

## The Continuous-Time Financial Market

1. Security prices – Itô processes
  - ▶ Brownian motion
  - ▶ Stochastic integrals
  - ▶ Stopping times
  - ▶ Local martingales
  - ▶ Itô's lemma
2. Markov model
3. Trading strategies – self-financing, square-integrable, tame
4. Market prices of risk
5. Equivalent martingale measures – Girsanov theorem
6. Portfolio value – martingale properties, lower bounds
7. Market completeness – Martingale representation theorem

### Readings and References

Back, chapter 13.

Karatzas and Shreve, 1998, chapter 1.

Karatzas and Shreve, 1991.

Now let's develop these results more explicitly in a rich but tractable setting with continuous trading and security price processes constructed from Brownian motion.

- ▶ There is a finite time horizon  $[0, T]$ .
- ▶ The filtered probability space is  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \mathbf{F}^B)$  where  $\mathbf{F}^B$  is the filtration (information) generated by a  $d$ -dim'l Brownian motion  $B = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_d)$ .
- ▶ The consumption space  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of pairs  $(c, W)$  where  $c$  is an adapted consumption rate process with  $\int_0^T |c_t| dt < \infty$  a.s. and  $W$  is a random variable representing terminal (time  $T$ ) wealth.
- ▶ There are  $n + 1$  securities traded, with ex-dividend prices  $S = (S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ .
- ▶ Security 0 is a "bond" or *locally riskless* money market account earning the *instantaneous riskless rate*  $r_t$ . I.e.,

$$\frac{dS_{0,t}}{S_{0,t}} = r_t dt \Leftrightarrow S_{0,t} = S_{0,0} e^{\int_0^t r_u du} \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is an adapted process with  $\int_0^T |r_t| dt < \infty$  a.s.

- ▶ The  $n$  "risky" asset prices are strictly positive Itô processes, each satisfying

$$\frac{dS_{k,t}}{S_{k,t}} = [\mu_{k,t} - \delta_{k,t}] dt + \underbrace{\sigma_{k,t}}_{1 \times d} dB_t . \quad (2)$$

The  $n$ -dimensional *instantaneous expected return* process  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  is adapted and satisfies  $\int_0^T |\mu_t| dt < \infty$  a.s., the  $n$ -dimensional *dividend payout rate* process  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  is adapted and satisfies  $\int_0^T |\delta_t| dt < \infty$  a.s., and

the  $n \times d$ -matrix-valued *volatility* process  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n \end{pmatrix}$  is adapted and satisfies

$\int_0^T \|\sigma_{k,t}\|^2 dt < \infty$  a.s. for each  $k$ .

- ▶ Equation (2) above is shorthand for

$$S_{k,t} = S_{k,0} e^{\int_0^t [\mu_{k,u} - \delta_{k,u} - |\sigma_{k,u}|^2/2] du + \int_0^t \sigma_{k,u} dB_u} . \quad (3)$$

- ▶ W.l.o.g. assume  $n \leq d$  unless you want to track redundant securities for a reason.
- ▶ Let's briefly review Brownian motion, stochastic integrals, and Itô processes.

## Brownian Motion

**Definition 1** A continuous, adapted process  $B$  is a *standard Brownian motion* if  $B_0 = 0$  and for any  $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$ , the increment  $B_s - B_t$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $s - t$ . A process  $X$  is a *Brownian motion* if  $X_t = X_0 + \mu t + \sigma B_t \forall [t \in [0, T]]$ , where  $\mu$  is constant.

**Proposition 1** A process  $X$  is continuous with stationary independent increments if and only if  $X$  is a Brownian motion.

