Trading to hedge: Static hedging

Trading scenarios

□ Trading as a dealer (TRN)

- Strategy: post bids and asks, try to make money on the turn. *Maximize profits*
- □ Trading on information (F1, PD0)
 - Strategy: forecast price trend (possibly by reading the order flow). *Maximize profits*
- □ Hedging (H1, H3)
 - Goal is risk reduction. *Minimize tracking error*

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

Hedging: risk reduction We have some risk exposure that can't be directly mitigated (reduced). Example: A bank portfolio of loans might be exposed to risk from unexpected interest rate changes. The bank can't simply sell the loans because The loans are earning returns that the bank can't get elsewhere. There might be no market for the loans.

- Example: An airline is exposed to risk arising from changes in the price of fuel.
 - It might enter into long-term fixed-price contracts, but if the airline's projected fuel needs change, it will be difficult to modify the contracts.
- Example: A pension fund with a large portfolio of stocks has a negative market outlook in the short run (weeks or months).
 - Selling the stocks and repurchasing them will lead to substantial trading costs.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

We won't try to eliminate *all* risks.

- □ Hedging is expensive.
 - Most hedges will incur trading costs.
- □ The securities that we need may not exist.
- There are some risk exposures that we (or our investors) might want us to keep.
 - A bond fund with expertise in credit scoring might want to hedge interest rate risk, but not credit risk.
 - Investors in gold mining stocks usually want some exposure to the price of gold. They don't want the firm to eliminate this exposure.
- We want to be thoughtful and selective about the risks we hedge and the risks we keep.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

The basic hedging principle

- Reduce risk by establishing a position in a security that is negatively correlated with the risk exposure.
- Negative correlation: the *value of the hedge* moves against or opposite to the risk exposure.
 - The ideal hedging security is cheap to buy, easy to trade, and very highly correlated with the risk exposure.
 - If we can go long or short the hedging security, it doesn't matter of the correlation is positive or negative.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

Static hedging

- When we buy/sell the hedging security, we need to trade quickly.
 - Until the hedging position is established we have risk.
 - But if we trade too quickly we'll incur high trading costs.
- The trade-off is risk vs. cost
- If the hedge just needs to be set up initially, and doesn't have to be modified, it is a *static hedge*.
 - The hedging in the first RIT case is static.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

Dynamic hedging

- In some situations the hedge position must be adjusted after the initial set-up. This is a *dynamic hedge*.
- The need for dynamic hedging typically arises in
 - Stock portfolios that have put and call options.
 - Bond portfolios that try to match the duration of some liability.
- **□** The second RIT case involves a dynamic hedge.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved



Betting on the return difference, $r_{CAT} - r_M$

- □ If the return on the market is $r_M = 5\%$ and $r_{CAT} = 7\%$, she wants a return of 2%.
 - If $r_M = -11\%$ and $r_{CAT} = -8\%$, she wants a return of 3%
- □ She wants to be long CAT and short the market.
- She'll use the Standard and Poors Composite Index to approximate "the market".
- □ To mirror the market *"M,"* there are two candidate hedge securities.
 - She can go long or short the SPDR (ticker symbol "SPY")
 - She can go long or short the S&P Composite E-mini futures contract.

The index, the ETF, and the futures contract

- The S&P composite index is a weighted average of the prices of 500 stocks. It is computed every fifteen seconds.
 - Many market data systems use "SPX" to denote the index.
 - But since it is not a traded security "SPX" is not a real ticker symbol.
 - As of November, 2014, $SPX \approx 2,000$.
- Ticker symbol SPY refers to the exchange-traded-fund (ETF) based on the index.
 - It actually is traded. SPY *is* a real ticker symbol.
 - It is constructed to have a value of one-tenth the index.
 □ As of November, 2014 its price is SPY ≈ \$200.
 - The SPY tracks the SPX closely, but not perfectly.
 Discrepancies arise due to dividends, management fees, and so on.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

□ The E-mini S&P futures contract

 Ticker symbols for futures contracts have a two-character product code ("SP") followed by a month/year code that denotes the maturity of the contract.

