SUMMARY STATISTICS

Documents prepared for use in courses C22.0103 and B01.1305, New York University, Stern School of Business

The standard deviation	page 3	
Computing a covariance and correlation from data	page 6	

© Gary Simon, 2010 revision date 10 JAN 2010

Cover photo: Fire Island Deer, Long Island, 2005

SOCK SOCK SOCK THE STANDARD DEVIATION SOCK SOCK SOCK SOCK

The empirical rules regarding standard deviations are these:

About $\frac{2}{3}$ of the values in a list are between \bar{x} - s and \bar{x} + s.

About 95% of the values in a list are between \bar{x} - 2s and \bar{x} + 2s.

Here \bar{x} represents the list mean and s is the list standard deviation. The "list" is usually a sample.

These can give us a good feel for what kind of answers we should get in assessing standard deviation, but certainly serious calculation will need a serious method. Here are four methods for getting a standard deviation.

We'll talk first about the *sample* standard deviation, used when you think your data values represent some subset of a population. There is a distinction between *sample* standard deviation and *population* standard deviation. It's not usually a big deal.

Method 1: This is the definition. The formula for the sample standard deviation s is

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$$

We often define $S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$, so that $s = \sqrt{\frac{S_{xx}}{n-1}}$. The symbol S_{xx} is the "sample corrected sum of squares." It's a computational intermediary and has no direct interpretation of its own.

Example: Consider this list of 5 values: 28 32 31 29 39 Start by finding the total 159 and hence the average $\frac{159}{5} = 31.8$. Now note the deviations from average and their squares.

Value	Deviation	Deviation ²
28	-3.8	14.44
32	0.2	0.04
31	-0.8	0.64
29	-2.8	7.84
39	7.2	51.84
TOTAL	0.0	74.80

The value 74.80 is S_{xx} .

Complete the arithmetic as
$$s = \sqrt{\frac{S_{xx}}{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{74.80}{5-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{74.80}{4}} = \sqrt{18.7} \approx 4.32$$

soce soce soce THE STANDARD DEVIATION soce soce soce soce

This arithmetic in this example is unrealistically easy. The computation for Method 1 is usually very messy and error-prone.

Method 2: The short-cut method. This uses the fact that

$$S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^2}{n}$$

In our case, n = 5, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 159$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = 5{,}131$, giving

$$S_{xx} = 5{,}131 - \frac{(159)^2}{5} = 5{,}131 - 5{,}056.2 = 74.80$$

The rest of calculation proceeds as above. This is the method used by calculators and by most computer routines.

Method 3: Use a handheld calculator. This is generally **not** recommended unless n is small. By the way, calculators can also use the *population* form $\frac{S_{xx}}{N}$ (rather than $\frac{S_{xx}}{n-1}$). We prefer the n - 1 form in nearly all cases. (It's conventional to use the upper case N for the size of a population, while lower case n is used for the size of a sample.)

Calculators that find standard deviations often have keys with s_{n-1} and s_N (or some variation). Usually with real data you want the n-1 version, since you rarely have access to the whole population.

The difference between dividing by "count" versus "count minus 1" is numerically small.

If we had defined population S with divisor N - 1 (and there are several good reasons to do so) then the world would have been simpler.

soce soce soce the STANDARD DEVIATION soce soce soce soce

Method 4: Use a computer program. This is the best technique. Minitab computes correlations through $\underline{Stat} \Rightarrow \underline{Basic\ Statistics} \Rightarrow \underline{Correlation}$ or through $\underline{Calc} \Rightarrow \underline{Column\ Statistics} \Rightarrow \underline{Standard\ deviation}$.

The clerical task of entering numbers into a data file is never more difficult than the work for methods 1, 2, or 3. Moreover, numbers in a data file can be easily checked and edited and used for many other purposes.

If your data are worthy or serious attention, then the standard deviation is not the only thing you are going to be asking about them!

The quantity
$$\frac{S_{xx}}{n-1} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i\right)^2}{n}}{n-1} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2 - n\,\overline{x}^2}{n-1}$$
 is called the sample variance. Thus

 $[standard deviation]^2 = variance$

standard deviation = $\sqrt{\text{variance}}$

A *population* consists of the entire set of possible objects of interest. If we've got the numbers for the whole population, then the calculation of the population standard deviation would divide by the population size (usually denoted as N) and call the result σ (rather than s). That is,

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{S_{xx}}{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2}{N}}$$

When working with the entire population, the mean $\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N}x_{i}}{N}$ is usually denoted as μ (rather than \overline{x}).

If you can't decide whether you're looking at a population or a sample, then almost certainly you've got a sample. Only for very special situations do we have data on an entire population. Use s, the one with divisor n - 1.

VARIANCE, COVARIANCE, AND CORRELATION CALCULATIONS

Suppose that $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ is a list of values with mean \overline{x} . The (sample) variance of the x's is defined as

$$s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

The calculation of s_x^2 by hand, by calculator, or by computer is usually done through the formula

$$s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^2}{n} \right]$$

The square root is s_x , the sample standard deviation of the x's.

If we had a matching sample of y's, say $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$, then we could make parallel calculations. By matching, we mean that x_1 and y_1 are collected from the same data point, x_2 and y_2 are collected from the same data point, and so on. Thus, the sample variance of the y's is defined as

$$s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y})^2$$

In parallel with the above, the calculation of s_y^2 by hand, by calculator, or by computer is usually done through the formula

$$s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^2}{n} \right]$$

The square root is s_y , the sample standard deviation of the y's.

