Approach 3: Estimate a lambda for country risk

- Country risk exposure is affected by where you get your revenues and where your production happens, but there are a host of other variables that also affect this exposure, including:
 - Use of risk management products: Companies can use both options/futures markets and insurance to hedge some or a significant portion of country risk.
 - <u>Government "national" interests</u>: There are sectors that are viewed as vital to the national interests, and governments often play a key role in these companies, either officially or unofficially. These sectors are more exposed to country risk.
- It is conceivable that there is a richer measure of country risk that incorporates all of the variables that drive country risk in one measure. That way my rationale when I devised "lambda" as my measure of country risk exposure.

A Revenue-based Lambda

 The factor "λ" measures the relative exposure of a firm to country risk. One simplistic solution would be to do the following:

 λ = % of revenues domestically_{firm}/% of revenues domestically_{average firm}

 Consider two firms – Tata Motors and Tata Consulting Services, both Indian companies. In 2008-09, Tata Motors got about 91.37% of its revenues in India and TCS got 7.62%. The average Indian firm gets about 80% of its revenues in India:

$$\lambda_{\text{Tata Motors}} = 91\%/80\% = 1.14$$

 λ_{TCS} = 7.62%/80% = 0.09

- There are two implications
 - A company's risk exposure is determined by where it does business and not by where it is incorporated.
 - Firms might be able to actively manage their country risk exposures

A Price/Return based Lambda



Estimating a US Dollar Cost of Equity for Embraer - September 2004

- Assume that the beta for Embraer is 1.07, and that the US \$ riskfree rate used is 4%. Also assume that the risk premium for the US is 5% and the country risk premium for Brazil is 7.89%. Finally, assume that Embraer gets 3% of its revenues in Brazil & the rest in the US.
- □ There are five estimates of \$ cost of equity for Embraer:
 - Approach 1: Constant exposure to CRP, Location CRP
 - E(Return) = 4% + 1.07 (5%) + 7.89% = 17.24%
 - Approach 2: Constant exposure to CRP, Operation CRP
 - E(Return) = 4% + 1.07 (5%) + (0.03*7.89% +0.97*0%)= 9.59%
 - Approach 3: Beta exposure to CRP, Location CRP
 - E(Return) = 4% + 1.07 (5% + 7.89%)= 17.79%
 - Approach 4: Beta exposure to CRP, Operation CRP
 - E(Return) = 4% + 1.07 (5% +(0.03*7.89%+0.97*0%)) = 9.60%
 - Approach 5: Lambda exposure to CRP
 - E(Return) = 4% + 1.07 (5%) + 0.27(7.89%) = 11.48%

Valuing Emerging Market Companies with significant exposure in developed markets

- The conventional practice in investment banking is to add the country equity risk premium on to the cost of equity for every emerging market company, notwithstanding its exposure to emerging market risk. Thus, in 2004, Embraer would have been valued with a cost of equity of 17-18% even though it gets only 3% of its revenues in Brazil. As an investor, which of the following consequences do you see from this approach?
 - a. Emerging market companies with substantial exposure in developed markets will be significantly over valued by equity research analysts.
 - b. Emerging market companies with substantial exposure in developed markets will be significantly under valued by equity research analysts.

Can you construct an investment strategy to take advantage of the misvaluation? What would need to happen for you to make money of this strategy?

Implied Equity Premiums

- 65
- Let's start with a general proposition. If you know the price paid for an asset and have estimates of the expected cash flows on the asset, you can estimate the IRR of these cash flows. If you paid the price, this is what you have priced the asset to earn (as an expected return).
- If you assume that stocks are correctly priced in the aggregate and you can estimate the expected cashflows from buying stocks, you can estimate the expected rate of return on stocks by finding that discount rate that makes the present value equal to the price paid. Subtracting out the riskfree rate should yield an implied equity risk premium.
- This implied equity premium is a forward looking number and can be updated as often as you want (every minute of every day, if you are so inclined).

Implied Equity Premiums: January 2008

We can use the information in stock prices to back out how risk averse the market is and how much of a risk premium it is demanding.

68.33

71.75

Between 2001 and 2007 Analysts expect earnings to grow 5% a year for the next 5 years. We will assume that dividends & buybacks will keep pace.. dividends and stock buybacks averaged 4.02% Last year's cashflow (59.03) growing at 5% a year of the index each year. 61.98 65.08

After year 5, we will assume that earnings on the index will grow at 4.02%, the same rate as the entire economy (= riskfree rate).