**Proposition 2** The sample paths of a Brownian motion have infinite variation and finite quadratic variation. I.e., for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} |B_{t^*(i+1)/2^n} - B_{t^*i/2^n}| = \infty \text{ a.s.}, \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} |B_{t^*(i+1)/2^n} - B_{t^*i/2^n}|^2 = t \text{ a.s.} \quad (5)$$

**Definition 2** An *d-dimensional Brownian motion* is a vector-valued process  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_d)$ , where each  $B_j$  is a Brownian motion,  $\forall j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $B_i$  is independent of  $B_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

## Stochastic Integrals

Now let's define the stochastic integral  $\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s$  of a process  $\theta$  w.r.t. a Brownian motion  $B$ . A path-by-path Riemann-Stieltjes definition won't work when the integrand  $\theta$  has infinite variation, so we build it up starting with "simple" integrands.

**Definition 3** An adapted process  $\theta$  satisfying  $E \int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt < \infty$  is *simple* if there exists a finite partition

$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_J = T$  of  $[0, T]$  and random variables  $\theta_j \in \mathcal{F}_{t_j}$  such that

$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta_0 & \text{if } t \in [t_0, t_1] \\ \theta_j & \text{if } t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}] \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$\forall j = 0, \dots, J - 1$ .

The stochastic integral of a simple integrand  $\theta$  can be defined path by path as

$$I_t = \int_0^t \theta_s dB_s = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \theta_j [B_{t_{j+1} \wedge t} - B_{t_j \wedge t}]. \quad (7)$$

**Proposition 3** The stochastic integral  $I_t$  of a simple integrand  $\theta$  is continuous, adapted to  $\mathbf{F}$ , linear in  $\theta$ , an  $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ -martingale, and for simple  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \theta_{1,s} dB_s\right)\left(\int_0^t \theta_{2,s} dB_s\right)\right] = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \theta_{1,s} \theta_{2,s} ds . \quad (8)$$

- ▶ Next, it turns out that every process  $\theta$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}\{\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt\} < \infty$ , which we'll call "strongly square-integrable," has a sequence of simple processes that converge to it, and the limit of the integrals of these processes exists and is unique.
- ▶ So we define the stochastic integral of a strongly square-integrable process  $\theta$  as the limit of the integrals of any sequence of simple processes that converges to  $\theta$ .
- ▶ This is the so-called Itô-integral and it satisfies the same properties as the integrals of the simple processes listed above: the Itô-integral is continuous, adapted, linear in its integrand, an  $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ -martingale, and the expectation of the product of stochastic integrals is the expectation of time-integral of the product of the integrands.
- ▶ Finally, it is also possible to define the Itô integral of adapted processes  $\theta$  that satisfy  $\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt < \infty$  a.s., which we'll call "weakly square-integrable," as follows.

**Definition 4** An  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable map  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, T] \cup \{\infty\}$  is a *stopping time* if  $\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \forall t \in [0, T]$ .

- ▶ It is always possible to interpret a stopping time as the first time an event occurs.

**Definition 5** A process  $X$  is a *local martingale* if  $\exists$  a sequence of stopping times  $\tau_n \uparrow T$  a.s. s.t. each stopped process  $X^{\tau_n}$  is a martingale.

- ▶ Now, for any weakly square-integrable process  $\theta$  there exists a sequence of *stopping times*  $\tau_n \uparrow T$  a.s. s.t. each of the *stopped processes*  $\theta_n = \theta \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_n}$  is strongly square-integrable. Then we can define the Itô integral of a weakly square-integrable process as the limit of the integrals of the stopped processes that converges to it.
- ▶ The Itô integral of a weakly square-integrable process will have all of the properties above, except that it may be only a *local martingale*, not a martingale.
- ▶ An example of a local martingale that is not a martingale is a wealth process under a doubling strategy.

## Itô Processes

**Definition 6** A process  $X$  adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}^B$  generated by a Brownian motion  $B$  is an *Itô process* if  $\exists$  an adapted real-valued process  $\mu$  with  $\int_0^T |\mu_t| dt < \infty$  a.s., which we'll call "absolutely integrable," and an  $\mathcal{R}^n$ -valued weakly square-integrable process  $\sigma$  s.t.

