kas Ai Kilailiali	12.39%	0.600		New Zea	uand	aland	5.20%
Cent	tral and South America	8.48%	3.28%	6	Tunisia		10.63%	5.43%	Saudi Arabia	5.89%	0.09%	A	ustrana	a ok intew Ze	aland	5.20%
					10.600	5 40 0	Sharjah	0.38%	1.18%	Black #: Total ERP						
	A mumeth D	dam		1	Uganda	I	10.63%	3.41%					Div	m π . $10m$	$\iota L M$	
	Aswath Dame	odara	n	1	Uganda Zambia		10.63%	5.45% 8.88%	United Arab Emirates	5.69%	0.49%		Ro	$d # \cdot Count$	t LNI rv, rich i	nromium

Application Test: Estimating a Market Risk Premium

130

For your company, get the geographical breakdown of revenues in the most recent year. Based upon this revenue breakdown and the most recent country risk premiums, estimate the equity risk premium that you would use for your company.

This computation was based entirely on revenues. With your company, what concerns would you have about your estimate being too high or too low?