Black and Scholes...

- The version of the model presented by Black and Scholes was designed to value European options, which were dividend-protected.

- The value of a call option in the Black-Scholes model can be written as a function of the following variables:
  - $S =$ Current value of the underlying asset
  - $K =$ Strike price of the option
  - $t =$ Life to expiration of the option
  - $r =$ Riskless interest rate corresponding to the life of the option
  - $\sigma^2 =$ Variance in the $\ln$(value) of the underlying asset
The Black Scholes Model

Value of call = $S \, N(d_1) - K \, e^{-rt} \, N(d_2)$

where

$$d_1 = \ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sqrt{t}$$

- The replicating portfolio is embedded in the Black-Scholes model. To replicate this call, you would need to:
  - Buy $N(d_1)$ shares of stock; $N(d_1)$ is called the option delta
  - Borrow $K \, e^{-rt} \, N(d_2)$
## The Normal Distribution

The Normal Distribution is a continuous probability distribution that is symmetrical around the mean, showing that data near the mean are more frequent in occurrence than data far from the mean. The Normal Distribution is characterized by two parameters: the mean (μ) and the standard deviation (σ).

### Table: Normal Distribution Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d</th>
<th>N(d)</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>N(d)</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>N(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.1587</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.8531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.95</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.1711</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.8643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.90</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.1841</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.8749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.85</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.1977</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.8849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.80</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.2119</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.8944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.75</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.2266</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.9032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.70</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.2420</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.9115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.65</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.2578</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.9192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.2743</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.9265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.2912</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.9332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.3085</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.9394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.3264</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.9452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.40</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.3446</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.9505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.3632</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.9554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.3821</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.9599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.4013</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.9641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.4207</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.9678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.4404</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.9713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.4602</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.9744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.05</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.4801</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.9772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.9798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5199</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.9821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.5398</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.9842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.5596</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.9861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.5793</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.9887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5987</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.9903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.6179</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>0.0495</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.6368</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.9933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.6554</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.9949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>0.0606</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.6736</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.6915</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.0735</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.7088</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>0.0808</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.7257</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>0.0885</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.7422</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.0968</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.7580</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>0.1056</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.7734</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>0.1151</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.7881</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>0.1251</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.8023</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.8159</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>0.1469</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.8289</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.1587</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.8413</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adjusting for Dividends

If the dividend yield \( y = \text{dividends} / \text{Current value of the asset} \) of the underlying asset is expected to remain unchanged during the life of the option, the Black-Scholes model can be modified to take dividends into account.

\[
C = S e^{-yt} \ N(d1) - K e^{-rt} \ N(d2)
\]

where,

\[
d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + (r - y + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}
\]

\[d_2 = d_1 - \sqrt{t}\]

The value of a put can also be derived:

\[
P = K e^{-rt} \ (1-N(d2)) - S e^{-yt} \ (1-N(d1))
\]
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Choice of Option Pricing Models

- Most practitioners who use option pricing models to value real options argue for the binomial model over the Black-Scholes and justify this choice by noting that:
  - Early exercise is the rule rather than the exception with real options.
  - Underlying asset values are generally discontinuous.

- If you can develop a binomial tree with outcomes at each node, it looks a great deal like a decision tree from capital budgeting. The question then becomes when and why the two approaches yield different estimates of value.
The Decision Tree Alternative

- Traditional decision tree analysis tends to use:
  - One cost of capital to discount cashflows in each branch to the present
  - Probabilities to compute an expected value
  - These values will generally be different from option pricing model values

- If you modified decision tree analysis to:
  - Use different discount rates at each node to reflect where you are in the decision tree (This is the Copeland solution) 
    (or)
  - Use the riskfree rate to discount cashflows in each branch, estimate the probabilities to estimate an expected value and adjust the expected value for the market risk in the investment

- Decision Trees could yield the same values as option pricing models
A decision tree valuation of a pharmaceutical company with one drug in the FDA pipeline...
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Key Tests for Real Options

- Is there an option embedded in this asset/decision?
  - Can you identify the underlying asset?
  - Can you specify the contingency under which you will get payoff?

- Is there exclusivity?
  - If yes, there is option value.
  - If no, there is none.
  - If in between, you have to scale value.