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \mu_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma'_s dB_s \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \text{ a.s.} \quad (9)$$

The process  $\mu$  is called the *drift* of  $X$  and the process  $\sigma$  is called the *diffusion* of  $X$ .

- ▶ Equation (9) can be written in differential form as  $dX_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma'_t dB_t$ .
- ▶ An Itô process is a local martingale iff it has zero drift.

**Definition 7** If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are Itô processes with  $dX_{it} = \mu_{it} dt + \sigma_{it} dB_t$ , the *quadratic variation* of  $X_i$  is

$$\langle X_i, X_i \rangle_t \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} (X_{i,(j+1)t/2^n} - X_{i,jt/2^n})^2 = \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds, \quad (10)$$

and the *covariation* of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is

$$\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle_t \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} (X_{1,(i+1)t/2^n} - X_{1,it/2^n})(X_{2,(j+1)t/2^n} - X_{2,jt/2^n}) \quad (11)$$

$$= \int_0^t \sigma_{1s} \sigma'_{2s} ds, \quad (12)$$

where the convergence above is in probability.

- ▶ As a mnemonically helpful shorthand, some write  $d\langle X_i, X_i \rangle_t = (dX_i)^2$  and  $d\langle X_i, X_j \rangle_t = (dX_i)(dX_j)$ .
- ▶ If  $X$  is an Itô process, and  $f$  is a smooth real-valued function, then  $f(X)$  is also an Itô process, and Itô's lemma gives its drift and diffusion:

**Itô's Lemma** Let  $X$  be an  $m$ -dimensional Itô process as in equation (9) and let  $f : \mathcal{R}^m \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be  $C^{2,1}$ . Then  $f(X_t, t)$  is also an Itô process with  $df = f_t dt + f_X dX + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[f_{XX} \sigma \sigma'] dt = (\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[f_{XX} \sigma \sigma'] + f_X \mu + f_t) dt + f_X \sigma dB$ .

- ▶ In the shorthand, the Taylor expansion underlying Itô's lemma is more apparent, and this becomes more memorable as  $df = f_t dt + f_X dX + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} (dX_i)(dX_j)$ .

**Example 1** To gain intuition for the 2nd-order term with  $f_{XX}$ , let  $X_t = B_t$  and  $f(X) = X^2$ . The usual calculus  $df = f_X dX$  would yield  $f(B_1) = \int_0^1 2B_t dB_t$  and  $E\{f(B_1)\} = E\{B_1^2\} = 0$ , which is incorrect. Including the 2nd-order term gives  $f(B_1) = \int_0^1 2B_t dB_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 2 dt$  so  $E\{f(B_1)\} = E\{B_1^2\} = 1$ . The 2nd-order term captures the “Jensen’s inequality” adjustment to the drift of  $f$  which is increasing in both the convexity of  $f$  and the volatility of  $X$ .

**Example 2** Let  $X_t = -\int_0^t \theta'_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 dt$ . Let  $f(X) = e^X$ . Then  $df = f dX + \frac{1}{2} f \theta^2 dt$  or  $\frac{df}{f} = -\theta dB$ , so  $f = e^{-\int_0^t \theta'_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 dt}$  is a local martingale.

**Example 3** Consider again the continuous-time model of security prices:

$$\frac{dS_{0,t}}{S_{0,t}} = r_t dt \text{ and } \frac{dS_{k,t}}{S_{k,t}} = [\mu_{k,t} - \delta_{k,t}] dt + \underbrace{\sigma_{k,t}}_{1 \times d} dB_t, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

- ▶ Use Itô’s lemma to show  $S_{k,t} = S_{k,0} e^{\int_0^t [\mu_{k,u} - \delta_{k,u} - |\sigma_{k,u}|^2/2] du + \int_0^t \sigma_{k,u} dB_u}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $r, \mu, \delta$  and  $\sigma$  can be any suitably integrable, adapted processes.
- ▶ **Special Case: Markov Model** It is often convenient to specialize to the case in which the coefficients  $r, \mu, \delta$  and  $\sigma$  are functions of  $(S, Y, t)$ , where  $Y$  is a vector of *state variables* with  $Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \mu_Y(Y_u, u) du + \int_0^t \sigma_Y(Y_u, u)' dB_u$ . Under Lipschitz and growth conditions on the coefficients,  $(S, Y)$  is Markov.