We can compute one additional quantity which tells how the x's and y's tend to behave relative to each other. This quantity is the sample covariance, defined as

$$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) (y_i - \overline{y})$$

The calculation of s_{xy} is usually done through the formula

$$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ y_i - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right)}{n} \right]$$

Covariances are not easy to interpret. They are calculated in product units; for example, if the x's are in dollars and the y's in tons, then s_{xy} is in units of dollar-tons. Accordingly, statisticians routinely present this information in the form of correlations. Specifically, we define the sample correlation between the x's and y's to be

$$r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}$$

There are alternate formulas for the sample correlation r.

$$[1] r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}}$$

[2]
$$r = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{s_x} \right) \left(\frac{y_i - \overline{y}}{s_y} \right)$$

With regard to [1],
$$S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$
, $S_{yy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$, and

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})$$
. Note that upper case S is used here.

The expressions S_{xx} , S_{yy} , and S_{xy} have no direct interpretations; they should be regarded as computational intermediates. It happens that, and some people find this helpful for computing purposes. See the note below on alternate forms for S_{xx} , S_{yy} , and S_{xy} .

Form [2] is not useful for computing purposes. Its merit comes in showing that the sample correlation r is (almost) the average of the product of the z-scores. Of course, $\frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{s_x}$ is the z-score corresponding

to x_i and $\frac{y_i - \overline{y}}{s_y}$ is the z-score corresponding to y_i .

The expressions S_{xx} , S_{yy} , and S_{xy} can be written in a number of forms as well. These can be verified by routine algebra. These other forms are not particularly useful or helpful or intellectually interesting. They are presented just because you might see them elsewhere.

$$S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^2}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - n \, \overline{x}^2$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right)^2}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 - n \, \overline{y}^2$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right)}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - n \, \overline{x} \, \overline{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \overline{y})$$

It is always true that $-1 \le r_{xy} \le +1$. Here are some quick interpretations for the correlation:

If $r_{xy} = +1$, then for some numbers a and b (with b > 0), it happens that $y_i = a + b x_i$. That is, a plot of the data would show that all points lie on a straight line of positive slope.

If $r_{xy} = -1$, then for some numbers a and b (with b < 0), it happens that $y_i = a + b x_i$. That is, a plot of the data would show that all points lie on a straight line of negative slope.

If $r_{xy} = 0$, then there is a complete absence of a straight-line relationship between the x's and the y's. A plot of the data would show aimless scatter, though it occasionally happens that a non-linear (curved) relationship corresponds to a correlation of zero. In practice, sample correlations are rarely exactly zero, though they can be very close to zero.

VARIANCE, COVARIANCE, AND CORRELATION CALCULATIONS

MAMMAMMAMMAMMAMMAMMMAMMM

In-between values of r_{xy} are taken as measures of the strength of the relationship. Thus a value $r_{xy} = 0.31$ would indicate a weak positive relationship between the x's and the y's, while a value $r_{xy} = -0.82$ would indicate a fairly strong negative relationship.

The descriptions used here so far all are for calculations from data. We need to be able to think at three different levels:

- * Data. These correspond to actual numbers that we have collected. We can conceptualize data in terms like $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. These symbols are used just like algebra symbols. We can then describe operations like \overline{x}
 - $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \text{ sample mean and } s_x = \text{ sample standard deviation in general algebraic terms without bringing in specific numbers.}$
- * Population. Things that we collect as data will be conceptualized as coming from a population. If the data x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{43} are the weights of 43 wild cats trapped by a biologist, we will conceptualize these as coming from a population of cats. We might describe this as the *X*-population. This population will have a mean μ_x and a standard deviation σ_x . The symbols μ_x and σ_x are non-random.
- * Random variable. Individual averages taken from the population are conceptualized as random, and we would describe this as the X-population, exactly as in the point above. In the cat example, we would use X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{43} to represent the random phenomenon of catching 43 cats and weighing them to produce the data values x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{43} . This use of upper-case X and lower-case x is standard. A generic random variable would be denoted as the random variable X, and this X denotes the *idea* implicit in catching and weighing a cat. The random variable symbol X does not denote a data value or an algebra symbol; it's an *idea*. The random variable X has an expected value (or mean) μ_X and a standard deviation σ_X . These are exactly the same symbols as in the point above with regard to a population. We would use a set of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n to denote n repetitions of the process of catching and weighing a cat.

If the sampling process is random, then the X_i 's will be statistically independent, and each will have the same mean and standard deviation. That's a longer story for another time.

VARIANCE, COVARIANCE, AND CORRELATION CALCULATIONS

Quantities that are computed from random variables are themselves random variables. Thus $\overline{X} = (X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n)/n$ is a random variable (and we denote it by an upper case letter). The sample median X_{median} is a random variable as well.

Quantities that we compute with data are designed to estimate population parameters. This is true of \bar{x} , which is designed to estimate μ_x . The table below outlines what is going on. You may notice that for some of the symbols it is routine to distinguish the data version (lower-case) with the random variable version (upper-case), while for other symbols the distinction can only be inferred from context.

	Data version	Random variable version	Population parameter that it estimates
Average	\overline{x}	\overline{X}	μ_x
Standard deviation	$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i - \overline{x}\right)^2}$	$s_{x} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}$	σ_x
Average	\overline{y}	\overline{Y}	μ_y
Standard deviation	$s_{y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$	$s_{y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}}$	σ_y
Covariance	$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})$	$s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X}) (Y_i - \overline{Y})$	σ_{xy}
Correlation	$r = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}$	$r = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}$	ρ