• We'll use "SP" to denote the nearest maturity.

- The SP price quotes are reported in index points.
- The size of the contract is $$50 \times SPX$.
- The contract is *cash settled*.
 - Suppose I go long the contract today (time 0) at a price of $SP_0 = 2,000$.
 - Suppose at maturity (time *T*) the index is at $SPX_T = 2,100$.
 - I receive (from the short side)

 $(SPX_T - SP_0) \times \$50 = (2,100 - 2,000) \times \$50 = \$5,000$

Note: this discussion is somewhat simplified. It ignores margin and daily resettlement.









Method II: Buying CAT and shorting the futures contract
As in method I, Beth buys 100,000 sh of CAT
As of November, 2014 (time "0"), the level of the S&P index is about SPX₀ = 2,000.
An E-Mini S&P index futures contract has a notional value of \$50 × SPX = \$50 × 2,000 = \$100,000/contract.
She goes short \$10,000,000 / \$100,000

Suppose that $r_{CAT} = 7\%$ and $r_M = 5\%$ • CAT stock goes from \$100 to \$107. • Beth's 100,000 shares are now worth \$10,700,000. • " $r_M = 5\%$ ":The SPX goes from 2,000 to 2,100 • To settle her 100 short contracts, Beth pays (2,100 - 2,000) × \$50 × 100 = \$500,000 • The net gain is \$200,000 (a 2% return on the \$10 Million initial investment).



Problem: suppose that $r_{CAT} = -10\%$ and $r_M = -6\%$. Work through the numbers for method II. (How much to settle the futures contracts? What is the net percentage return?)

- □ Answer in online copy of handout
- □ Beth's shares are worth \$9,000,000
- " $r_M = -6\%$ ": The SPX goes from 2,000 to 1,880
 - To settle her 100 short contracts, Beth pays
 - $(1,880 2,000) \times $50 \times 100 = -$600,000$
 - Beth *receives* \$600,000
- □ Her net position is now worth \$9,600,000.
- □ This is a loss of \$400,000, a -4% return.

Situation 2: Removing the market *risk* from CAT

- Beth owns \$10 Million worth of CAT
- □ She likes CAT, but would like to eliminate the market *risk* in CAT.
 - Market risk: randomness in CAT's return that is *driven by the* market.
- We need a model of the joint randomness in CAT and the market.
 - We'll use a simple linear regression.
 - Regress the returns on CAT vs the returns on *M*.

```
Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved
```



Approach

- Download prices for CAT stock and the SPY (or the S&P index)
- We'll use month-end prices from 2009-2013.
- Construct monthly returns for CAT and the SPY.
- □ Plot them and find the best fit linear regression line.
 - A linear regression takes two variables "*x* and *y*" and relates them as a straight line plus an error.
 - For data point *i*, $y_i = \alpha + \beta \times x_i + e_i$
- □ The data and details are in workbook *H1.xlsx*, worksheet *CATSPY*, posted to the web.
- You'll be doing similar calculations for the stocks in the RIT hedging case.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved









SUMMARY OUT	PUT			If	you don't s	ee "Data A	nalysis" or	n the DATA n	nenu, you may		
Regression S	tatistics			ne	need to enable the Analysis-ToolPak add-in. You c						
Multiple R	0.7840			th	is menu fro	m FILE→C	Options→A	dd-Ins			
R Square	0.6147										
Adjusted R Squa	0.6079										
Standard Error	0.0654										
Observations	59										
ANOVA											
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance	₽ F					
Regression	1	0.3886	0.3886	90.9345	2.08E-13						
Residual	57	0.2436	0.0043								
Total	58	0.6322									
	Coefficien	t Standard E	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0	Upper 95.0%			
Intercept	-0.0037	0.0090	-0.4077	0.6850	-0.0216	0.0143	-0.0216	0.0143			
X Variable 1	1.8589	0.1949	9.5360	0.0000	1.4685	2.2492	1.4685	2,2492			