### Continuous-Time Trading Strategies

- ▶ We can specify a trading strategy in the  $n + 1$  securities either in terms of the *number of shares* of each security held at time  $t$ ,  $N_t = (N_{0,t}, N_{1,t}, \dots, N_{n,t})$ , or in terms of the *value* invested in each security,  $\bar{\pi}_t = (\pi_{0,t}, \pi_{1,t}, \dots, \pi_{n,t}) \equiv (\pi_{0,t}, \pi_t)$ , where each  $\pi_k = N_k S_k$ .
- ▶ The integrability condition on the trading strategy is easier to state in terms of the (row-vector) of values invested in the  $n$  risky assets,  $\pi$ .

**Definition 8** A *trading strategy* is an  $n + 1$ -dimensional adapted process  $\bar{\pi}_t = (\pi_{0,t}, \pi_{1,t}, \dots, \pi_{n,t}) \equiv (\pi_{0,t}, \pi_t)$  with  $\int_0^T |\pi_t \sigma_t|^2 dt < \infty$  a.s.

- ▶ We’ll focus on tight trading strategies, eliminate  $\pi_0$  and just specify  $\pi$ .

**Definition 9** Starting from initial wealth  $x_0$ , a *tight trading strategy*  $\pi$  generates consumption plan  $(c, W)$  and wealth process  $X_t^{\pi, c, x_0} = X_t$  if

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t r_u X_u du + \int_0^t \pi_u (\mu_u - r_u) du + \int_0^t \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u - \int_0^t c_u du \quad (13)$$

(the continuous-time WEE) and  $X_T = W$ .

- ▶ The economic effect of the dividends is that if a share of security  $k$  is held in a portfolio for an instant in time, then it changes portfolio value by  $dS_k + \delta_k S_k dt = \mu_k S_k dt + \sigma_k S_k dB$ . Thus, holding  $\mu_k$  constant, the effect on the portfolio is invariant to  $\delta_k$ . Nevertheless, we keep track of the dividend rate, because it affects the ex-dividend security price, which is the basis for many derivative contracts.
- ▶ Equation (13) can also be written  $N_t S_t = N_0 S_0 + \int_0^t N_u dS_u$  if there are no dividends and intermediate consumption.
- ▶ The “tightness” of the trading strategy, i.e., the self-financing condition, is essentially the restriction that

$$d(NS) = NdS \quad (14)$$

and the additional terms from Itô’s lemma,  $S dN + d\langle N, S \rangle_t$  are zero. This is the continuous-time analog to more intuitive simple self-financing condition  $N_{t_j} S_{t_j} = N_{t_{j-1}} S_{t_j} \forall j = 1, \dots, J - 1$  that we saw for simple trading strategies before.

### Market Prices of Risk and Equivalent Martingale Measures

**Definition 10** A *market price of risk* (mpr) is an adapted  $d$ -dim’l process  $\theta$  s.t.

$$\underbrace{\mu_t}_{n \times 1} - r_t \underbrace{\mathbf{1}}_{n \times 1} = \underbrace{\sigma_t}_{n \times d} \underbrace{\theta_t}_{d \times 1} \text{ a.s. a.e.} \quad (15)$$

**Proposition 4** No arbitrage  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a market price of risk  $\theta$ .

- ▶ The  $d$ -factor risk structure together with the wide range of available trading strategies here means that the cross-section of expected returns must respect this structure, i.e., instantaneous excess expected returns must be linear in factor loadings.

