Accounting comes to its senses on operating leases

- In 2019, both IFRS and GAAP made a major shift on operating leases, requiring companies to capitalize leases and show the resulting debt (and counter asset) on the balance sheets.
- That said, the accounting rules for capitalizing leases are far more complex than the simple calculations that I have used, for two reasons:
 - Accounting has to balance its desire to do the right thing with maintaining some connection to its legacy rules.
 - Companies have lobbied to modify rules in their sectors to cushion the impact.

The Magnitude of R&D Expenses

126



Aswath Damodaran

R&D Expenses: Operating or Capital Expenses

- Accounting standards require us to consider R&D as an operating expense even though it is designed to generate future growth. It is more logical to treat it as capital expenditures.
- □ To capitalize R&D,
 - Specify an amortizable life for R&D (2 10 years)
 - Collect past R&D expenses for as long as the amortizable life
 - Sum up the unamortized R&D over the period. (Thus, if the amortizable life is 5 years, the research asset can be obtained by adding up 1/5th of the R&D expense from five years ago, 2/5th of the R&D expense from four years ago...:

Capitalizing R&D Expenses: SAP

R & D was assumed	d to have	a 5-year life.
-------------------	-----------	----------------

Year	R&D Expense	Unamo	ortized	Amortization this year
Current	1020.02	1.00	1020.02	2
-1	993.99	0.80	795.19	€ 198.80
-2	909.39	0.60	545.63	€ 181.88
-3	898.25	0.40	359.30	€ 179.65
-4	969.38	0.20	193.88	€ 193.88
-5	744.67	0.00	0.00	€ 148.93
Value of rese	arch asset =			€ 2,914 million
Amortization	of research asset	in 2004	. =	€ 903 million
Increase in O	perating Income =	= 1020 -	903 =	€ 117 million

The Effect of Capitalizing R&D at SAP

Conventional Accounting	R&D treated as capital expenditure
Income Statement	Income Statement
EBIT& R&D = 3045	EBIT& R&D = 3045
- R&D = 1020	- Amort: R&D = 903
EBIT = 2025	EBIT = 2142 (Increase of 117 m)
EBIT (1-t) = 1285 m	EBIT $(1-t) = 1359 \text{ m}$
	Ignored tax benefit = (1020-903)(.3654) = 43
	Adjusted EBIT (1-t) = 1359+43 = 1402 m
	(Increase of 117 million)
	Net Income will also increase by 117 million
Balance Sheet	Balance Sheet
Off balance sheet asset. Book value of equity at	Asset Liability
3,768 million Euros is understated because	R&D Asset 2914 Book Equity +2914
biggest asset is off the books.	Total Book Equity = 3768+2914= 6782 mil
Capital Expenditures	Capital Expenditures
Conventional net cap ex of 2 million	Net Cap ex = 2+ 1020 – 903 = 119 mil
Euros	
Cash Flows	Cash Flows
EBIT (1-t) = 1285	EBIT (1-t) = 1402
- Net Cap Ex = 2	- Net Cap Ex = 119
FCFF = 1283	FCFF = 1283 m
Return on capital = 1285/(3768+530)	Return on capital = $1402/(6782+530)$

Aswath Damodaran

III. One-Time and Non-recurring Charges

- Assume that you are valuing a firm that is reporting a loss of \$ 500 million, due to a one-time charge of \$ 1 billion. What is the earnings you would use in your valuation?
 - a. A loss of \$ 500 million
 - b. A profit of \$ 500 million
- Would your answer be any different if the firm had reported one-time losses like these once every five years?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No

IV. Accounting Malfeasance....

- Though all firms may be governed by the same accounting standards, the fidelity that they show to these standards can vary. More aggressive firms will show higher earnings than more conservative firms.
- While you will not be able to catch outright fraud, you should look for warning signals in financial statements and correct for them:
 - Income from unspecified sources holdings in other businesses that are not revealed or from special purpose entities.
 - Income from asset sales or financial transactions (for a non-financial firm)
 - Sudden changes in standard expense items a big drop in S,G &A or R&D expenses as a percent of revenues, for instance.
 - Frequent accounting restatements
 - Accrual earnings that run ahead of cash earnings consistently
 - Big differences between tax income and reported income

V. Dealing with Negative or Abnormally Low Earnings

A Framework for Analyzing Companies with Negative or Abnormally Low Earnings



Aswath Damodaran

133 Cash Flows II

Taxes and Reinvestment

Aswath Damodaran

What tax rate?

- The tax rate that you should use in computing the aftertax operating income should be
 - a. The effective tax rate in the financial statements (taxes paid/Taxable income)
 - b. The tax rate based upon taxes paid and EBIT (taxes paid/EBIT)
 - c. The marginal tax rate for the country in which the company operates
 - d. The weighted average marginal tax rate across the countries in which the company operates
 - e. None of the above
 - f. Any of the above, as long as you compute your after-tax cost of debt using the same tax rate

The Right Tax Rate to Use

- The choice really is between the effective and the marginal tax rate. In doing projections, it is far safer to use the marginal tax rate since the effective tax rate is really a reflection of the difference between the accounting and the tax books.
- By using the marginal tax rate, we tend to understate the after-tax operating income in the earlier years, but the aftertax tax operating income is more accurate in later years
- If you choose to use the effective tax rate, adjust the tax rate towards the marginal tax rate over time.
 - While an argument can be made for using a weighted average marginal tax rate, it is safest to use the marginal tax rate of the country

A Tax Rate for a Money Losing Firm

1	.36	

Assume that you are trying to estimate the after-tax operating income for a firm with \$ 1 billion in net operating losses carried forward. This firm is expected to have operating income of \$ 500 million each year for the next 3 years, and the marginal tax rate on income for all firms that make money is 40%. Estimate the after-tax operating income each year for the next 3 years.