75.34

January 1, 2008 S&P 500 is at 1468.36 4.02% of 1468.36 = 59.03

If you pay the current level of the index, you can expect to make a return of 8.39% on stocks (which is obtained by \square solving for r in the following equation)

 $1468.36 = \frac{61.98}{(1+r)} + \frac{65.08}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{68.33}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{71.75}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{75.34}{(1+r)^5} + \frac{75.35(1.0402)}{(r-.0402)(1+r)^5}$

Implied Equity risk premium = Expected return on stocks - Treasury bond rate = 8.39% - 4.02% = 4.37%

Aswath Damodaran

A year that made a difference.. The implied premium in January 2009

Year	Market value of index	Dividends	Buybacks	Cash to equity	Dividend yield	Buyback yield	Total yield
2001	1148.09	15.74	14.34	30.08	1.37%	1.25%	2.62%
2002	879.82	15.96	13.87	29.83	1.81%	1.58%	3.39%
2003	1111.91	17.88	13.70	31.58	1.61%	1.23%	2.84%
2004	1211.92	19.01	21.59	40.60	1.57%	1.78%	3.35%
2005	1248.29	22.34	38.82	61.17	1.79%	3.11%	4.90%
2006	1418.30	25.04	48.12	73.16	1.77%	3.39%	5.16%
2007	1468.36	28.14	67.22	95.36	1.92%	4.58%	6.49%
2008	903.25	28.47	40.25	68.72	3.15%	4.61%	7.77%
Normalized	903.25	28.47	24.11	52.584	3.15%	2.67%	5.82%

In 2008, the actual cash returned to stockholders was 68.72. However, there was a 41% dropoff in buybacks in Q4. We reduced the total buybacks for the year by that amount. 54.69 56.87

67

Analysts expect earnings to grow 4% a year for the next 5 years. We will assume that dividends & buybacks will keep pace.. Last year's cashflow (52.58) growing at 4% a year After year 5, we will assume that earnings on the index will grow at 2.21%, the same rate as the entire economy (= riskfree rate).

ouni.	54.69	56.87	59.15	61.52	63.98
January 1, 2009 S&P 500 is at 903.25 Adjusted Dividends &	903.25 =	$\frac{54.69}{(1+r)} + \frac{56.87}{(1+r)^2} +$	$-\frac{59.15}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{61.52}{(1+r)^4} +$	$\frac{63.98}{(1+r)^5} + \frac{63.98(1+r)^5}{(r022)}$	$\frac{1.0221)}{1)(1+r)^5}$
Buybacks for 2008 = 52 Aswath Damodara	2.58 an	Riskfree rat Equity Risk	elum on Slocks e Premium	(171709) = 8.04% = 2.21% = 6.43%	

The Anatomy of a Crisis: Implied ERP from September 12, 2008 to January 1, 2009



Aswath Damodaran

68

An Updated Equity Risk Premium: January

2017



Implied Premiums in the US: 1960-2016



A Buyback Adjusted Version of the US ERP



Implied Premium versus Risk Free Rate



Equity Risk Premiums and Bond Default Spreads

73



Equity Risk Premiums and Bond Default Spreads

Equity Risk Premiums and Cap Rates (Real Estate)



Why implied premiums matter?

- 75
- In many investment banks, it is common practice (especially in corporate finance departments) to use historical risk premiums (and arithmetic averages at that) as risk premiums to compute cost of equity. If all analysts in the department used the arithmetic average premium (for stocks over T.Bills) for 1928-2016 of 7.96% to value stocks in January 2017, given the implied premium of 5.69%, what are they likely to find?
 - a. The values they obtain will be too low (most stocks will look overvalued)
 - b. The values they obtain will be too high (most stocks will look under valued)
 - c. There should be no systematic bias as long as they use the same premium to value all stocks.

Which equity risk premium should you use?

If you assume this

Premiums revert back to historical norms and your time period yields these norms

Market is correct in the aggregate or that your valuation should be market neutral

Marker makes mistakes even in the aggregate but is correct over time

Premium to use

Historical risk premium

Current implied equity risk premium

Average implied equity risk premium over time.

ear return- next 5 yea	ars – next 10 years
0.475	0.541
0.541	0.747
-0.442	-0.469
0.234	0.225
	0.541 0.541 0.234

An ERP for the Sensex

77

- Inputs for the computation
 - Sensex on 9/5/07 = 15446
 - Dividend yield on index = 3.05%
 - Expected growth rate next 5 years = 14%
 - Growth rate beyond year 5 = 6.76% (set equal to riskfree rate)
- □ Solving for the expected return:

$$15446 = \frac{537.06}{(1+r)} + \frac{612.25}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{697.86}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{795.67}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{907.07}{(1+r)^5} + \frac{907.07(1.0676)}{(r-.0676)(1+r)^5}$$

Expected return on stocks = 11.18%
Implied equity risk premium for India = 11.18% - 6.76% = 4.42%

Changing Country Risk: Brazil CRP & Total ERP from 2000 to 2015

Implied Equity Risk Premium - Brazil 0.69%



Aswath Damodaran