**Proof** No arbitrage  $\Rightarrow$  If  $\pi_t \sigma_t = 0$  then  $\pi_t [\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}] = 0$  a.s., a.e.. Otherwise, from WEE (13), one could construct a trading strategy that generated positive consumption from zero wealth. From linear algebra, the statement “If  $\pi_t \sigma_t = 0$  then  $\pi_t [\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}] = 0$ ” is equivalent to the CSER Equation (15).

- ▶ It turns out that from any well-behaved mpr  $\theta$  we can construct an equivalent martingale measure  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .

**Definition 11** The *riskless discount factor* is  $\beta_t \equiv e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}$ , risklessly discounted security prices are  $S_t^* = \beta_t S_t$ , and risklessly discounted dividends are

$$D^*(t) \equiv \left( \int_0^t S_{1,u}^* \delta_{1,u} du, \dots, \int_0^t S_{n,u}^* \delta_{n,u} du \right). \quad (16)$$

**Definition 12** A probability measure  $\mathcal{P}^*$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$  is an *equivalent martingale measure* if  $\mathcal{P}^* \sim \mathcal{P}$  and discounted cum-dividend stock prices  $G^*(t) \equiv S_t^* + D^*(t)$  are local martingales under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .

This is a relaxed version of the previous emm definition that's as far as we can go without further restrictions.

**Proposition 5** If there exists a mpr  $\theta$  s.t.  $\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt \leq \infty$  a.s. and the process

$$Z_t \equiv e^{-\int_0^t \theta'_u dB_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_u|^2 du} \quad (17)$$

is a martingale, then  $\mathcal{P}^*$  defined by  $\frac{d\mathcal{P}^*}{d\mathcal{P}} = Z_T$  is an emm.

The proof uses the Girsanov theorem. Let's review it now.

**Girsanov Theorem** Let  $B$  be an  $n$ -dim'l Brownian motion under  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^B$  its natural filtration, and  $\mathcal{P}^*$  a probability measure equivalent to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\exists$  a process  $\theta$  with  $\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt \leq \infty$  a.s. s.t.

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}^*}{d\mathcal{P}} = e^{-\int_0^T \theta'_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt} \quad (18)$$

and  $B_t^* \equiv B_t + \int_0^t \theta_s ds$  is an  $n$ -dim'l Brownian motion under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Moreover, if  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \mu_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s$  is an Itô process under  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $X$  is an Itô process under  $\mathcal{P}^*$  with representation  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t (\mu_s - \sigma_s \theta_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s^*$ .

► Here is some intuition. Suppose  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  under  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $X \sim N(-\theta, 1)$  under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . The p.d.f. of  $X$  is  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$  under  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $f^*(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x+\theta)^2/2}$  under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . So  $\frac{f^*(x)}{f(x)} = e^{-\theta x - \theta^2/2}$ . Further, for any function  $g(X)$ , the  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -mean is

$$E^*\{g(X)\} = E\left\{g(X) \frac{f^*(X)}{f(X)}\right\} = E\{g(X) e^{-\theta X - \theta^2/2}\}.$$

Girsanov says the mean shift can be done to Itô processes Brownian increment by Brownian increment, with an adapted, mean shift process  $\theta$ .

► Note that equivalent changes of measure on a Brownian space can only change drift, not diffusion.