- Can you use an option pricing model to value the real option?
  - Is the underlying asset traded?
  - Can the option be bought and sold?
  - Is the cost of exercising the option known and clear?
I. Options in Projects/Investments/Acquisitions

- One of the limitations of traditional investment analysis is that it is static and does not do a good job of capturing the options embedded in investment.
  - The first of these options is the option to delay taking an investment, when a firm has exclusive rights to it, until a later date.
  - The second of these options is that taking one investment may allow us to take advantage of other opportunities (investments) in the future.
  - The last option that is embedded in projects is the option to abandon an investment, if the cash flows do not measure up.
- These options all add value to projects and may make a “bad” investment (from traditional analysis) into a good one.
A. The Option to Delay

- When a firm has exclusive rights to a project or product for a specific period, it can delay taking this project or product until a later date.

- A traditional investment analysis just answers the question of whether the project is a “good” one if taken today.

- Thus, the fact that a project does not pass muster today (because its NPV is negative, or its IRR is less than its hurdle rate) does not mean that the rights to this project are not valuable.
Valuing the Option to Delay a Project

Initial Investment in Project

PV of Cash Flows from Project

Present Value of Expected Cash Flows on Product

Project has negative NPV in this section

Project's NPV turns positive in this section
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Example 1: Valuing product patents as options

- A product patent provides the firm with the right to develop the product and market it.
- It will do so only if the present value of the expected cash flows from the product sales exceed the cost of development.
- If this does not occur, the firm can shelve the patent and not incur any further costs.
- If I is the present value of the costs of developing the product, and V is the present value of the expected cashflows from development, the payoffs from owning a product patent can be written as:

Payoff from owning a product patent = \( V - I \)

- \( = V - I \) if \( V > I \)
- \( = 0 \) if \( V \leq I \)
Payoff on Product Option

Net Payoff to introduction

Present Value of cashflows on product

Cost of product introduction
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## Obtaining Inputs for Patent Valuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Estimation Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Value of the Underlying Asset</td>
<td>• Present Value of Cash Inflows from taking project now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• This will be noisy, but that adds value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Variance in value of underlying asset</td>
<td>• Variance in cash flows of similar assets or firms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Variance in present value from capital budgeting simulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Exercise Price on Option</td>
<td>• Option is exercised when investment is made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cost of making investment on the project; assumed to be constant in present value dollars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expiration of the Option</td>
<td>• Life of the patent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dividend Yield</td>
<td>• Cost of delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Each year of delay translates into one less year of value-creating cashflows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Annual cost of delay</strong> = ( \frac{1}{n} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Valuing a Product Patent: Avonex

- Biogen, a bio-technology firm, has a patent on Avonex, a drug to treat multiple sclerosis, for the next 17 years, and it plans to produce and sell the drug by itself.

- The key inputs on the drug are as follows:
  - PV of Cash Flows from Introducing the Drug Now = S = $3.422 billion
  - PV of Cost of Developing Drug for Commercial Use = K = $2.875 billion
  - Patent Life = t = 17 years     Riskless Rate = r = 6.7% (17-year T.Bond rate)
  - Variance in Expected Present Values = $\sigma^2 = 0.224$ (Industry average firm variance for bio-tech firms)
  - Expected Cost of Delay = y = 1/17 = 5.89%

- The output from the option pricing model
  - \( d_1 = 1.1362 \quad N(d_1) = 0.8720 \)
  - \( d_2 = -0.8512 \quad N(d_2) = 0.2076 \)
  - Call Value= \( 3,422 \exp\left(-0.0589\right)(17) \times 0.8720 - 2,875 \exp\left(-0.067\right)(17) \times 0.2076 = $907 \) million
The Optimal Time to Exercise

Exercise the option here: Convert patent to commercial product
Valuing a firm with patents

- The value of a firm with a substantial number of patents can be derived using the option pricing model.
  
  Value of Firm = Value of commercial products (using DCF value + Value of existing patents (using option pricing) + (Value of New patents that will be obtained in the future – Cost of obtaining these patents)

- The last input measures the efficiency of the firm in converting its R&D into commercial products. If we assume that a firm earns its cost of capital from research, this term will become zero.