Decomposition of CAT's risk $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{CAT,t} = \alpha_{CAT} + \beta_{CAT} \times r_{SPY,t} + e_{CAT,t} \\ & \nabla ar(r_{CAT,t}) = \sigma_{CAT}^2 = \beta_{CAT}^2 \times \sigma_{SPY}^2 + \sigma_{e,CAT}^2 \\ & \text{Note: } \alpha_{CAT} \text{ is constant and doesn't contribute any risk.} \\ & \text{Interpretation: } \underbrace{\sigma_{CAT}^2}_{Total \ risk} = \underbrace{\beta_{CAT}^2 \times \sigma_{SPY}^2}_{CAT's \ market} + \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^2}_{CAT's \ firm-specific \ risk} \\ & \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^2}_{risk} = \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^2}_{risk} + \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^2}_{risk} \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^2}_{risk} \\ & \underbrace{\sigma_{e,CAT}^$

Implications for hedging r_{CAT,t} = α_{CAT} + β_{CAT} × r_{SPY,t} + e_{CAT,t} β_{CAT} ≈ 1.86 is a multiplier If the market is up 1%, then all else equal, we expect CAT to be up 1.86% If we are long \$1 in CAT, we should be short β_{CAT} × \$1 ≈ \$1.86 of the SPY. To eliminate the market risk in \$10 Million worth of CAT we can Short \$18.6 Million worth of SPY ^{186.6Million}/_{\$200} ≈ 93,000 shares of SPY Or, short \$18.6 Million notional of the index futures contract ^{18.6Million}/_{2,000×\$50} ≈ 186 Contracts

Example

- □ If $r_{SPY} = 0.01(= 1\%)$, then we expect (all else equal, ignoring α_{CAT}) that $r_{CAT} = 0.0186$.
- □ Our \$10 Million position in *CAT* goes up by \$186,000.
- A 1% gain on SPY corresponds to the S&P going from 2,000 to 2,020.
 - We settle our 186 futures contracts by *paying* 186 × (2,020 - 2,000) × \$50 = \$186,000
 - This is a total offset.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

The RIT H1 hedging case

- We have a \$100 Million portfolio and we need to hedge the market risk with a stock index futures contract for one month.
 - We need to design and implement the hedge.
 - Figure out how many contracts to short, and trade to reach that position.
- □ The market index is the RTX. The current value of the RTX is 1,050.
- □ The RTX futures contract has a notional value of $RTX \times 250 . At present, this is $1,050 \times $250 = $262,500$.
- □ The contract is cash settled. At maturity the long side receives $(RTX_{maturity} 1,050) \times 250 .
 - Example. If the RTX in one month is 1,045, then the long side receives $(1,045 1,050) \times \$250 = -\$1,250$
 - Since the RTX has declined, the long side pays the short side \$1,250.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

Materials (workbook *H1.xlsx*, posted to web)

- Worksheet CATSPY (already used earlier)
- Worksheet *Portfolio* contains the composition of the portfolio.
- Worksheet Securities has the price history for the portfolio's ten securities.

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved

	Α	В	C	D	E
1	Stock	Price	Shares held	Value	Weight
2	GD	\$50.00	176,000	\$8,800,000	0.088
3	POP	\$80.00	156,250	\$12,500,000	
4	RMS	\$25.00	580,000	\$14,500,000	
5	BBL	\$16.00	281,250	\$4,500,000	
6	TC	\$84.00	100,000	\$8,400,000	
7	GEB	\$52.00	200,000	\$10,400,000	
8	PKR	\$154.00	100,000	\$15,400,000	
9	TTW	\$62.00	200,000	\$12,400,000	
10	NWL	\$8.00	1,112,500	\$8,900,000	
11	GCS	\$21.00	200,000	\$4,200,000	
12	Total			\$100,000,000	
4.0					