**Proof of Proposition 5** Note  $\frac{d\mathcal{P}^*}{d\mathcal{P}} = Z_T > 0$  and  $E\{Z_T\} = 1$ , so  $\mathcal{P}^* \sim \mathcal{P}$ . Next, it follows from the Girsanov Theorem that  $B_t^* \equiv B_t + \int_0^t \theta_s ds$  is an  $n$ -dim'l Brownian motion under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , so  $G_k^*(t)$  is a local martingale for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$dG_{k,t}^* = dS_{k,t}^* + dD_{k,t}^* = d(\beta_t S_{k,t}) + \beta_t S_{k,t} \delta_{k,t} dt \quad (19)$$

$$= \beta_t dS_{k,t} - r_t \beta_t S_{k,t} dt + \beta_t S_{k,t} \delta_{k,t} dt = \beta_t S_{k,t} [(\mu_{k,t} - r_t) dt + \sigma_{k,t} dB_t] \quad (20)$$

$$= \beta_t S_{k,t} \sigma_{k,t} [\theta_t dt + dB_t] = \beta_t S_{k,t} \sigma_{k,t} dB_t^* . \quad (21)$$

**Proposition 6** If  $\theta$  is a mpr and  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is its associated emm, then the discounted cum-consumption value of wealth  $\beta_t X_t + \int_0^t \beta_s c_s ds$  is also a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -local martingale under any tight trading strategy  $\pi$ .

**Proof** From Itô's lemma,  $d(\beta_t X_t) = -r_t \beta_t X_t + \beta_t dX_t$ , so discounting with the riskless discount factor  $\beta$  absorbs the interest term in WEE 13, and switching from  $dB$  to  $dB^* = dB + \theta dt$  absorbs excess returns, giving WEE\*:

$$\beta_t X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \beta_u \pi_u (\mu_u - r_u) du + \int_0^t \beta_u \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u - \int_0^t \beta_u c_u du \quad (22)$$

$$= x_0 + \int_0^t \beta_u \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u^* - \int_0^t \beta_u c_u du . \quad (23)$$

## Tame Trading Strategies and Supermartingales

**Definition 13** A trading strategy  $\pi$  starting from wealth  $x_0$  is *tame* if  $\beta X^{x_0, \pi} \geq -K$  for some finite constant  $K$ .

**Proposition 7** A local martingale that is bounded below is a supermartingale.

The proof uses Fatou's lemma.

**Fatou's lemma** If  $\{X_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative random variables, then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{G}] \geq E[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n | \mathcal{G}]$ .

Apply this to the sequence  $\{X_s^{\tau_n}\}$  with  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_t$  to get  $X_t \geq E\{X_s | \mathcal{F}_t\}$ .

**Proposition 8** If  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is an emm and  $\pi$  is a tame, tight trading strategy generating a nonnegative consumption plan  $(c, W)$ , then the discounted cum-consumption wealth  $\beta_t X_t^{x_0, \pi, c} + \int_0^t \beta_u c_u ds = x_0 + \int_0^t \beta_u \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u^*$  is a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -local martingale bounded below, and thus a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -supermartingale. Therefore,

$$X_t^{x_0, \pi, c} \geq E^* \left\{ \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^u r_s ds} c_u du + e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} W | \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \forall t \in [0, T].$$

**Corollary 9** If there exists an emm, there are no tame arbitrage opportunities.

## Complete Markets and Martingales

**Lemma 1** No arbitrage  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a unique mpr  $\theta$  s.t. every mpr  $\hat{\theta}$  can be written as  $\hat{\theta} = \theta + \nu$  where  $\sigma\nu = 0$  a.s. a.e. If  $\text{rank}(\sigma) = n$  then  $\theta = \sigma'[\sigma\sigma']^{-1}(\mu - r1)$ .

We'll focus on this mpr  $\theta$  and its associated emm  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and Brownian motion  $B^*$ .

**Definition 14** A trading strategy  $\pi$  is *martingale-generating* if  $\int_0^t \beta_u \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u^*$  is a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -martingale, not just a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -local martingale.

