Example 4: A Housing Price Multiple

The bubbles and busts in housing prices has led investors to search for a multiple that they can use to determine when housing prices are getting out of line. One measure that has acquired adherents is the ratio of housing price to annual net rental income (for renting out the same house). Assume that you decide to compute this ratio and compare it to the multiple at which stocks are trading. Which valuation ratio would be the one that corresponds to the house price/rent ratio?

a. Price Earnings Ratio
b. EV to Sales
c. EV to EBITDA
d. EV to EBIT

Descriptive Tests

- What is the average and standard deviation for this multiple, across the universe (market)?
- What is the median for this multiple?
  - The median for this multiple is often a more reliable comparison point.
- How large are the outliers to the distribution, and how do we deal with the outliers?
  - Throwing out the outliers may seem like an obvious solution, but if the outliers all lie on one side of the distribution (they usually are large positive numbers), this can lead to a biased estimate.
- Are there cases where the multiple cannot be estimated? Will ignoring these cases lead to a biased estimate of the multiple?
- How has this multiple changed over time?

1. Multiples have skewed distributions…



2. Making statistics "dicey"

	Current PE	*Trailing PE*	*Forward PE*
Number of firms	*7887*	*7887*	*7887*
Number with PE	3403	3398	2820
Average	72.13	60.49	35.25
Median	20.88	19.74	18.32
Minimum	0.25	0.4	1.15
Maximum	23,100.	23,100.	5,230.91
Standard deviation	509.6	510.41	139.75
Standard error	8.74	8.76	2.63
Skewness	31.	32.77	25.04
25th percentile	13.578	13.2	14.32
75th percentile	33.86	31.16	25.66

3. Markets have a lot in common : Comparing Global PEs

PE Ratio Distribution: Global Comparison in January 2015

	Average	25th percenitle	Median	75th percentile
US	60.49	13.20	19.74	31.16
Europe	75.66	9.50	15.11	24.04
Japan	33.20	9.60	14.05	21.87
Aus, NZ & Canada	62.91	8.41	14.30	24.81
Emerging Markets	117.88	9.53	16.16	33.67
Global	90.60	9.83	16.04	29.26



3a. And the differences are sometimes revealing… Price to Book Ratios across globe – January 2013



	25th percentile	Median	75th percentile
US	0.86	1.54	3.16
Europe	0.67	1.22	2.33
Japan	0.44	0.67	1.03
Aus, NZ & Canada	0.62	1.21	2.50
Emerging Markets	0.64	1.18	2.18
Global	0.63	1.16	2.23

4. Simplistic rules almost always break down…6 times EBITDA was not cheap in 2010…



But it may be in 2015, unless you are in Japan, Australia or Canada

EV/EBITDA: A Global Comparison - January 2015

	Average	25th percenitle	Median	75th percentile
US	357.53	8.42	12.83	32.83
Europe	96.28	6.12	9.19	14.93
Japan	70.05	4.36	6.72	10.46
Aus, NZ & Canada	86.86	4.94	8.38	15.26
Emerging Markets	111.36	6.46	11.10	22.98
Global	137.47	6.16	10.10	19.56



Analytical Tests

- What are the fundamentals that determine and drive these multiples?
  - Proposition 2: Embedded in every multiple are all of the variables that drive every discounted cash flow valuation - growth, risk and cash flow patterns.
- How do changes in these fundamentals change the multiple?
  - The relationship between a fundamental (like growth) and a multiple (such as PE) is almost never linear.
  - Proposition 3: It is impossible to properly compare firms on a multiple, if we do not know how fundamentals and the multiple move.

A Simple Analytical device

Equity Multiple or Firm Multiple

Equity Multiple

1. Start with an equity DCF model (a dividend or FCFE model)

$$P_0 = \frac{DPS_1}{r - g_n} \qquad P_0 = \frac{FCFE_1}{\text{Cost of equity} - g_n}$$

2. Isolate the denominator of the multiple in the model
3. Do the algebra to arrive at the equation for the multiple

Firm Multiple

1. Start with a firm DCF model (a FCFF model)

$$EV_0 = \frac{FCFF_1}{\text{Cost of capital} - g_n}$$

2. Isolate the denominator of the multiple in the model
3. Do the algebra to arrive at the equation for the multiple

I . PE Ratios

- To understand the fundamentals, start with a basic equity discounted cash flow model.
  - With the dividend discount model,

$$P_0 = \frac{DPS_1}{r - g_n}$$

  - Dividing both sides by the current earnings per share,

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = PE = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}*(1+g_n)}{r-g_n}$$

  - If this had been a FCFE Model,

$$P_0 = \frac{FCFE_1}{r - g_n}$$

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = PE = \frac{(FCFE/Earnings)*(1+g_n)}{r-g_n}$$

Using the Fundamental Model to Estimate PE For a High Growth Firm

- The price-earnings ratio for a high growth firm can also be related to fundamentals. In the special case of the two-stage dividend discount model, this relationship can be made explicit fairly simply:

$$P_0 = \frac{EPS_0 * \text{Payout Ratio} * (1+g) * \left(1 - \frac{(1+g)^n}{(1+r)^n}\right)}{r-g} + \frac{EPS_0 * \text{Payout Ratio}_n * (1+g)^n * (1+g_n)}{(r-g_n)(1+r)^n}$$

  - For a firm that does not pay what it can afford to in dividends, substitute FCFE/Earnings for the payout ratio.

- Dividing both sides by the earnings per share:

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = \frac{\text{Payout Ratio} * (1+g) * \left(1 - \frac{(1+g)^n}{(1+r)^n}\right)}{r-g} + \frac{\text{Payout Ratio}_n * (1+g)^n * (1+g_n)}{(r-g_n)(1+r)^n}$$

A Simple Example

- Assume that you have been asked to estimate the PE ratio for a firm which has the following characteristics:

Variable	*High Growth Phase*	*Stable Growth Phase*
Expected Growth Rate	25%	8%
Payout Ratio	20%	50%
Beta	1.00	1.00
Number of years	5 years	Forever after year 5

Riskfree rate = T.Bond Rate = 6%

Required rate of return = 6% + 1(5.5%)= 11.5%

$$\frac{P_0}{EPS_0} = \frac{.20*(1.25)*\left(1-\frac{(1.25)^5}{(1.115)^5}\right)}{.115-.25} + \frac{.50*(1.25)^5*(1.08)}{(.115-.08)(1.115)^5} = 28.75$$

a. PE and Growth: Firm grows at x% for 5 years, 8% thereafter



b. PE and Risk: A Follow up Example



Example 1: Comparing PE ratios across Emerging Markets- March 2014 (pre- Ukraine)



Russia looks really cheap, right?

Source: Datastream, IBES, UBS GEMs Strategy