- If we use this approach, we should be careful not to double count and allow for a high growth rate in cash flows (in the DCF valuation).
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Value of Biogen’s existing products

- Biogen had two commercial products (a drug to treat Hepatitis B and Intron) at the time of this valuation that it had licensed to other pharmaceutical firms.
- The license fees on these products were expected to generate $50 million in after-tax cash flows each year for the next 12 years.
- To value these cash flows, which were guaranteed contractually, the pre-tax cost of debt of the guarantors was used:

  $$\text{Present Value of License Fees} = \frac{50 \text{ million} \times (1 - (1.07)^{-12})}{.07}$$

  $$= 397.13 \text{ million}$$
Biogen continued to fund research into new products, spending about $100 million on R&D in the most recent year. These R&D expenses were expected to grow 20% a year for the next 10 years, and 5% thereafter.

It was assumed that every dollar invested in research would create $1.25 in value in patents (valued using the option pricing model described above) for the next 10 years, and break even after that (i.e., generate $1 in patent value for every $1 invested in R&D).

There was a significant amount of risk associated with this component and the cost of capital was estimated to be 15%.
## Value of Future R&D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yr</th>
<th>Value of Patents</th>
<th>R&amp;D Cost</th>
<th>Excess Value</th>
<th>PV (at 15%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 150.00</td>
<td>$ 120.00</td>
<td>$ 30.00</td>
<td>$ 26.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 180.00</td>
<td>$ 144.00</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 27.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 216.00</td>
<td>$ 172.80</td>
<td>$ 43.20</td>
<td>$ 28.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$ 259.20</td>
<td>$ 207.36</td>
<td>$ 51.84</td>
<td>$ 29.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$ 311.04</td>
<td>$ 248.83</td>
<td>$ 62.21</td>
<td>$ 30.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$ 373.25</td>
<td>$ 298.60</td>
<td>$ 74.65</td>
<td>$ 32.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$ 447.90</td>
<td>$ 358.32</td>
<td>$ 89.58</td>
<td>$ 33.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$ 537.48</td>
<td>$ 429.98</td>
<td>$ 107.50</td>
<td>$ 35.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$ 644.97</td>
<td>$ 515.98</td>
<td>$ 128.99</td>
<td>$ 36.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$ 773.97</td>
<td>$ 619.17</td>
<td>$ 154.79</td>
<td>$ 38.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ 318.30
The value of Biogen as a firm is the sum of all three components – the present value of cash flows from existing products, the value of Avonex (as an option) and the value created by new research:

Value = Existing products + Existing Patents + Value: Future R&D

= $397.13 million + $907 million + $318.30 million

= $1622.43 million

Since Biogen had no debt outstanding, this value was divided by the number of shares outstanding (35.50 million) to arrive at a value per share:

Value per share = $1622.43 million / 35.5 = $45.70
The Real Options Test: Patents and Technology

- The Option Test:
  - Underlying Asset: Product that would be generated by the patent
  - Contingency:
    - If PV of CFs from development > Cost of development: PV - Cost
    - If PV of CFs from development < Cost of development: 0

- The Exclusivity Test:
  - Patents restrict competitors from developing similar products
  - Patents do not restrict competitors from developing other products to treat the same disease.

- The Pricing Test
  - Underlying Asset: Patents are not traded. Not only do you therefore have to estimate the present values and volatilities yourself, you cannot construct replicating positions or do arbitrage.
  - Option: Patents are bought and sold, though not as frequently as oil reserves or mines.
  - Cost of Exercising the Option: This is the cost of converting the patent for commercial production. Here, experience does help and drug firms can make fairly precise estimates of the cost.

- Conclusion: You can estimate the value of the real option but the quality of your estimate will be a direct function of the quality of your capital budgeting. It works best if you are valuing a publicly traded firm that generates most of its value from one or a few patents - you can use the market value of the firm and the variance in that value then in your option pricing model.
Example 2: Valuing Natural Resource Options

- In a natural resource investment, the underlying asset is the resource and the value of the asset is based upon two variables - the quantity of the resource that is available in the investment and the price of the resource.

- In most such investments, there is a cost associated with developing the resource, and the difference between the value of the asset extracted and the cost of the development is the profit to the owner of the resource.