**Proposition 10** If a tame tr. str.  $\pi$  starting from wealth  $x_0$  generates a consumption plan  $(c, W)$  that is bounded below, then  $\pi$  is tight and martingale-generating  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$X_t^{x_0, \pi, c} = E^* \left\{ \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^u r_s ds} c_u du + e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} W | \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (24)$$

**Definition 15** The market is *complete* if every cons. plan  $(c, W)$  with  $E^* \left\{ \int_0^T \beta_u |c_u| du + \beta_T |W| \right\} < \infty$  can be generated by a tight, martingale-generating trading strategy.

**Theorem 1** The market is complete  $\Leftrightarrow n = d$  and  $\sigma$  is nonsingular.

The proof uses the Martingale Representation Theorem.

**Martingale Representation Theorem** Let  $B$  be an  $n$ -dim'l Brownian motion,  $\mathbf{F}^B$  its natural filtration, and  $X$  a local martingale w.r.t.  $\mathbf{F}^B$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -dim'l adapted process with  $\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt < \infty$  s.t.  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \theta'_u dB_u$ . Moreover,  $X$  is an  $L^p(\mathcal{P})$ -martingale for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$  iff  $E[(\int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt)^{\frac{p}{2}}] < \infty$ .

**Lemma 2 (Representation of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -martingales)** If  $M^*$  is a  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -martingale then there exists an adapted, square-integrable process  $\psi$  s.t.  $M_t^* = M_0 + \int_0^t \psi'_u dB_u^*$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1**  $\Leftarrow$ : Given consumption plan  $(c, W)$ , let

$$M_t^* = E^* \left\{ \int_0^T \beta_u c_u du + \beta_T W | \mathcal{F}_t \right\}.$$

By the M.R.T.  $M_t^* = M_0^* + \int_0^t \psi'_u dB_u^*$  for some adapted, square-integrable process  $\psi$ . Let  $\pi = \psi' \sigma^{-1} / \beta$  and let  $x_0 = M_0^*$ . Then, by the WEE\*,  $\pi$  generates  $(c, W)$  starting from wealth  $x_0$ . In particular, evaluating WEE\* at time  $t = T$  gives

$$\beta_T X_T + \int_0^T \beta_u c_u du = x_0 + \int_0^T \beta_u \pi_u \sigma_u dB_u^* = M_T^* = \int_0^T \beta_u c_u du + \beta_T W. \quad (25)$$

**Corollary 11** In a complete market, there exists a unique mpr  $\theta = \sigma^{-1}(\mu - r1)$ .

## Problem Set 2

1. Suppose the price in yen  $P$  of a Japanese stock and the exchange rate  $X$  dollars per yen are Itô processes given by

$$dP/P = \mu_P dt + \sigma'_P dB , \quad (26)$$

$$dX/X = \mu_X dt + \sigma'_X dB , \quad (27)$$

where  $B$  is standard 2-dimensional Brownian motion. Describe the dynamics of the price  $Y$  of the stock in dollars.

2. Suppose  $\text{rank}(\sigma_t) = n$ . Let  $\nu$  be an  $d$ -dimensional process with  $\sigma_t \nu_t = 0$  and let

$$\theta_t = \sigma'_t(\sigma_t \sigma'_t)^{-1}[\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}] , \quad (28)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_t = \theta_t + \nu_t , \quad (29)$$

$$\hat{Z}_t \equiv e^{-\int_0^t \hat{\theta}'_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\hat{\theta}_s|^2 ds} , \quad (30)$$

$$\beta_t \equiv e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} , \text{ and} \quad (31)$$

$$m_t \equiv \beta_t \hat{Z}_t . \quad (32)$$

Finally, let

$$Z_t \equiv e^{-\int_0^t \theta'_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds} , \quad (33)$$

$$m_t^* \equiv \beta_t Z_t , \quad (34)$$

$$m^* \equiv m_T^* . \quad (35)$$

- (a) Show that  $m^*$  is the only  $m$  of the form above in the payoff space, that is, it is the only such  $m$  for which there exists a trading strategy that strictly finances a consumption plan  $(c, W) \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $W = m$ .
- (b) Show that  $m_t^*$  is the  $m$  process with the smallest instantaneous volatility, or in other words, that its log has the smallest quadratic variation.
3. Verify the following: if  $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0^2$ , then
- (a)  $I^\theta$  is an  $L(\mathcal{P})^2$  martingale;
- (b)  $E[I_t^{\theta_1} I_t^{\theta_2}] = E[\int_0^t \theta_{1,s} \theta_{2,s} ds]$ .
- In addition, verify that  $\|I^{\theta_1} - I^{\theta_2}\|_{\mathcal{M}^2} = \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}$ .