Year 1		Year 2	Year 3	
EBIT	500	500	500	
Taxes				
EBIT (1-t)				
Tax rate				

Net Capital Expenditures

- 137
- Net capital expenditures represent the difference between capital expenditures and depreciation.
 Depreciation is a cash inflow that pays for some or a lot (or sometimes all of) the capital expenditures.
- In general, the net capital expenditures will be a function of how fast a firm is growing or expecting to grow. High growth firms will have much higher net capital expenditures than low growth firms.
- Assumptions about net capital expenditures can therefore never be made independently of assumptions about growth in the future.

Capital expenditures should include

- Research and development expenses, once they have been re-categorized as capital expenses. The adjusted net cap ex will be
 - Adjusted Net Capital Expenditures = Net Capital Expenditures + Current year's R&D expenses - Amortization of Research Asset
- Acquisitions of other firms, since these are like capital expenditures. The adjusted net cap ex will be
 - Adjusted Net Cap Ex = Net Capital Expenditures + Acquisitions of other firms - Amortization of such acquisitions

□ Two caveats:

- Most firms do not do acquisitions every year. Hence, a normalized measure of acquisitions (looking at an average over time) should be used
- 2. The best place to find acquisitions is in the statement of cash flows, usually categorized under other investment activities

Cisco's Acquisitions: 1999

Acquired	Metho	d of Acquisiti	on	Price Paid
GeoTel		Pooling		\$1,344
Fibex		Pooling		\$318
Sentient		Pooling		\$103
American Inte	rnet	Purchase		\$58
Summa Four		Purchase		\$129
Clarity Wireles	SS	Purchase		\$153
Selsius System	S	Purchase		\$134
PipeLinks		Purchase		\$118
Amteva Tech		Purchase		\$159
				\$2,516

Cisco's Net Capital Expenditures in 1999

140

Cap Expenditures (from statement of G	CF) = \$ 584 mil
- Depreciation (from statement of CF)	= \$ 486 mil
Net Cap Ex (from statement of CF)= \$	98 mil
+ R & D expense	= \$ 1,594 mil
 Amortization of R&D 	= \$ 485 mil
+ Acquisitions	= \$ 2,516 mil
Adjusted Net Capital Expenditures	= \$3,723 mil

(Amortization was included in the depreciation number)

Working Capital Investments

- 141
- In accounting terms, the working capital is the difference between current assets (inventory, cash and accounts receivable) and current liabilities (accounts payables, short term debt and debt due within the next year)
- A cleaner definition of working capital from a cash flow perspective is the difference between non-cash current assets (inventory and accounts receivable) and non-debt current liabilities (accounts payable)
- For firms in some sectors, it is the investment in working capital that is the bigger part of reinvestment.

Working Capital: General Propositions

- 1. <u>Working Capital Detail</u>: While some analysts break down working capital into detail (inventory, deferred taxes, payables etc.), it is a pointless exercise unless you feel that you can bring some specific information that lets you forecast the details.
- 2. <u>Working Capital Volatility</u>: Changes in non-cash working capital from year to year tend to be volatile. So, building of the change in the most recent year is dangerous. It is better to either estimate the change based on working capital as a percent of sales, while keeping an eye on industry averages.
- 3. <u>Negative Working Capital</u>: Some firms have negative noncash working capital. Assuming that this will continue into the future will generate positive cash flows for the firm and will get more positive as growth increases.

Volatile Working Capital?

		Amazon	Cisco	Motorola		
	Revenues	\$ 1,640	\$12,154	\$30,931		
	Non-cash WC	-\$419	-\$404	\$2547		
	% of Revenues	-25.53%	-3.32%	8.23%		
	Change from last year	\$ (309)	(\$700)	(\$829)		
	Average: last 3 years	-15.16%	-3.16%	8.91%		
	Average: industry	8.71%	-2.71%	7.04%		
My Prediction						
	WC as % of Revenue	3.00%	0.00%	8.23%		

144 Cash Flows III

From the firm to equity

Aswath Damodaran

Dividends and Cash Flows to Equity

- In the strictest sense, the only cash flow that an investor will receive from an equity investment in a publicly traded firm is the dividend that will be paid on the stock.
- Actual dividends, however, are set by the managers of the firm and may be much lower than the potential dividends (that could have been paid out)
 - managers are conservative and try to smooth out dividends
 - managers like to hold on to cash to meet unforeseen future contingencies and investment opportunities
- When actual dividends are less than potential dividends, using a model that focuses only on dividends will under state the true value of the equity in a firm.