- Defining the cost of development as $X$, and the estimated value of the resource as $V$, the potential payoffs on a natural resource option can be written as follows:
  
  \[
  \text{Payoff on natural resource investment} = \begin{cases} 
  V - X & \text{if } V > X \\
  0 & \text{if } V \leq X
  \end{cases}
  \]
Payoff Diagram on Natural Resource Firms

Cost of Developing Reserve

Value of estimated reserve of natural resource

Net Payoff on Extraction
## Estimating Inputs for Natural Resource Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Estimation Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Value of Available Reserves of the Resource</td>
<td>• Expert estimates (Geologists for oil..); The present value of the after-tax cash flows from the resource are then estimated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cost of Developing Reserve (Strike Price)</td>
<td>• Past costs and the specifics of the investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Time to Expiration</td>
<td>• Relinquishment Period: if asset has to be relinquished at a point in time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Time to exhaust inventory - based upon inventory and capacity output.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Variance in value of underlying asset</td>
<td>• based upon variability of the price of the resources and variability of available reserves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Net Production Revenue (Dividend Yield)</td>
<td>• Net production revenue every year as percent of market value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Development Lag</td>
<td>• Calculate present value of reserve based upon the lag.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gulf Oil was the target of a takeover in early 1984 at $70 per share (It had 165.30 million shares outstanding, and total debt of $9.9 billion).

- It had estimated reserves of 3038 million barrels of oil and the average cost of developing these reserves was estimated to be $10 a barrel in present value dollars (The development lag is approximately two years).
- The average relinquishment life of the reserves is 12 years.
- The price of oil was $22.38 per barrel, and the production cost, taxes and royalties were estimated at $7 per barrel.
- The bond rate at the time of the analysis was 9.00%.
- Gulf was expected to have net production revenues each year of approximately 5% of the value of the developed reserves. The variance in oil prices is 0.03.
Valuing Undeveloped Reserves

- Inputs for valuing undeveloped reserves
  - Value of underlying asset = Value of estimated reserves discounted back for period of development lag = \(3038 \times (22.38 - 7) / 1.05^2 = 42,380.44\)
  - Exercise price = Estimated development cost of reserves = \(3038 \times 10 = 30,380\) million
  - Time to expiration = Average length of relinquishment option = 12 years
  - Variance in value of asset = Variance in oil prices = 0.03
  - Riskless interest rate = 9%
  - Dividend yield = Net production revenue / Value of developed reserves = 5%

- Based upon these inputs, the Black-Scholes model provides the following value for the call:
  - \(d1 = 1.6548\) \(N(d1) = 0.9510\)
  - \(d2 = 1.0548\) \(N(d2) = 0.8542\)
  - \(\text{Call Value} = 42,380.44 \exp(-0.05)(12)(0.9510) - 30,380 \exp(-0.09)(12)(0.8542)\)
  - \(= $13,306\) million
In addition, Gulf Oil had free cashflows to the firm from its oil and gas production of $915 million from already developed reserves and these cashflows are likely to continue for ten years (the remaining lifetime of developed reserves).

The present value of these developed reserves, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of 12.5%, yields:

- Value of already developed reserves = $915 (1 - 1.125^{-10}) / .125 = $5065.83

Adding the value of the developed and undeveloped reserves:

- Value of undeveloped reserves = $13,306 million
- Value of production in place = $5,066 million
- Total value of firm = $18,372 million
- Less Outstanding Debt = $9,900 million
- Value of Equity = $8,472 million
- Value per share = $8,472 / 165.3 = $51.25
B. The Option to Expand/Take Other Projects

- Taking a project today may allow a firm to consider and take other valuable projects in the future.

- Thus, even though a project may have a negative NPV, it may be a project worth taking if the option it provides the firm (to take other projects in the future) provides a more-than-compensating value.

- These are the options that firms often call “strategic options” and use as a rationale for taking on “negative NPV” or even “negative return” projects.
The Option to Expand

Firm will not expand in this section

Expansion becomes attractive in this section

Additional Investment to Expand

PV of Cash Flows from Expansion

Present Value of Expected Cash Flows on Expansion
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