- 4.(a) Use the Martingale Representation Theorem and Itô's Lemma to prove the following corollary:

Let  $\{B_t\}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  its natural filtration, and  $\{X_t\}$  a strictly positive local martingale adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional process  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^2$  such that

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\int_0^t \theta'_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds} . \quad (36)$$

- (b) Conclude that if  $Z \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$  is a strictly positive random variable measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , then  $Z$  has the representation (36).

5. Use the results in question 4 above, the lemma stated below, and Levy's Theorem (Prop 14 of Domenico Cuoco's lecture notes) to prove the Girsanov Theorem:

Let  $B_t$  be standard  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion on  $[0, T]$  under the probability measure  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  its natural filtration and let  $\mathcal{P}^*$  be a probability measure equivalent to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional process  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^2$  s.t.

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}^*}{d\mathcal{P}} = \exp\left(-\int_0^T \theta'_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\theta_t|^2 dt\right) \quad (37)$$

and

$$B_t^* \equiv B_t + \int_0^t \theta_s ds \quad (38)$$

is standard  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion on  $[0, T]$  under  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .

**Lemma** In the setting described above, define  $Z_t \equiv E\left\{\frac{d\mathcal{P}^*}{d\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right\}$ , a strictly positive martingale w.r.t  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ . If  $Y$  is an Itô process and  $ZY$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -local martingale, then  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{P}^*$ -local martingale.

6. Suppose  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5\}$  and let the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . Define the probability measure  $\mathcal{P}$  by

$$\mathcal{P}\{\omega_1\} = \mathcal{P}\{\omega_2\} = \mathcal{P}\{\omega_3\} = \mathcal{P}\{\omega_4\} = \mathcal{P}\{\omega_5\} = \frac{1}{5}.$$

Finally, suppose  $X_1, X_2$  are random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$  that take on the following values.

| state      | $X_1$ | $X_2$ |
|------------|-------|-------|
| $\omega_1$ | 1     | 2     |
| $\omega_2$ | 1     | 3     |
| $\omega_3$ | 2     | 3     |
| $\omega_4$ | 3     | 4     |
| $\omega_5$ | 3     | 4     |

- (a) What is the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_{X_1}$  generated by  $X_1$ ? What is the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_{X_2}$  generated by  $X_2$ ? (Formally,  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_X = \{X^{-1}(B) | B \in \mathcal{B}\}, \quad (39)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field with respect to which  $X$  is measurable.)

- (b) Specify the values of the random variables  $E\{X_2 | \mathcal{F}_{X_1}\}$  and  $E\{X_1 | \mathcal{F}_{X_2}\}$  for each  $\omega_i$ .
- (c) Suppose  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are the time 1 and 2 values of a stochastic process  $X$  with  $X_0 = 1$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2\}$  be the filtration generated by  $X$ . What is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ?  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ?  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ? (In the filtration generated by a stochastic process  $X$ , each  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the complete history of  $X$  up to and including time  $t$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{\mathcal{F}_{X_s} | s \leq t\}, \quad (40)$$

where the notation  $\sigma\{\}$ , or “ $\sigma$ -closure,” or the “ $\sigma$ -field generated by” is necessary because the union of  $\mathcal{F}_{X_1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{X_t}$  may not by itself represent a valid  $\sigma$ -field.)