Worksheet Securities

	A B		B C		D		E		F		G		Н		Ι	J	К		L		М	
1		Rotman Ir	Rotman In	GD	Stock	PO	P Stock	RN	1S Stoc	вв	L Stock	тс	Stock	GE	B Stock	PKR Stock	TT	W Stocl	NW	L Stoc	GG	S Stock
2	Tick	RTX	RTF	GD)	PO	P	RMS		BBL		тс		GEB		PKR	TTW		NWL		GGS	
3	1	1,050.00	1,050.00	\$	50.00	\$	80.00	\$	25.00	\$	16.00	\$	84.00	\$	52.00	\$ 154.00	\$	62.00	\$	8.00	\$	21.00
4	2	1,051.13	1,051.13	\$	49.99	\$	79.86	\$	24.95	\$	16.01	\$	84.12	\$	51.54	\$ 153.15	\$	61.92	\$	8.07	\$	21.03
5	3	1,051.78	1,051.78	\$	50.07	\$	79.57	\$	25.09	\$	15.98	\$	83.65	\$	51.60	\$ 153.70	\$	61.97	\$	8.06	\$	20.93
6	4	1,049.51	1,049.51	\$	50.12	\$	79.73	\$	24.97	\$	15.90	\$	83.62	\$	51.47	\$ 153.54	\$	61.81	\$	8.00	\$	20.81
7	5	1,053.16	1,053.16	\$	50.23	\$	80.20	\$	25.02	\$	15.99	\$	83.47	\$	51.56	\$ 153.99	\$	62.07	\$	8.06	\$	20.92
8	6	1,052.72	1,052.72	\$	50.27	\$	79.91	\$	24.98	\$	15.92	\$	83.45	\$	51.50	\$ 154.98	\$	62.01	\$	8.10	\$	20.86
9	7	1,052.55	1,052.55	\$	50.14	\$	79.84	\$	24.96	\$	15.82	\$	83.87	\$	52.04	\$ 154.99	\$	62.15	\$	8.07	\$	20.81
10	8	1,047.85	1,047.85	\$	49.72	\$	79.38	\$	24.85	\$	15.70	\$	83.48	\$	51.82	\$ 153.15	\$	61.44	\$	8.04	\$	20.76
11	9	1,050.04	1,050.04	\$	49.72	\$	79.45	\$	24.92	\$	15.75	\$	83.65	\$	51.81	\$ 153.57	\$	61.81	\$	8.03	\$	20.75
12	10	1,044.84	1,044.84	\$	49.44	\$	78.62	\$	24.99	\$	15.70	\$	83.31	\$	51.39	\$ 151.82	\$	61.27	\$	7.96	\$	20.72
13	11	1,044.12	1,044.12	\$	49.49	\$	78.65	\$	24.98	\$	15.67	\$	82.82	\$	51.43	\$ 151.55	\$	61.14	\$	7.93	\$	20.68
1.4	40	4 0 4 0 4 0	4 042 40	ċ.	40.04	à	70.05	Ċ.	24.02	6	45.65					A 450.05	~	CO 5 4	~	7.02		20.50

Notes

□ RTX is the index; RTF is the futures price.

 In this case, they are the same; you can use either to represent "the market"

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved



Assignment

- Design the hedge.
 - Estimate the portfolio beta.
 - Figure out how many contracts to short.
- Implement the hedge
 - H1 is running on the server. In any given round, you need to actually establish the short position.
 - Play two rounds of H1.
 - Hints: Try to establish the hedge quickly. (This reduces your risk exposure.)
 - The important thing here is not overall profits: it is selling the correct number of futures contracts.
- Answer questions 1-3. (This submission will be online via NYU classes; you'll receive an email when the submission page is available.)
- Due date: Monday, April 20, 11:59 PM

Copyright 2014, Joel Hasbrouck, All rights reserved